

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS

Debert Water Utility Building

Case Study Report

Issue Date: September 30, 2023





Ressources naturelles Canada



Table of Contents

List of Figures	3
Acknowledgements	4
Executive Summary	5
Acronyms and Definitions	6
Introduction	7
Project Objectives	8
Methodology	8
Building Selection	9
Data and Document Collection	9
Building Description	
Context	10
Building Code Considerations	
Building Enclosures	12
Existing Structure	15
Existing Mechanical Systems	15
Existing Electrical Systems	16
Energy Consumption	
Baseline Energy Model and Calibration	16
Results	
Energy Conservation Measures	
Design	
Panelized Wall Details	23
Roof Details	25
Building A Structural Design	
Building B Structural Design	
Foundation insulation	29 30
Embodied Carbon	
Proposed Mechanical Systems	
Proposed Electrical Systems	35
Nova Scotia Power Net Metering Program	35
Construction Costs	
Total Cost of Building Ownership	
Cumulative TCBO	42
Discussion	
Conclusions	



Appendices

Appendix A Pre-retrofit Drawings Appendix B Facility Condition Assessment Appendix C Structural Outline Specification Appendix D Mechanical Outline Specification Appendix E Electrical Outline Specification Appendix F Pre-retrofit Utility Records Appendix G Energy Model Reports Appendix H Panel Schematics Appendix I Panel Layouts Appendix J Hygrothermal Report Appendix K Embodied Carbon Appendix L Architectural Elevation Drawings Appendix M Cost Estimate Appendix N Total Cost of Building Ownership

List of Figures

FIGURE 1 DEBERT WATER FACILITY	
FIGURE 2 SITE PLAN	
FIGURE 3 BUILDING A EXTERIOR WALL SECTION.	
FIGURE 4 BUILDING B EXTERIOR WALL SECTION	
FIGURE 5 ENERGY USE	
FIGURE 6 CALIBRATED ENERGY USAGE	
FIGURE 7 THERMAL ENERGY DEMAND INTENSITY (TEDI)	
FIGURE 8 TOTAL ENERGY USE INTENSITY (TEUI)	
FIGURE 9 BUILDING B RETROFIT CROSS SECTION.	
FIGURE 10 DEBERT WATER UTILITY BUILDING RETROFIT SCENARIOS TOTAL ENERGY USE INTENSITY (TEUI)	
FIGURE 11 CONCEPT SOUTH VIEW	
FIGURE 12 WALL PANEL ASSEMBLY	
FIGURE 13 ROOF ASSEMBLY	
FIGURE 14 BUILDING A PANEL CONNECTIONS AT ROOF AND FOUNDATION	
FIGURE 15 BUILDING B PANEL CONNECTION AT ROOF	
FIGURE 16 PANEL AXONOMETRIC DRAWING	
FIGURE 17 FOUNDATION INSULATION	
FIGURE 18 WUFI PRO OUTPUT - BUILDING A NORTH WALL (INNER PLYWOOD LAYER)	
FIGURE 19 WUFI PRO OUTPUT - BUILDING B SOUTH ROOF (INNER PLYWOOD)	
FIGURE 20 GLOBAL WARMING BY STAGE AND MATERIAL	
FIGURE 21 LIFE CYCLE IMPACTS BY STAGE (%)	
FIGURE 22 LIFE CYCLE IMPACTS BY MATERIAL (%)	
FIGURE 23 TCBO COMPARISON	
FIGURE 24 CUMULATIVE TCBO	

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Green Infrastructure – Energy Efficient Buildings Program from Natural Resources Canada for providing funding to this project.

We would also like to acknowledge our project partners Efficiency Canada, Efficiency ONE, Habit Studio, Passive Buildings Canada, and QUEST Canada.

Finally, thank you to the following funding partners for their contributions to the project:

The Atmospheric Fund Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources and Renewables City of Burlington Municipality of Colchester Halifax Regional Municipality Town of New Glasgow Town of Oakville City of Saskatoon

Project Team

Timon Bruulsema, P.E. (CA)	Energy Consultant, RDH Building Science
Stanley Francispillai, PEng	WUFI Modeling
Mark Gardin, PQS(F), MRICS	Cost Consultant, Qsolv Incorporated,
Liam Kidson, PEng	Electrical Consultant, M&R Engineering
Hayley Knowles, EIT	Mechanical Consultant, M&R Engineering
Aidan McCracken, EIT	Structural Consultant, DesignPoint Engineering
Amanda McNeil, PEng	Project Management, ReCover
Jim Nostedt, PEng	TCBO Analysis, SEEFAR Building Analytics
Fatma Osman	Embodied Carbon Accounting
Andrea Pietila, PEng CPHD	Energy Consultant, RDH Building Science
Lorrie Rand, BEDS CPHD	Architecture, Habit Studio
Nick Rudnicki	Project Lead, RSI Projects
Aaron Smith, PEng	Mechanical Consultant, M&R Engineering
Evan Teasdale, PEng	Structural Consultant, DesignPoint Engineering

This research project was led by The ReCover Initiative, a Nova Scotia based non-profit organization working to accelerate deep retrofits in Canada.

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS Debert Water Utility Building

Executive Summary

Who is ReCover

ReCover is a Nova Scotia-based non-profit focused on revolutionizing Canada's buildings to combat climate change. Through innovative research, technology, and partnerships, they lead in scalable deep retrofit solutions. Their efforts in Canada lower energy costs and enhance well-being by collaborating with communities, building owners, and financial institutions.

What is the Project

This project examines six cases of municipally owned buildings, inspired by the successful Energiesprong approach from the Netherlands, which streamlines retrofits. Despite challenges adapting to Canada's diverse buildings and climates, some projects have successfully implemented some Energiespronginspired retrofits. The ReCover Initiative found costeffective benefits in panelized retrofits for multi-unit dwellings, aiming for Net Zero Energy. The study seeks to apply effective residential retrofit strategies to support municipal decarbonization.

Project Objectives

The project objectives are to make deep retrofits in Canada more feasible, showcase a panelized retrofit approach, and enhance confidence in retrofits. It aims for a 50% reduction in EUI, a NZER scenario with potential for NZE through solar PV, minimal disruption to occupants, low embodied carbon solutions, costeffectiveness, and a payback period of 20 years or less.

Methods Used

The project progressed through several phases : building selection based on criteria and evaluation, data collection including utility info and drawings, baseline energy modeling, designing retrofit scenarios with energy conservation measures, and cost analysis involving Class D cost estimation and TCBO modeling.



Building Performance Improvements

EUI

69% improvement

Existing : 216 kWh/m² Recommend : 68 kWh/m²

GHG

79% improvement Existing : 57,283 kg/yr Recommend : 12,233 kg/yr

Lifetime Utility Costs

75% improvement Existing : \$6.0 M Recommend : \$1.5 M

ROI

51 Years When whole building cost of doing nothing exceeds whole building cost of deep retrofit.

Retrofit Measures

Existing walls Existing roof High performance windows and doors New ventilation system 42 kW Solar PV system



Acronyms and Definitions

- ACH Air Changes per Hour, measured with a blower door test
- CO2e Carbon diOxide Equivalent
- **Deep Retrofit** A project involving multiple energy efficiency and/or renewable energy measures in an existing building, designed to achieve major reductions in energy use. A deep retrofit usually includes reducing energy demand and switching from fossil fuels to electricity for space and water heating to achieve 70% energy savings and 80% to 100% GHG emissions reductions.
- **Energiesprong** A retrofit methodology developed in the Netherlands to implement Net-Zero retrofits using prefabricated envelope panels and compact exterior mechanical pods. Energiesprong retrofits are financed by the cost savings from future energy consumption and required maintenance. Translation: Energy Leap.
 - EUI Energy Use Intensity
 - FCA Facility Condition Assessment: a comprehensive evaluation of a building's physical condition.
 - GHG GreenHouse Gas
 - **GWP** Global Warming Potential: a measure of how much energy the emissions of 1 ton of gas will absorb over a given time, relative to the emissions of 1 ton of carbon dioxide.
 - NZE Net-Zero Energy building: a building in which on-site renewable energy generated equals the annual energy consumption of the building
 - NZER Net-Zero Energy Ready building: a building whose annual energy consumption is low enough that it could be Net-Zero Energy with the addition of a source of renewable energy
 - **PV** Solar **P**hoto**V**oltaic array
 - **TCBO** Total Cost of Building Ownership: building life cycle cost analysis that includes all major operating costs over the useful life of the building.
 - **WRB** Water-Resistive Barrier: a synthetic membrane installed outside of the building's sheathing to protect it from the impacts of bulk water.
 - ZCB Zero Carbon Building:



Introduction

Over one-third of Canada's planned greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reductions will come from energy efficiency measures.¹ Increasing the pace and scale of deep retrofits is imperative to achieving net-zero emissions, as most buildings standing today will still exist in 2050.

Municipalities across Canada are working to implement climate action plans to reduce their GHGs and to protect people and infrastructure from the impacts of climate change. Deep retrofits support both efforts.

The Panelized Deep Retrofits of Municipal Buildings project includes six deep retrofit case studies of municipally owned buildings in Canada. The buildings studied are representative of buildings in municipalities throughout the country. Their uses include community centres, administration, transit, and maintenance facilities in three Canadian climate zones.

Conventional retrofit practices are not scalable. They require large budgets, custom design, and invasive construction. The only retrofit initiative to be successfully scaled to date is the Dutch approach, Energiesprong, which involves prefabricated panelized envelope over-cladding and systematic mechanical upgrades. This approach reduces time on site and project complexity compared with common retrofit practices and permits buildings to continue to be used during the work.

Energiesprong has succeeded in part because of the Netherlands' homogenous building stock. The diversity of buildings and range of climate conditions in Canada pose challenges in adapting the approach to this country, yet several Energiesprong-inspired projects have been completed or are under way. These include Ottawa Community Housing's four-unit townhouse retrofit completed in 2021, Sundance Housing Cooperative in Edmonton, which is mid-way through retrofits on their 59 townhouses, and three single family homes in Alberta.

Measures that focus on simple payback and short-term return on investment can be counterproductive with assets as long lasting as buildings. Economic evaluation through Total Cost of Building Ownership (TCBO) analysis is more appropriate for complex retrofit projects that make changes to multiple interrelated building systems.

The ReCover Initiative has studied the potential for prefabricated panelized deep retrofits in lowrise multi-unit dwellings in two previous case studies². These studies found the lowest TCBO over the anticipated life of the building was achieved through Net Zero Energy retrofits where the targets were met with an Energy Use Intensity (EUI) reduction of at least 75% before adding solar PV.

This study of Panelized Deep Retrofits of Municipal Buildings was undertaken to develop deep retrofit strategies to support municipal decarbonization efforts.



¹ IEA (2022), Canada 2022, IEA, Paris https://www.iea.org/reports/canada-2022, License: CC BY 4.0

² ReCover Initiative (2020) *ReCover Phase One Case Study Report* and ReCover Initiative (2022) *Scarlettwood Court Deep Retrofit Case Study Report*, <u>https://www.recoverinitiative.ca/about-us/our-results/report-request</u>

Project Objectives

The objectives of this study were to de-risk investment in deep retrofits in Canada, to provide evidence on the effectiveness and scalability of a panelized deep retrofit approach and to build confidence and experience in deep retrofits among Canadian municipalities and industry stakeholders.

The goals for the Deep Retrofits explored included:

- 1. Develop a scenario that achieves an Energy Use Intensity (EUI) reduction of 50%.
- 2. Develop a Net Zero Energy Ready (NZER) scenario that can achieve Net Zero Energy (NZE) with the addition of solar PV.
- 3. All solutions minimize occupant disruption during construction.
- 4. All solutions target minimal embodied carbon.
- 5. Identify the retrofit pathway to the lowest Total Cost of Building Ownership.
- 6. Demonstrate a calculated payback of 20 years or better.

Methodology

The project was completed in the following phases:

- 1) Building selection.
 - a) Definition of selection criteria.
 - b) Building evaluation and selection.
- 2) Data and document collection, including:
 - a) Utility data
 - b) Building drawings
 - c) Facility Condition Assessment, ideally no more than five years old
 - d) Field Review
- 3) Baseline energy modeling (hourly analysis).
 - a) Determination of model inputs
 - b) Energy Model Calibration
 - c) Baseline energy model results
- 4) Design Energy Conservation Measures (ECMs) for retrofit scenarios, including:
 - a) u-values, window, and door performance specifications
 - b) mechanical and electrical systems upgrades
 - c) panel design, including:
 - i) structural design and fastening details.
 - ii) panel dimensions and layouts.
 - iii) hygrothermal modeling with WUFI Pro to assess moisture risk.
 - iv) embodied carbon accounting.
 - v) aesthetic upgrades.
- 5) Cost Analysis.
 - a) Class D cost estimate.
 - b) TCBO modeling.

Building Selection

A short-list of buildings was proposed for study by the Municipality of Colchester. Criteria for consideration included the following:

- high EUI
- potential to eliminate fossil fuel-based building systems.
- high maintenance deficit
- simple form
- ample space to stage a panelized construction project.
- solar potential

Several factors led to the selection of the Debert Water Utility Facility for this study by the Municipality of Colchester and the ReCover team. The building incurs relatively high energy costs and has an inefficient fuel-oil heating system. It is also in need of a new siding, which presents an opportune time to consider upgrading the building envelope.

The form of the building is more articulated than is ideal for a panelized retrofit, however each of its two parts are simple in isolation. Additionally, as the two parts represent different construction types, the project is an opportunity to simultaneously explore the potential for a panelized retrofit on both a wood framed structure and a masonry structure.

Data and Document Collection

The Municipality of Colchester provided the following data and supporting documents pertaining to the Debert Water Utility Facility:

- 1979 construction drawings (Appendix A)
- Fuel oil consumption records March 2020 to March 2022 (Appendix F)
- Electrical consumption records March 2020 to March 2022 (Appendix F)

Typically, a minimum of two years of consumption records for all utilities serving a building is required. As the time frame for this project included reduced building occupancy during the pandemic, at least one year preceding the beginning of the pandemic was requested, however older records were not available.

A site visit was conducted by design team members to verify structural, mechanical, and electrical details from the resources provided and to understand building conditions. The team also engaged with staff of the municipality to understand building usage patterns, baseline operational settings for mechanical systems and for information on occupant comfort and building deficiencies.

A new **Facility Condition Assessment** (FCA) was obtained from Capital Management Engineering Limited as one did not exist for the property (Appendix B).

Building Description

The Debert Water Utility Building is a one-storey structure with a gross floor area of 718m² (7,728 sq. ft) consisting of two wings connected by an enclosed walkway.

Building A is a 181m² (1,948 sq.ft.) office space which is rented out to an engineering company. Building B is a 537m² (5,780 sq.ft.) maintenance facility used for servicing and storage of equipment and contains an office area.



Context

Figure 1 Debert Water Facility

The Debert Water Utility Building is located at 251 Lancaster Crescent, Debert, Nova Scotia. Debert is a rural, predominantly agricultural community in Colchester County, NS. The Water Utility Building is in an industrial area surrounded by woodland. It was built in 1979 as a Tree Breeding Centre for the Nova Scotia Department of Lands and Forests.

Debert is in Canadian building code climate zone 6. Its weather is humid and changeable in all seasons, with year-round potential for significant precipitation. The site is approximately 10 km inland from the waters of the Minas Basin. Average temperatures in Nova Scotia are increasing and are predicted to continue to rise, with the incidence and severity of storms also accelerating.³ High winds and driving rain are key building science concerns in Nova Scotia.



³ Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Climate Change (2022) *Weathering What's Ahead: Climate Change Risk and Nova Scotia's Well-being,* <u>https://climatechange.novascotia.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/climate-change-risk-report.pdf</u>



Figure 2 Site Plan

In 2021 the Municipality of Colchester implemented Carbon-Free Colchester⁴, their Community Energy and Emissions Plan which targets net-zero Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. Energy conservation through building upgrades, including retrofits, and building electrification are key pillars of the plan. Colchester has set a goal for deep retrofits to 80% of their existing buildings by 2040, and net-zero retrofits to all municipally owned buildings by 2035.

Building Code Considerations

A preliminary National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) review has been completed to determine if prefabricated envelope panels made with combustible materials can be installed on the Debert Water Utility Building (Appendix L).

The construction type, cladding and fire rating requirements for each exterior wall of a building are based on the area of the wall, its proximity to the property boundaries and the building's occupancy classification. The Debert Water Utility Building has two major occupancies. Building A is classified under the National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) as **Group D Business Occupancy** and Building B is classified as **Group F2 Medium-hazard Industrial Occupancy** due to its function as a repair garage.



⁴ Municipality of Colchester. (2021) *Carbon-Free Colchester*. <u>https://www.colchester.ca/community-1/3522-carbon-free-colchester-community-energy-emissions-plan/file</u>

Both buildings are permitted to be of combustible or non-combustible construction. The structural elements of Building B are required to have a 45-minute fire-resistance rating or to be of non-combustible construction. A fire separation is not required between the occupancies. Both parts of the building are believed to be currently in compliance with these requirements.

Side setbacks have been reviewed based on a scaled site plan and it was determined that walls of the building may be constructed of either combustible or non-combustible elements. Based on this a panelized retrofit made with combustible elements and metal cladding complies with NBCC requirements.

Cellulose insulation has a Class 1 fire rating, which is the best fire rating for materials with the lowest level of risk. It is treated with borate which acts as both a fire retardant and pest repellant.

Building Enclosures

Building A is a timber structure insulated with fiberglass batt in both the exterior walls and roof. It has 2" of continuous sub-slab rigid insulation and 2" of rigid insulation installed on the interior perimeter of the frost walls to a depth of 48". Figure 3 shows the Building A existing wall section taken from the original 1979 drawings.

Building B has concrete block walls insulated with 2 ¹/₂" of rigid insulation on the exterior. The drawings specify a protected membrane roof assembly with 4" of rigid insulation, however the current roof is a conventional modified bitumen roof system. It is believed that the current roof has 4" of rigid insulation. The perimeter frost walls are insulated with 3" of rigid insulation. In all instances of rigid insulation in this building the material is assumed to be extruded polystyrene. Figure 4 shows the Building B existing wall section taken from the original 1979 drawings.

Table 1 Existing Thermal Performance			
	Effective USI W/m ² ·K	Effective RSI m ² ·K/W	
	(Btu/h·ft²·°F	(ft²·°F·h/BTU)	
weighted average walls	USI-0.44 (U-0.077)	RSI-2.27 (R-12.9)	
weighted average roofs	USI-0.37 (U-0.065)	RSI-2.71 (R-15.4)	
weighted average slab	USI-4.1 (U-0.7)	RSI-0.24 (R-1.36)	
overhead door	USI-1.13 (U-0.2)	RSI-0.88 (R-5)	
windows	USI-1.98 (U-0.35)	RSI-0.5 (R-2.86)	





Figure 3 Building A exterior wall section.





Figure 4 Building B exterior wall section

Existing Structure

Building A & B are connected via a $\pm 7'$ wide timber framed walkway. Both buildings, and the walkway, are founded on **concrete slab-on-grades**, with perimeter **frost walls and interior strip footings** to support load bearing walls.

Building A is **wood framed** with 2x6 studs at 16" on centre and pre-engineered timber roof trusses. The wall and roof assemblies are shown in Figure 3. The walkway between Building A and B is also wood framed with a 2" x 8" central ridge board and 2" x 6" rafters and ceiling joists at 24". The rafters are structurally deficient based on current loading.

Building B is constructed with 10" **reinforced concrete block** walls and an **open web steel joist** (OWSJ) and corrugated metal deck roof. The existing masonry walls in Building B extend beyond the existing roof to form a parapet wall. Details are shown in Figure 4.

The walls of both buildings can support additional loading. A panelized roof solution is not structurally viable for Building A. Adding roof panels to Building B is possible, however reinforcing of the existing roof structure would be required. The recommended retrofit for both sides of the building is for new structure to bear on the existing load-bearing walls.

Existing Mechanical Systems

Hot water is supplied by an electric water heater located in the mechanical room.

Space heating in all parts of the building is by hot water baseboard heaters or unit heaters. Hot water is provided by one oil-fired boiler which is in good condition and still has some remaining useful life. Baseboard heaters are installed in all parts of the building except for the office area of the maintenance facility which has an electric baseboard heater. Supplemental hot water unit heaters have also been installed in the work area on the south side of the building and outside the mechanical room.

A 2.5-ton heat pump was installed in the Building A in June 2022 to provide heating and cooling. The office area was previously cooled with a ducted air conditioner. The maintenance garage has two window unit air conditioning units installed in the offices but does not have cooling anywhere else.

The facility is inadequately ventilated for occupation. The only **ventilation** provided is bathroom exhaust fans.

The building does not have a building automation system. Standalone pneumatic thermostats control baseboard heater control valves and electronic thermostats control force flow heater fans.

Appendix D provides details of the existing mechanical system and Mechanical Outline Specification.

Existing Electrical Systems

The electrical system is in acceptable condition, however many of the components have near end of life or no longer comply with current codes. The incoming **power service** is supplied via a pad mount transformer. The main incoming secondary service is sized at 800A which is not compliant with current electrical codes.

The **main switchboard** is original to the building, and while it has been well maintained, it has reached its end of life. Several **branch circuit panelboards** throughout the building serve various areas. Their overall condition is good, and while some are original to the building, circuit capacity has been expanded over time.

The **interior lighting** in Building A was upgraded in the past 20 years to 2x2 and 2x4 fixtures complete with T8 lamps. Building B is lit with original fluorescent lighting with high output fixtures in the warehouse areas. Approximately 40% of the Building B fixtures are not functional. The mechanical room lacks lighting entirely.

The building lacks an automatic **lighting control** system and relies on manual control which could lead to energy waste if lights are left on in unoccupied areas for extended periods of time.

Appendix E provides details of the existing electrical system and Electrical Outline Specification.

Energy Consumption

Energy analysis was based on electrical and fuel oil consumption spanning March 2020 to March 2022 (Appendix F). During the documented time span the building used an average of 10,167 L of fuel oil (105,232 ekWh) and 44,400 kWh of electricity annually.

The time span of the consumption records includes times of reduced occupation due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Typical energy use is believed to be higher than modeling suggests.



PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS



Baseline Energy Model and Calibration

Figure 6 Calibrated Energy Usage

Whole building energy modeling was conducted with eQUEST to understand existing performance and to inform the development of retrofit scenarios. Energy model inputs (Appendix G) were based on data and documentation described earlier in this report and in consultation with staff from the Municipality of Colchester on occupancy patterns and operational set points of the mechanical and electrical equipment.

The energy model was calibrated with the historical utility data to closely reflect the current building performance. Fuel oil deliveries to the building are irregular and occurred only once in 2020 and four times in 2021. As such, consumption rates could not be tied to a regular billing cycle and monthly usage was estimated based on climate data.

The building is heated primarily with fuel oil and supplemented with electric heat. Accurately apportioning the ratio of fuel oil to electricity presented challenges in model calibration. To facilitate calibration, the heating impacts from the air source heat pump serving Building A were excluded from the baseline model. The modeled electrical consumption deviates from the existing usage by 3.5% and modeled fuel oil deviates by 5.5%.



The Total Energy Use Intensity (TEUI) for the existing building is 220.6 kWh/m²/yr. More than 75% of its energy use is for space heating (Figure 8). The building is currently unventilated, consequently ventilation heat losses represent less than 1% of the total heat losses in the building. Adding a mechanical ventilation system will be an essential part of any retrofit to this building, however even a highly efficient heat recovery ventilator will increase heat loss through ventilation and the EUI.



Figure 7 Thermal Energy Demand Intensity (TEDI)



Figure 8 Total Energy Use Intensity (TEUI)



Results

The design team worked collaboratively to develop retrofit scenarios targeting the project objectives. The analysis assumes a 'like for like' retrofit where space usage, occupancy schedules, internal geometry, volume of conditioned space, and window and door dimensions and locations are consistent with existing conditions.

The strategy for building enclosure upgrades is to retrofit the walls with prefabricated ReCover panels and to install new pre-engineered timber roof systems over the existing roofs, supported on the existing exterior bearing walls.

A panelized roof retrofit is not recommended for Building A and although it is technically possible for Building B, it would cost more in up front and lifetime costs. A new flat roof would require costly reinforcing of the existing steel OWSJ system to support the weight of the new roof panels. It will also result in higher snow loads than the current roof, since improved thermal performance will increase snow accumulation. Flat roofs typically require more ongoing maintenance than sloped roofs, representing higher costs over the life of the building. For these reasons a truss roof is a recommended as a better investment for this retrofit.



Figure 9 Building B retrofit cross section.



Energy Conservation Measures

Energy conservation measures (ECMs) for the following four scenarios were developed:

- 1. Minimum Upgrade Scenario targeting a 50% reduction in TEUI from the baseline.
- 2. **NZER ASHP** targeting a 75% reduction in TEUI from the baseline.
- 3. **NZER GSHP** targeting a 75% reduction in TEUI from the baseline.
- 4. Net Zero Energy (NZE).

Building enclosure upgrades were developed for each scenario with post-retrofit airtightness targeting $0.75 \text{ L/s} \cdot \text{m}^2$, an estimated 67% reduction from the existing air infiltration. All scenarios propose upgrading to high performance, triple pane windows.

Mechanical and electrical retrofits were developed based on ease of integration with existing systems and installation cost. Both air source heat pumps (ASHP) and ground source heat pumps (GSHP) were considered in the analysis to learn which option offers the lowest TCBO. A GSHP is more energy efficient than an ASHP, however the cost to install a GSHP are typically significantly higher. The best heat pump investment depends on specific building conditions. For this project the Net Zero Energy scenario was based on the ASHP option as it has the lowest TCBO.

The primary difference between the Minimum Upgrade and the NZER scenarios is the existing oil-fired boiler is retained in the Minimum Upgrade. If fossil fuels are not removed now another retrofit will be necessary before 2035 (Colchester's deadline for their corporate buildings to be net-zero).

Details of the retrofit scenarios are summarized in Table 2.



Table 2 Retrofit Scenarios Summary				
	Existing Building	Minimum Upgrade	NZE – ASHP ¹	NZE – GSHP ¹
Effective Wall R-value	RSI-2.11 (R-12)	RSI-4.4 (R-25) existing +ReCover panels)	RSI-4.4 (R-25) existing +ReCover panels)	RSI-4.4 (R-25) existing +ReCover panels)
Effective Roof R-value	RSI 2.71 (R-15.4)	RSI-7.04 (R-40) existing + new cellulose)	RSI-7.04 (R-40) existing + new cellulose)	RSI-7.04 (R-40) existing + new cellulose)
Air Tightness (at 75Pa)	2.3 L/s·m2	0.75 L/s·m2	0.75 L/s·m2	0.75 L/s·m2
Central Heating Equipment	Oil-fired boiler with single stage burner	Oil-fired boiler with single stage burner	ASHP	GSHP
Heating System	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards & unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards & unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboard Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards & unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboard Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards &unit heaters
Air Conditioning ²	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Packaged air conditioning units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Mini split units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Mini split units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Water to Air consoles
DHW Equip	Electric Water Heater	Electric Water Heater	HP Water Heater	HP Water Heater
Ventilation Equipment	Extract (bathrooms only)	Bldg A: 90% SRE ³ ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ³ ERV	Bldg A: 90% SRE ³ ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ³ ERV	Bldg A: 90% SRE ³ ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ³ ERV
Solar PV	none	none	45 kW (DC)	45 kW (DC)

¹Net Zero Energy Ready systems are identical with exclusion of renewables.

 $^{\rm 2}\,{\rm Building}$ B only provides cooling in north and south offices.

³ SRE ERV: Sensible heat-recovery efficiency energy/enthalpy recovery ventilator (Tempeff Dualcore or similar).



Table 3 Retrofit Scenarios Results			
	Target TEUI	TEUI kWh/m ²	TEUI reduction
Existing	-	220.6	-
Minimum Upgrade	50% savings	125.7	43%
NZER – ASHP	75% savings	55.3	75%
NZER – GSHP	75% savings	55.3	75%
NZE	100% savings	0	100%

The modeled performance of the Minimum Upgrade does not meet the 50% EUI reduction target. This is primarily due to the impact of adding code compliant mechanical ventilation in the retrofits, which offsets part of the energy savings from the energy conservation measures. The design team explored options to further reduce the EUI in the Minimum Upgrade scenario, however achieving greater savings were not cost effective.



Figure 10 Debert Water Utility Building Retrofit Scenarios Total Energy Use Intensity (TEUI).





Figure 11 Concept south view

Design

Cosmetic upgrades are a significant driver of building retrofits, and it is important to demonstrate that deep retrofits can play a role in building beautification.

The new roof trusses will have an 8:12 pitch. A higher roofline will shed snow more effectively and gives the building more presence. The overall proportions of the two buildings in the proposed design are more in keeping with the vernacular agricultural buildings in the community of Debert.

Most buildings will require attention in the coming decades, so design strategies must reduce the maintenance burden for municipalities. Metal cladding is recommended on both the walls and roof for long term resilience and durability and for the lowest TCBO. A muted shade of green is suggested to be harmonious in the wooded setting. Wood accents add warmth at the entrance and a complementary wood-look finish highlights the overhead doors.

Panelized Wall Details

The prototype ReCover panel is a wood framed box which holds carbon storing cellulose insulation. The depth of the frame is flexible depending on the needed performance. All retrofit scenarios proposed for the Debert Water Utility Building use panels with 2x6 stud framing.

The panel components were specified to minimize moisture risks by shedding precipitation on the outside and by promoting drying activity to the exterior through the panel assembly. This is important as the existing assemblies include vapour retarding materials, including polyethylene vapour barrier and rigid foam insulation, which will inhibit drying to the interior of the building. These materials will also inhibit outward vapour drive, from the interior into the panels, however given the age and condition of the building it is highly unlikely that these materials comprise a continuous vapour barrier. The panels are be designed to promote any moisture movement that occurs from the interior to dry to the exterior.



Strapping on the interior side of the panel permits fitting adjustments against the existing walls and provides an internal air cavity that serves as a moisture buffer space for vapour diffusion from the inside to pass out through the panels. The frame backing layer is a "smart" vapour control membrane which varies in permeability depending on the relative humidity of its environment. If moisture is present between the panel and the existing walls the membrane fibers open to let moisture escape. Wood panel framing, plywood sheathing and cellulose insulation are all hygroscopic materials, meaning their fibers transport moisture from areas of higher humidity to those of lower humidity. A vapour-open water-resistive barrier (WRB) protects the outer plywood sheathing and provides a drainage plane behind the rainscreen cavity and metal siding.

Panel schematics and connection details are found in Appendix H.

Proposed NZER Scenario ReCover Panel effective RSI-2.8 (R-16)

- 1. metal cladding ⁵
- 2. 19mm strapping/rainscreen cavity.
- 3. WRB membrane
- 4. plywood sheathing
- 5. dense-pack cellulose
- 6. panel framing: 2x6 studs 24"o.c.
- 7. variable permeability vapour control membrane
- 8. interior strapping



⁵ Panels will be assembled remotely; however, the cladding will be installed on site.



The wall panels span from foundation to roof, a height of approximately 4.25m (14') when they are extended to the underside of the new roof overhangs. The panel widths vary based on optimized spacing around the building with a standard width of 2.4m (8') with modifications to suit the building geometry and window and door positions. The design includes prefabricated corner panels, to simplify installation in the field. These are 0.6m (2') wide in each direction.

The proposed panel layout for the Debert Water Utility Building is provided in Appendix I.

Roof Details

The proposed roof assemblies are conventional truss roofs. The new Building A roof will have scissor trusses with a 4:12 bottom chord that fits above the existing roof slope and an 8:12 top chord. The pitch of Building B will have a flat bottom and an 8:12 to match Building A. Hygrothermal modeling shows that the roofs should be well ventilated for optimal longevity.

Building B Roof Assembly effective RSI-7.04 (R-40)⁶

- 1. metal roofing
- 2. strapping
- 3. WRB membrane
- 4. plywood sheathing
- 5. pre-engineered roof trusses
- 6. new cellulose insulation
- 7. WRB membrane



⁶ Building A roof assembly is identical to Building B, except for its scissor truss bottom chord.



Building A Structural Design

- new roof trusses connect to solid blocking fastened to existing two-ply top plates.
- top of the ReCover panel fastens to the roof with Simpson Strong-Tie tie plates.
- panels span from foundation to roof.
- supported at the concrete foundation with a steel bent plate lintel.



Figure 14 Building A panel connections at roof and foundation



Building B Structural Design

- roof trusses are supported on the existing masonry parapet wall.
- top of the ReCover panel fastens to the roof with Simpson Strong-Tie tie plates.
- panels span from foundation to roof.
- supported at the foundation with a steel bent plate lintel like Figure 15.



Figure 15 Building B panel connection at roof





Figure 16 panel axonometric drawing



Foundation Insulation

The angled steel panel support at the foundation is a linear thermal bridge. It will be fully covered by 100mm (4") of expanded polystyrene insulation to reduce heat losses and prevent localized condensation on the steel.



Figure 17 Foundation Insulation



Hygrothermal Modeling

The analysis of moisture and temperature over time is called hygrothermal analysis. Adding new materials to the exterior of a building can slow or block moisture from passing through, and prolonged exposure to moisture in the building assemblies can lead to durability issues including mold growth and decay.

Hygrothermal simulations were conducted on the Debert Water Utility Building NZER wall and roof assemblies with WUFI® Pro (Appendix J). The analysis focused on plywood sheathing and cellulose insulation in the assemblies, as biogenic materials are most susceptible to moisture damage. When moisture content of wood exceeds 20% for prolonged periods it can decay.

Hygrothermal performance is dependent on the material characteristics of each component of a building assembly. Assumptions were made regarding the materials in the existing walls and roof. Confirmation of these assumptions is required prior to finalizing the retrofit designs.

Simulations were run for each orientation of each assembly for a 10-year period post-retrofit. All assemblies were found to undergo cyclical seasonal moisture fluctuations consistent with expectations for buildings in the Nova Scotia climate. Specifically, the moisture content peaks in winter, with the greatest peak occurring in the first year post-retrofit, and with spikes that decrease over the subsequent years. A moisture spike that exceeds 20% in one winter does not typically damage the building so long as drying occurs in the warm season. Spikes above 20% that persist for several years indicate a potential for mould and eventual decay.

The materials tested in all walls of the building follow a pattern like that shown in the graph in Figure 18, with a moisture content spike above 20% in the first winter and smaller spikes in subsequent years. This is an indication of good drying potential. The wall materials tested also demonstrate a low risk of mould.



Figure 18 WUFI Pro output - Building A north wall (inner plywood layer)

The materials tested in the post-retrofit roof materials of both buildings follow a pattern like the one shown in Figure 19. The first year moisture spike in the plywood layer tested does not reach 20% moisture content, however the annual peak moisture is consistent from year to year. This is an indication that the material is undergoing seasonal moisture fluctuations but that it may not dry sufficiently over time. Analysis in both roofs showed that relative humidity inside the ventilated attics reach nearly 100% in the plywood and at the surface of the cellulose layers in summer. These findings indicate that while the assembly may be a low risk for mould or decay, the design should prioritize generous air flow in the ventilated attics.

If the retrofit proceeds, is recommended that hygrothermal monitoring be implemented on selected assemblies to verify actual performance against modeling.



Figure 19 WUFI Pro output - Building B south roof (inner plywood)



Embodied Carbon



Figure 20 Global Warming by Stage and Material

With the short time remaining to limit the impacts of climate change, it is not responsible to complete retrofits that reduce long-term operational emissions while emitting high up-front embodied carbon. Materials used in retrofits must emit the lowest possible carbon or the construction emissions may offset the intended GHGs saved through the retrofit.

Carbon accounting is complex and imperfect. This is frequently used as justification for not factoring embodied carbon into decision making. The objective of including it in this study is not to deliver a definitive value for embodied carbon in the building, rather it is to contribute to the necessary discourse in the building industry, so that the impacts of embodied carbon on GHG emissions are more widely understood.

Embodied Carbon was modeled for this project in One Click LCA (Appendix K). Materials modeled were based on the most representative materials available to the Canadian market with Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) available in the One Click LCA database. The analysis was limited to embodied carbon of assembly materials being added to the building including panel additions to above-grade walls, roofs, below-grade components, and windows and doors. HVAC and electrical components were excluded from the analysis.

Table 4 Total Global Warming Potential			
gross floor area m ²	A1-A3 KgCO2e/m ²	A1-C4 KgCO2e/m2	Biogenic carbon KgCO2e/m2
720	69.47	89.28	156.5

The results include a whole life cycle assessment of the building in six impact categories: Global Warming, Ozone Depletion, Acidification, Eutrophication, Formation of tropospheric ozone, Depletion of nonrenewable energy, and Biogenic carbon storage.



The major contributors to the global warming potential (GWP) in this design are the wood trusses and the steel cladding. The A1-A3 Materials stage contributed 78% of the total carbon emissions associated with this building as illustrated in Figure 20 & 21.

The biogenic carbon storage surpasses that of the A1-C4 emissions by 43%, making a surplus in carbon storage capacity as shown in Table 4. This storage is attributed to the wood products (92.7%) and cellulose insulation (7.3%) used in the assemblies (Figure 22).



Figure 21 Life Cycle Impacts by Stage (%)



Figure 22 Life Cycle Impacts by Material (%)

Proposed Mechanical Systems

All scenarios:

- Two energy recovery ventilators (ERVs) will be added in Building A and Building B. They will be dual core type with approximately 90% heat recovery efficiency. Programmable time of day controls would run the ERVs.
- Cooling will be provided only to spaces that already have existing cooling.
- Cooling in Building A will continue to be provided by existing ASHPs.
- Plumbing vents that penetrate the roof are to be insulated from ceiling to floor with 3" pipe insulation to prevent thermal bridging.
- 1. Minimum Upgrade Scenario:
 - Heating will continue to be provided by the existing combination of the oil-fired boiler and electric baseboard heaters. As the existing boiler still has some remaining useful life this avoids the replacement of the distribution system. The system still needs to be replaced by 2035 in this scenario.
 - Building B: office spaces upgrade to new two 0.75-ton mini split units
 - Domestic hot water will be supplied by the existing electric water heaters.
 - Existing building controls will remain with new time clocks to control the ERVs.
 - In the south office, an auxiliary heat relay system will control both the mini split unit and hydronic baseboards to ensure simultaneous heating and cooling does not occur.

2. NZER – ASHP:

- Two 9-ton, cold climate air source heat pumps sized for 60% of the peak heating load.
- 15 kW electric boiler sized for the remaining 40% of the load. Reducing the heat pump capacity to 60% of peak load typically results in the boiler providing 5 15% of heating.
- Building B: office spaces upgrade to new mini split units.
- Domestic water provided by a new 80-gallon heat pump water heater (HPWH)
- The ASHP control system to operate circulation pumps and heat pumps.
- In the south office, an auxiliary heat relay system will control the mini split unit and hydronic baseboards to ensure simultaneous heating and cooling does not occur.

3. NZER - GSHP:

- 24-ton GSHP system, which has also been sized for 60% capacity. The GSHP option will use the existing hydronic baseboard heaters as the distribution method. Hydronic baseboard heaters will be installed in the north office.
- 20 kW electric boiler, sized for the remaining 40% of the load.
- Two water-to-air console heat pumps will be installed in the Building B offices to provide cooling.
- Domestic hot water provided by a new 80-gallon heat pump water heater (HPWH).



Proposed Electrical Systems

1. Minimum Upgrade Scenario:

- Existing electrical service to remain.
- LED lighting upgrade with LED retrofit kits in all parts of the building.

2. NZER Scenarios

- Service upgrade to power the existing loads plus added loads from newly electrified mechanical equipment and for solar photovoltaics.
- New switchboard and distribution system upgrade.
- Lighting replacement with LED fixtures throughout the building, with additional fixtures provided to under-illuminated areas.
- Lighting control system upgrade to automatic lighting controls, complete with daylight sensors and vacancy sensors.

3. Net Zero Energy

• 42 kW (DC) solar pv array

Nova Scotia Power Net Metering Program

The current NS Power net metering agreement has expired. An update to the program is under review by the Nova Scotia Utility Review Board and Nova Scotia Power. Under the new net metering agreement, it is proposed to allow up to 1MW of solar to be installed on any building that incurs a demand charge. There will be two new classifications of net metered systems in the new program, a class 1 system which is under 100kW and a class 2 system which is under 1MW.

In the net metering program, 100% of the excess energy generated from the solar array goes back onto the NSPI grid, and the customer gets a credit for the energy generated. Under the new proposal, the credit will be a percentage of the customers electricity rate for class 2 systems and will be equal to the customers electricity rate for class 1 systems. The credits automatically come off the power bill, further reducing the cost, the more solar that is installed. Being involved in a net-metering program is an essential part of achieving net-zero as it allows any excess energy generated to flow back onto the grid. This building would be a class 1 building.

It is possible to install photovoltaics and not enroll in the net metering program. In this scenario, the building would draw power from the solar array as it is needed (up to the arrays maximum capacity). Any excess energy that is generated by the array is clipped (wasted) and no credit is given by the utility for that power. This scenario is only feasible if the customer routinely uses the approximate amount of power the array would generate. To optimize this, a short load study would be performed on the building to determine approximately how much energy is used at any given time of the day/ year, and an array of the average size could be constructed to offset that consumption. This scenario isn't truly considered net-zero since to use 100% of the energy generated, the solar array must overproduce.

The net-metered option is recommended to ensure that a net-zero system can be achieved. As the conditions for the net-metering program are changing day to day, further consultation with Nova Scotia Power will be needed to ensure all requirements are met prior to construction.

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS

Construction Costs

Class D – Feasibility Cost Estimates (Appendix M) were obtained for the Minimum Upgrade, the two Net Zero Energy Ready scenarios and Net Zero Energy retrofit scenarios. The costs include all materials, labour, equipment, overheads, general conditions, plus markups and contractor's profit for the retrofit options. Pricing reflects competitive bids for every element of the work for a project of this type procured under an open market stipulated lump sum bid contract in Debert, Nova Scotia.

A Class D estimate is an indicative estimate of the final project costs and is expected to be within $\pm 25\%$ of actual costs.

A cost estimate for a fifth scenario, the Minimum Upgrade + Solar PV was extrapolated from data in the Class D estimates.

Minimum Upgrade		
Envelope	\$1,737,399	
Fenestration	\$124,708	
Mechanical	\$57,427	
Electrical	\$42,466	
total	\$1,962,000	



NZER -ASHP	
Envelope	\$1,737,200
Fenestration	\$124,694
Interiors	\$49,563
Mechanical	\$318,433
Electrical	\$274,110
total	\$2,504,000




NZER – GSHP	
Envelope	\$1,736,879
Fenestration	\$124,671
Interiors	\$49,554
Mechanical	\$427,837
Electrical	\$137,785
total	\$2,476,725



NZE	
Envelope	\$1,736,759
Fenestration	\$124,662
Interiors	\$49,550
Mechanical	\$427,807
Electrical	\$531,220
total	\$2,869,998





Min. + PV	
Envelope	\$1,737,399
Fenestration	\$124,708
Mechanical	\$57,427
Electrical	\$437,567
total	\$2,357,101



Total Cost of Building Ownership

Total Cost of Building Ownership (TCBO) analysis was conducted using the Sustainable Energy Efficient Facility Asset Renewal (SEEFAR)-Valuation© program. Calculations include costs for utilities, carbon tax, maintenance, maintenance capital (replacing major components as they age out), insurance, interest, and escalation of these costs over time. TCBO analysis typically includes property taxes, however the building is not subject to property tax. Inputs for the SEEFAR-Valuation© are given in Appendix N.

The following tables present a comparative analysis of the existing **base case** TCBO and each of the retrofit scenarios explored by the design team. The base case TCBO is based on the current condition of the building and the maintenance and renewal that would be required for the next 60 years for all components of the building, including interior elements. The TCBO for each retrofit scenario was modeled based on the design details, modeled energy performance and construction cost estimates for the retrofit scenarios outlined in this report.

A retrofit scenario was analysed in the TCBO that was not considered in the earlier engineering analysis; the Minimum Upgrade scenario plus solar. This was considered as construction costs for the NZER and NZE scenarios were high - more than the building's estimated Cost Replacement Value (CRV) of \$2.37M. The Minimum Upgrade + solar scenario results in the best TCBO for the building and the only scenario that saves money over the life of the building.

	Table 5 TCB	O Summary	/			
	Base Case	Min Upgrade	NZER ASHP	NZER GSHP	NZE	Min Solar
GHG emissions (kg) (60 Years)	3,437,029	2,299,297	1,659,416	1,660,662	0	734029.32
EUI (kWh/m2/year)	220.6	123.5	57.5	57.5	0.0	69.3
TCBO at 60 years	\$9,076,000	\$9,814,000	\$10,363,000	\$10,351,000	\$10,408,000	\$7,820,000
TCBO Savings at 60 years	\$0	-\$738,000	-\$1,287,000	-\$1,275,000	-\$1,332,000	\$1,256,000
% diff. from Base Case	-	-8%	-14%	-14%	-15%	14%

Key Results:

- The base case TCBO is \$9M, three times the building's CRV of \$2.37M.
- The minimum upgrade scenario uses 43% less energy than the base case but adds 8% to the TCBO.⁷
- The NZER options use 75% less energy than the base case but cost 14% more in lifetime operating costs.
- The NZE retrofit provides 100% energy savings yet increases the TCBO by 15%.
- The lowest TCBO is the Minimum Upgrade plus PV which delivers a 68% reduction in EUI for a 14% TCBO savings of \$1.25M.



⁷ Minor discrepancies are noted between TCBO energy results and the TEUI results given earlier in this report due to differences in protocols for building area take-offs, with SEEFAR using exterior building dimensions and energy modeling based on interior dimensions.

	Tab	le 6 Oper	rat	ing Cost Su	um	mary						
	Ba	se Case	,	Min Upgrade	N	ZER ASHP	N7	ZER OSHP		NZE	đ	Min Solar
Itilities (including carb	on	tax)		and the same of						-		
Cost	\$	5,978,000	\$	3,782,000	\$	2,436,000	\$	2,438,000	\$	42,000	\$	1,523,000
Diff. from Base Case	\$	-	\$	(2,196,000)	\$	(3,542,000)	\$	(3,540,000)	\$	(5,936,000)	\$	(4,455,000)
% diff from Base Case		0%		-37%		-59%		-59%	1	-99%	2	-75%
Energy Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	773.78	\$	489.54	\$	315.31	\$	315.57	Ś	5.44	\$	197.13

										Mai	ntenance
Cost	\$ 279,000	\$	174,000	\$	122,000	\$	122,000	\$	270,000	\$	313,000
Diff. from Base Case	\$ 	\$	(105,000)	\$	(157,000)	\$	(157,000)	\$	(9,000)	\$	34,000
% diff from Base Case	0%	n.	-38%	n a	-56%	1.1	-56%	1.000	-3%	12.2	12%
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$ 36.11	\$	22.52	\$	15.79	\$	15.79	\$	34.95	\$	40.51

					Insur	ance	& Taxes
Costs	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$	465,000
Diff. from Base Case	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 	\$ 	\$ -	\$	-
% diff from Base Case	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%

						Firs	t Year	Annual	Main	tenance
Cost	\$ 2,400	\$	1,500	\$ 1,150	\$	1,050	\$	2,324	\$	2,689
Diff. from Base Case	\$ -	\$	(900)	\$ (1,250)	\$	(1,350)	\$	(76)	\$	289
% diff from Base Case	0%	1.00	-38%	-52%	8.5	-56%		-3%	14	12%
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$ 0.31	\$	0.19	\$ 0.15	\$	0,14	\$	0.30	\$	0.35

- The 60-year utility costs for the Base Case are 2.5 times the CRV of the building.
- The NZR options reduce the 60-year utility costs by 59%.
- A NZE retrofit reduces the energy costs by 99% to \$42,000, which is the meter charge or minimum charge for the electrical service.
- The maintenance costs for all scenarios cost less than the base case because of the reduced maintenance for a metal roof and siding compared with asphalt shingles and wood siding. Eliminating the boiler also represents significant maintenance savings.
- Insurance costs are the same for all options.

Parameters:

- The analysis start year is 2024. Utility, construction, and maintenance costs have been escalated to 2024. Construction costs have been escalated by 20% for 2022-23, and by 10% from 2023-24, or 32% over the two years.
- NS Power rates will increase by 7.1% in 2023 and 7.0% in 2024.
- Carbon tax for fuel oil came into effect in NS in 2023. It is calculated separately in the SEEFAR model and is not included in the fuel oil price.
- Carbon tax has been applied to electricity as it is expected to be passed on to the customer by NS Power.
- Solar panel maintenance is based on \$28/kWdc/year.



	Tab	le 7 Capit	al	Cost Summ	nar	y					1000
	Ba	ise Case	4	Min Jpgrade	N	ZER ASHP	N	ZER GSHP	NZE	E	Min Solar
itial Retrofit / HPB Co	stYe	ar 1	-			2 Call	L	1200	Provide State	1	Sec. 1
Initial Cost	\$	95,000	\$	2,110,000	\$	2,687,000	\$	2,804,000	\$ 3,720,000	\$	2,278,000
Diff. from Base Case	\$	/ · · · ·	\$	2,015,000	\$	2,592,000	\$	2,709,000	\$ 3,625,000	\$	2,183,000
% diff from Base Case	6	0%		2121%	1	2728%	-	2852%	3816%		2298%
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	12	\$	273	\$	348	\$	363	\$ 482	\$	295
aintenance Capital Co	sts	60 Years				-					-
Cost	\$	2,181,000	\$	1,530,000	\$	2,419,000	\$	2,192,000	\$ 2,819,000	\$	1,349,00
Diff. from Base Case	\$	÷	\$	(651,000)	\$	238,000	\$	11,000	\$ 638,000	\$	(832,000
% diff from Base Case		0%	1	-30%		11%		1%	29%		-389
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	282	\$	198	\$	313	\$	284	\$ 365	\$	17
trofit / HPB + Mainte	nan	ce Capital	Co	sts 60 Years	1	The Party of		1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		States in the
Total Costs	\$	(2,276,000)	\$	(3,640,000)	\$	(5,106,000)	\$	(4,996,000)	\$ (6,539,000)	\$	(3,627,000
Diff. from Base Case	\$	-	\$	(1,364,000)	\$	(2,830,000)	\$	(2,720,000)	\$ (4,263,000)	\$	(1,351,000
% diff from Base Case		0%		-60%	127	-124%	1.1	-120%	-187%	12.4	-59%

The Capital Cost Summary compares the first-year capital investment in maintaining the existing building with the construction costs for the retrofit scenarios. The capital costs for the retrofits have been escalated to 2024 values from the construction cost estimate. The retrofits costs are high because of the extensive work on the building enclosure and new mechanical systems and solar panels. The NZE scenario costing \$1M more than the CRV of the building.

Maintenance Capital is the cost of replacing major building components as they wear or age out. For example, the boiler needs to be replaced every 25 years. The costs to maintain the building in all scenarios is similar, at around \$1M.

This analysis assumes that solar panels will not be replaced in the 60-year time frame of the analysis, but that they will undergo regular renewal through annual maintenance.



		Table 8 Ann	nual Energy (Consumptio	ń		
	Units	Base Case	Min Upgrade	NZER ASHP	NZER GSHP	NZE	Min Solar
Water	m3	÷.		4			4
Sewer Discharge	m3		-	-1		Carlo Ce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Electric	kWh	43,240.00	38,937.00	41,279.00	41,310.00	41,279.00	38,973.00
Gas	m3			-	-		1.11.11
Heating Oil	Litres	10,632.00	4,594.00	-			4,594.00
GHG emissions	kg CO2 eq	57,283.82	38,321.61	27,656.93	27,677.70	S	12,233.82
Solar PV generated	kWh			÷.		41,279.00	38,973.00
Total	ekWh	158,420.00	88,705.33	41,279.00	41,310.00		49,768.33
Total	GJ	570.31	319.34	148.60	148.72	1	179.17
EUI	kWh/m2/yr	220.64	123.55	57.49	57.53	-	69.32

Key Results:

- Electricity consumption, GHGs and EUI are decreased in all retrofit scenarios.
- Water consumption and sewer discharge were not measured. There may be an opportunity for savings by replacing plumbing fixtures, but that was not undertaken in this study.
- The Minimum Upgrade plus solar reduces GHG emissions by 78% and EUI by 68%
- Fuel oil is used in the Base Case, Minimum Upgrade and Minimum Upgrade + solar scenarios. Fossil fuel must be eliminated by 2035 to meet the Municipality of Colchester's emissions targets, and by 2050 to meet Canada's.



Figure 23 TCBO Comparison



Cumulative TCBO



The existing building has the lowest TCBO for the first 51 years, and the Minimum Upgrade with Solar scenario has lower costs for the remaining life of the building.



Discussion

There are two key issues we are trying to address in this study, reducing GHG emissions and minimizing the lifetime Total Cost of Building Ownership.

This results for this project are perhaps the most surprising out of the six buildings studied. All the retrofit scenarios initially developed represent a higher TCBO than the existing building. Adding solar panels to the Minimum Upgrade was the only way to achieve a positive TCBO. This indicates that the proposed retrofits and their capital costs need to be examined to find more savings.

The cost of the retrofits designed in this study are much higher than anticipated. It is impractical to invest over \$400/ft² to upgrade a building when a new facility could be built for similar money, however stopping emissions is about more than energy used for heating and cooling. The Municipality of Colchester has committed to decarbonizing their corporate buildings by 2035 and replacing all existing buildings with high EUIs with new buildings is also impractical.

The embodied carbon emissions from the retrofit are 89 kgCO₂e. Embodied carbon data is not readily available for commercial buildings of this type, but this building is small enough that it can be evaluated at the scale of a large house. If the Debert Water Utility Facility were to be replaced by a new build with moderate carbon materials, its embodied carbon would be 108,000 kgCO₂e based on a value of 150 kgCO₂e per m².⁸ This number doesn't include the embodied carbon content of the existing structure which would be sent to landfill, which contains significant amounts of concrete and steel. If our goal is to stop emissions today, evaluating every retrofit through the lens of embodied carbon is valuable.

The recommended retrofit is the Minimum Upgrade + solar with its 14% TCBO savings over the next 60 years. This retrofit maintains fuel-oil based heating equipment in the building, which must be removed by 2050 to meet Canada's net-zero goals. The costs to retrofit the heating system at that future date are not captured in the TCBO and may well offset the 14% savings achieved. For this reason, this scenario is no more viable than the options with negative TCBOs.

Further work is needed to identify ways to reduce the cost to retrofit the Debert Water Utility Facility.



⁸ Passive Buildings Canada and Builders for Climate Action (2022) Emissions of Materials Benchmark Assessment for Residential Construction Report. <u>https://www.buildersforclimateaction.org/report---</u> <u>embarc-report.html</u>

Conclusions

This study of Panelized Deep Retrofits of Municipal Buildings was undertaken to develop deep retrofit strategies to support municipal decarbonization efforts by adapting the Energiesprong approach to the Canadian context.

The project goals were to develop deep retrofit scenarios to achieve 50% or more EUI savings and at least one scenario that can achieve Net Zero Energy (NZE) with the addition of solar PV. The solutions needed to minimize occupant disruption and embodied carbon. The recommended retrofit pathway would be the option with the lowest Total Cost of Building Ownership. Finally, the recommended solution should demonstrate a calculated payback of 20 years or better.

The technical details of the retrofit scenarios were straightforward. The economic targets were challenging and in the case of the 20-year payback, not one of the retrofits to the six municipal buildings can be shown to achieve it.

The ReCover Initiative has studied the potential for prefabricated panelized deep retrofits in lorise multi-unit dwellings in two previous case studies⁹. These studies found the lowest TCBO over the anticipated life of the building was achieved through Net Zero Energy retrofits where the targets were met with an Energy Use Intensity (EUI) reduction of at least 75% before adding solar PV. This was not the result in the Panelized Retrofits to Municipal Buildings study.

While the results of this project did not meet expectations, they do serve the objectives to derisk investment in deep retrofits in Canada, to provide evidence on the effectiveness and scalability of a panelized deep retrofit approach and to build confidence and experience in deep retrofits among Canadian municipalities and industry stakeholders.

This study shows that the technical challenges are secondary to the overwhelming barrier of cost. It also showed that if investment in deep retrofits doesn't start now, municipalities will pay exponentially more down the road.

Deep GHG reductions are very achievable in municipal buildings. The Minimum Upgrade scenario with the addition of solar, is the only retrofit option considered for the Debert Water Utility Building that resulted in a positive TCBO. The retrofit will cost 2.36M, which is \$10,000 less than the cost replacement value of the building. It results in a 69% reduction in EUI and 79% reduction in GHGs and saves \$1.3M over the life of the building.



⁹ ReCover Initiative (2020) *ReCover Phase One Case Study Report* and ReCover Initiative (2022) *Scarlettwood Court Deep Retrofit Case Study Report*, <u>https://www.recoverinitiative.ca/about-us/our-results/report-request</u>

Appendix A Pre-retrofit Drawings









1

÷

....







) •



÷



Appendix B

Facility Condition Assessment

- Building Component Summary Worksheet
 - Building component photo log
 - Building Component Cash Flow

Building Component Summary Worksheet Debert Water Utility Building

									r	De	cision Param	eters			1				
			Year of	Expected Useful		Theoretical	Useful Life	Year of			Impact to		1		Type of event		1		
Component	Uniformat Code	Recapitalization Detail	Installation or Repair	Life (EUL)	Current Age	Remaining Useful Life (RUL)	Corrected For Observations	Replacement	Life Safety	O&M Impact	Business	Utility	Vision	Total	(Cyclic/Single)	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Site Work																			
Asphalt Drive	G2010 - Roadways	Areas of cracking. Replace at end of useful life	1995	20	27	-7	8	2030	No	Yes	No	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	ft²	15,060	\$ 5.50	\$ 82,830
Asphalt Parking	G2020 - Parking Lots	Areas of cracking. Replace at end of useful life	1995	20	27	-7	8	2030	No	Yes	No	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	ft²	7,720	\$ 5.50	\$ 42,460
Asphalt Curbing	G2010 - Roadways	Areas of visible impact damage. Replace at end of useful life	1995	20	27	-7	15	2037	No	No	No	Low	No	-1	Cyclical	ft	920	\$ 5.50	\$ 5,060
Asphalt Paving - Repair Allowance	G2010 - Roadways	Allowance to repair 5% of asphalt to extend the useful life.	2022	5	0	5	3	2025	No	No	No	High	No	1	Single	11 ²	1,139	\$ 5.50	\$ 6,265
Concrete Pavers	G2030 - Pedestrian Paving	Replace as required through O&M.	1990	20	21	-7	50	2072	NO	Yes	No	Normal	NO	1	Single	Tr.	300	3 - -	S -
Pole Mounted Halogen Lighting	G4020 - Site Lighting	Replace at end or useful life	2010	25	12	13	13	2030	Yes	Yes	NO	LOW	Yes	2	Cyclical	Ea	3	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 10,000
		Concrete foundation and strip footings. Allowance for minor repairs at 1% of										1.							
Concrete Foundation	A1010 - Standard Foundations	floor plate	1980	75	42	33	33	2055	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	ft²	80	\$ 56.00	\$ 4,480
Slab on Grade	A1030 - Slab on Grade	Allowance for minor repairs, 2.5% of floor plate	1980	75	42	33	33	2055	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	ft²	200	\$ 56.35	\$ 11,270
Concrete Block Superstructure	B1020 - Roof Construction	Minor repair for localized step cracking, repairs as part of O&M	1980	75	42	33	48	2070	No	No	No	Low	No	-1	Cyclical	ft²	0	\$ -	\$ -
Metal Deck on Steel Joists	B1020 - Roof Construction	replacement not anticipated	1980	75	42	33	33	2055	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	ff	0	\$ -	S -
Wood Roof Structure	B1020 - Roor Construction	Repair allowance at end or useful line	1980	75	42	33	33	2000	res	res	res	Normal	NO	3	Cyclical	II ^e	2,480	\$ 2.50	\$ 6,200
Noor Modified Ritumon	R2010 - Reof Coverings	Performation of life	2017	20	5	15	15	2027	No	Vor	Vor	High	No	2	Cyclical	42	5.490	\$ 22.00	\$ 120,560
Asphalt Shingles	B3010 - Roof Coverings	Replace at end of life	2017	20	2	3	5	2007	No	Vac	Vor	High	No	3	Cyclical	42	2,490	\$ 5.50	\$ 126,000
Exterior Envelope	Dobito - Robi Coverniga	Treplace at end of the	2000	25	"		5	2021	140	165	165	riigh	NO		Cyclical	n	2,400	9 0.00	φ 13,040
Prefinished Metal Siding	B2010 - Exterior Walls	Replace at end of useful life	1980	35	42	-7	15	2037	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Yes	3	Cyclical	ft²	3,055	\$ 8.16	\$ 24,929
Prefinished Metal Siding - Maintenance	B2010 - Exterior Walls	Allowance for refinishing Metal Siding	1980	10	42	-32	1	2023	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Yes	3	Single	ft²	3,055	\$ 2.80	\$ 8,554
Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	B2010 - Exterior Walls	Replace at end of useful life, minor immediate repairs to be completed as	2000	30	22	8	8	2030	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Yes	3	Cyclical	ft²	3.885	\$ 10.92	\$ 42,424
Wood Fremed Claring Martin	P2020 - Exterior Windows	part of O&M Replace at end of us of ullife	2000	20	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		-	2027	No	Vee	V	Normal	Nie	-	Cuolinal	 40	475	\$ 60.00	\$ 40,500
Vinul Glazing Units	B2020 - Exterior Windows B2020 - Exterior Windows	Replace at end of useful life	2000	30 30	22	8	5 10	2027	No	Yes	Yes Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	11° ff2	1/5	\$ 60.00	\$ 10,500
Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	B2020 - Exterior Windows	Replace at end of useful life	1980	40	42	-2	10	2032	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	ff ²	170	\$ 85.00	\$ 14.450
Overhead Door - East Elevation, South	R2020 Euterier Deere	Deplece at and of useful life	2000	15	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-		2020	Ne	Vee	Vee	Nermal	Ne	-	Cualical	Ee.	2	¢ 5000.00	¢ 10,000
Elevation	B2030 - Exterior Doors	Replace at end of useful file	2000	15	- 22	-1	0	2030	INU	165	Tes	Normai	INU	-	Cyclical	Ed	2	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 10,000
Front Entrance Door, Framed With Glazing	B2030 - Exterior Doors	Replace at end of useful life	2000	30	22	8	5	2027	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500
Metal Door With Glazing - East & North Elevations	B2030 - Exterior Doors	Replace at end of useful life	2000	25	22	3	3	2025	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	2	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,000
Metal Service Door	B2030 - Exterior Doors	Replace at end of useful life	2000	25	22	3	3	2025	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500
Building Interior									-								-		
VCT Flooring	C3020 - Floor Finishes	Replace at end of useful life	1980	25	42	-17	10	2032	No	Yes	No	Low	No	0	Cyclical	ft²	7,900	\$ 8.35	\$ 65,965
VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	C3020 - Floor Finishes	Replace at end of useful life	1980	25	42	-17	0	2022	No	Yes	No	High	No	2	Single	ft²	800	\$ 8.35	\$ 6,680
VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance	C3020 - Floor Finishes	Local Repairs are anticipated to be completed through O&M	2022	4	0	4	4	2026	No	Yes	No	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	ft²	7,900	<u>\$</u> -	s -
Gypsum Board Walls	C3010 - Wall Finishes	Local Repairs are anticipated to be completed through O&M,	1980	65	42	23	50	2072	No	Yes	No	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	LS	1	\$ -	s -
Painted Concrete Block Walls	C3010 - Wall Finishes	Cyclical painting is anticipated to be complete through O&M	1980	75	42	33	50	2072	NO	Yes	NO	Normai	NO	1	Cyclical	LS		3 -	s -
Suspended Ceiling	C3030 - Ceiling Finishes	Replace ceiling structure at end of useful life	1980	20	42	-22	15	2037	Yes	No	No	Normal	Yes	2	Cyclical	ft²	2,400	\$ 5.63	\$ 13,512
Solid Wood Core Doors	C1020 - Interior Doors	Replacement is not anticipated	1980	60	42	18	40	2062	Yes	No	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	Ea	0	\$ 900.00	\$ -
Kitchenette Millwork	C3010 - Wall Finishes	Replace at end of life	2010	25	12	13	13	2035	No	No	No	Normal	No	0	Cyclical	Lft	10	\$ 225.00	\$ 2,250
Mechanical	1	-	1	1	1		1	-	-	1	T	1	1			0	1		-
Plumbing Service & Distribution	D2020 - Domestic Water Distribution	No major replacement is anticipated	1980	50	42	8	15	2037	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	ft²	7,900	\$ 10.25	\$ 80,975
Back Flow Preventer	D2020 - Domestic Water Distribution	install a back flow preventer	2022	20	0	20	0	2022	Yes	No	Yes	Low	Yes	2	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500
Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	D2020 - Domestic Water	Replace at end of useful life	2019	15	3	12	12	2034	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500
Electric Water Pumps (2 hp)	Distribution D2090 - Other Plumbing Systems	Replace / rebuild at end of useful life	1980	35	42	-7	5	2027	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	Fa	2	\$ 2,500,00	\$ 5,000
Plumbing fixtures	D2010 - Plumbing Fixtures	Replace at end of useful life,	1980	35	42	-7	8	2030	No	Yes	No	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	12	\$ 1,050.00	\$ 12,600
Shower	D2010 - Plumbing Fixtures	Replace at end of useful life	2000	30	22	8	8	2030	No	Yes	No	Low	No	0	Cyclical	Ea	2	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 6,000
Boiler	D3020 - Heat Generating Systems	Replace at end of useful life	2005	30	17	13	13	2035	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Yes	3	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500
Expansion Tank	D3020 - Heat Generating System	Replace at end of useful life	2020	30	2	28	28	2050	No	No	Yes	Normal	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500
Baseboard Radiators	D3020 - Heat Generating System	Replace at end of usful life. Minor repairs as part of O&M budgets	1980	40	42	-2	20	2042	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	ft²	7,900	\$ 9.15	\$ 72,285
Lennox Heat Pump	D3020 - Heat Generating System	Replace at end of useful life	2022	20	0	20	20	2042	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	Yes	3	Cyclical	Ton	3	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 7,500
Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	G3060 - Fuel Distribution	Replace at end of life	1995	25	27	-2	5	2027	No	No	No	Low	No	-1	Cyclical	Ea	1.0	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500
Diesel Generator	D3010 - Energy Supply	Replace at end of useful life	1979	35	43	-8	2	2024	No	No	Yes	Low	No	0	Cyclical	Ea	1	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000
Day Tank	D 3010 - Energy Supply	Day tank label not accesible. Replacement anticipated to be at end of life	1980	20	42	-22	2	2024	No	Yes	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	Ea	1	ə 1,500.00	ə 1,500
Electrical	D5010 Electrical Service and		1		1	1		r	1	1		1	1	r –			1		
Main Distribution (800A, 120V)	Distribution	Replace main panel at end of useful life.	1980	40	42	-2	4	2026	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	LS	1	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000
Electrical Panels & Branch Wiring	Distribution	Allowance for 10% repairs every 10 years	1980	40	42	-2	8	2030	No	Yes	Yes	Normal	No	2	Cyclical	ft²	790	\$ 13.31	\$ 10,515
Lighting Fixtures	D5020 - Lighting and Branch Wiring	I 12 rug in includes. Reprace builds as part of O&M. Replace fixture at end of useful life	2000	25	22	3	5	2027	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	No	4	Cyclical	ft²	7,900	\$ 3.70	\$ 29,230
Life Safety / Fire Suppression										-							-	_	
Exit Signage	D4030 - Fire Protection	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful life	2000	20	22	-2	15	2037	Yes	Yes	No	Normal	Yes	3	Cyclical	LS	1	\$-	s -
Smoke Alarm	D4030 - Fire Protection	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful life	2000	15	22	-7	10	2032	Yes	No	Yes	Low	No	1	Cyclical	LS	1	\$ -	s -
Fire Extinguisher	D4030 - Fire Protection	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful life	2000	15	22	-7	0	2022	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Yes	3	Cyclical	LS	1	\$-	\$ -

Projected Cash Flow Debert Water Utility Building Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10 Year 11 Year 12 Year 13 Year 14 Year 15 Year 16 Year 17 Year 18 Year 19 Year 20 Year 21 Year 22 Year 23 Year 24																											
					Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16 Y	′ear 17 Ye	ar 18 Ye	ar 19 Year 2) Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24 Year 25
Component	Recapitalization Detail	Year of Replacement	Expected Useful	Total Cost	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038 2	2039 2	2040 2041	2042	2043	2044	2045 2046
e Work	•																										
Asphalt Drive	Areas of cracking. Replace at end of useful life	2030	20	\$ 82,830		<u> </u>						5	\$ 82,830			ļ										{ [†]	
Asphalt Parking	Areas of cracking. Replace at end of useful life	2030	20	\$ 42,460		ł			}		·{		\$ 42,460	÷		{}				¢ 5.060	····•				.	{i	
Asphalt Paving - Repair Allowance	Allowance to repair 5% of asphalt to extend the useful life.	2025	5	\$ 6,265		{		\$ 6.265	<u>}</u>		· { · · · · · · · ·			÷		{}				\$ 5,000	····•	{			·	} !	
Concrete Pavers	Replace as required through O&M.	2072	20	\$ -		1					1			<u>.</u>			·····	••••••								ſ	
Pole Mounted Halogen Lighting	Replace at end of useful life	2035	25	\$ 10,500		{			{		}							\$ 10,500		}					<u> </u>		
ucture			-	1		1			,		1				1	,	· · ·										
Concrete Foundation	Concrete loundation and simp lootings. Allowance for minior repairs at 1% of floor plate	2055	75	\$ 4,480		}			}		1			<u> </u>		[]						l			<u>i</u>	i	
Slab on Grade	Allowance for minor repairs, 2.5% of floor plate	2055	75	\$ 11,270		}			}																ļ	لسبينية	
Concrete Block Superstructure Metal Deck on Steel Joists	Minor repair for localized step cracking, repairs as part of O&M replacement not anticipated	2070	75	s -		<u> </u>					-{					}{											
Wood Roof Structure	Repair allowance at end of useful life	2055	75	\$ 6.200	·····	{·····			{		· { · · · · · · · · · ·			÷i		} ∮				·	····•					;!	
of						,			,	•	,						•							<u> </u>			
Modified Bitumen	Replace at end of life	2037	20	\$ 120,560		{			[{					}				\$ 120,560						[
Asphalt Shingles	Replace at end of life	2027	25	\$ 13,640		}			{	\$ 13,640	}					{ }	i		<u>i i</u>	{				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
terior Envelope	Develope at and of work diffe	0007	25	e 04.000			•		,		1				:	, ,				¢ 24.020 }		<u> </u>					
Prefinished Metal Siding - Maintenance	Allowance for refinishing Metal Siding	2037	10	\$ 24,929 \$ 8,554		\$ 8,554			<u> </u>		÷			÷		\$ 8,554				5 24,929	~~~~	marta			\$ 8,554	;	
Painted Wood Clanboard Siding	Replace at end of useful life, minor immediate repairs to be completed as	2030	30	\$ 42.424		÷ 0,554	••••••		{·-···	·····	·{	·····				<i>y</i> 0,554	·····	•••••	<u>}</u> †	•••••••	•••••	•••••{••		÷	<i>v</i> 0,554	ç;	·····
Wood Framed Glazing Units	part of O&M Renlace at end of useful life	2007	30	\$ 10,500		<u> </u>			}	\$ 10,500	+		ş 42,424			}										jj.	
Vinyl Glazing Units	Replace at end of useful life	2027	30	\$ 1,800		{	·		<u>{</u>	\$ 10,500	ł				\$ 1.800	j			÷							;i	
Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	Replace at end of useful life	2032	40	\$ 14,450		·{	••••••	•••••	{·····		·}				\$ 14,450						•••••	•••••				;····;	
Overhead Door - East Elevation, South Elevation	Replace at end of useful life	2030	15	\$ 10,000	[1	·····		{`````		1	Ş	\$ 10,000			{								. <u>.</u>	1	{	
Front Entrance Door, Framed With Glazing	Replace at end of useful life	2027	30	\$ 3,500		{ 			{. .	\$ 3,500	.}					{}										{	
tal Door With Glazing - East & North Elevations	Replace at end of useful life	2025	25	\$ 3,000		{		\$ 3,000	{		1			1									{		i i	ş ;	
Metal Service Door	Replace at end of useful life	2025	25	\$ 1,500		{		\$ 1,500			1					{							<u> </u>			<u></u>	
ilding Interior																											
VCT Flooring	Replace at end of useful life	2032	25	\$ 65,965		\$			{						\$ 65,965	} }										j;	·····
VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	Replace at end or useful life	2022	25	\$ 6,680 ¢	\$ 6,680	<u> </u>			{		÷					}{	÷									turning	
Gypsum Board Walls	Local Repairs are anticipated to be completed through O&M,	2072	65	s -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·		}		<u>†</u>			† mi		•••••••									÷	;i	
Painted Concrete Block Walls	Cyclical painting is anticipated to be complete through O&M	2072	75	s -	·····	·{	••••••	•••••	{·····		·{	·····	••••••			}{	·····	•••••	·····					1		ç;	
Suspended Ceiling	Local accoustic tile replacement anticipated to be completed through O&M.	2037	20	\$ 13,512	1	}			{		}									\$ 13.517		}		1	-	}	
Solid Wood Core Doors	Replacement is not anticipated	2062	60	s -		t			<u> </u>		+		~~~~~	·····		front		\$ 2,250		<u>, 13,512</u>						jj	
Kitchenette Millwork	Replace at end of life	2035	25	\$ 2,250		{					1			1											<u>.</u>	[]	
chanical																											
Plumbing Service & Distribution	No major replacement is anticipated	2037	50	\$ 80,975		<u> </u>			<u>}</u>							{}				\$ 80,975					<u>.</u>	{ [†]	
Back Flow Preventer	install a back flow preventer Replace at each of useful life	2022	20	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500	ł			}		·{			÷		{}	¢ 3,500				····•				.	{i	
Water Pumps (2 hp)	Replace / rebuild at end of useful life	2027	35	\$ 2,000 \$ 5,000		<u>{</u>			<u>{</u>	\$ 5.000	+			÷		}f	\$ 2,500	~~~~~						~~~~~		;	
Plumbing fixtures	Replace at end of useful life,	2030	35	\$ 12,600		<u>{</u>	·		{		ţ		\$ 6,000	·i			÷		·							{;	
Shower	Replace at end of useful life	2030	30	\$ 6,000		1			{		1	Ş	\$ 6,000			}								<u>.</u>		{	
Boiler	Replace at end of useful life	2035	30	\$ 12,500	ļ				<u>}</u>							ļ		\$ 12,500					mufuu		į	لسبينية	
Expansion Tank Baseboard Redistore	reprace at end of useful life Replace at end of usful life. Minor repairs as part of O&M hudgets	2050	30	\$ 1,500 \$ 72.2%	 	}			}. .		.}			· · · · · · ·		{·····}	·····			••••••••••••					••••••	;	
Lennox Heat Pump	Replace at end of useful life	2042	20	\$ 7,500		·}	••••••	•••••	}		·}		••••••	· · · · · ·		{·····}	·····	•••••	•••••		·····			\$ 7,500	·····	ç	
Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	Replace at end of life	2027	25	\$ 12,500		}	·		}	\$ 12,500	1			*		[]									••••••	çi	
Diesel Generator	Replace at end of useful life	2024	35	\$ 18,000	[<u>}</u>	\$ 18,000		}		})		[]							<u>}</u>		<u></u>	1	
Day Tank	Day tank label not accesible. Replacement anticipated to be at end of life	2024	20	\$ 1,500		{	\$ 1,500		{	:	{	: :				}{	:		: :	}		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$ 1,500	
ctrical		0000	10			χ			5000		1									,		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Electrical Panels & Branch Wiring	Replace main panel at end of useful life. Allowance for 10% repairs every 10 years	2026	40	\$ 5,000		·{	÷	•••••	5000		·{		\$ 10.515			}{	·····	•••••	·					· ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		;	
Lighting Finture	T12 light fixtures. Replace bulbs as part of O&M. Replace fixture at end of	2027		s 20,000	f	f	·		<u>}</u>		· f	·	, 10,313			}				~~~~~		f	martana			;;	·
Lignting Fixtures	useful life	2021	20	 29,230 		{			{	\$ 29,230	ł			: :		; }	i		<u> </u>	}		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
Satety / Fire Suppression	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful					{			;	<u>.</u>	3			: :						5		—					: :
Exit Signage	life	2037	20	\$ -	 	<u> </u>			<u>}</u>		<u>.</u>			Ļj		<u> </u>				. <u></u>					<u>.</u>	;;	
Smoke Alarm	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful life	2032	15	s -	"	}			{		}			1		1	1		: i	Ţ		}	{	1		3	
Fire Extinguisher	Anticipated to be inspected annually. Replace through O&M at end of useful	2022	15	s -	f	}	·		}	·	1			1		[]			·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
- no Exangulation	life				L	2	: .		2		2			:		<u>s (</u>			: :	}		<u>{</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·i	:
			TOTALS	Year \$	2022 \$ 8,180	2023 \$ 8,554	2024 \$ 19,500	2025 \$10,765	2026 \$ 5,000	2027 \$ 74,370	2028 \$ -	2029 \$-	2030 \$ 200,229	2031 \$ -	2032 \$82,215	2033 \$ 8,554	2034 \$2,500	2035 \$ 25,250	2036 \$ -	2037 2 \$245,036 \$	2038 2 \$- \$	039 2 - \$	1040 2041 5- \$-	2042 \$7,500	2043 \$ 8,554	2044 \$ 1,500	2045 2046 \$- \$-

Appendix C Structural Outline Specification

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS





Debert Water Utility Building - Colchester

Structural Analysis

The Colchester site consists of 5 buildings, labelled A through E. The usage of the buildings is currently unknown to DesignPoint; however, it appears as though Building A & B are the primary buildings, while Building E is a garage and Buildings C & D are greenhouses which appear to be no longer be present based on recent Google Earth imagery. It is understood that only Building A and Building B will be considered for the purposes of this feasibility study. A site plan with each building is shown below in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Existing Debert Water Utility buildings.

Based on review of available past drawings and the estimated age of the structure, ASTM A36 steel was assumed (yield strength of 250 MPa and ultimate tensile strength of 400 MPa) and SPF No.1/No.2 was assumed for the timber components.

The structural framing for the buildings greatly (in both overall geometry and materials) and will be discussed in subsequent sections. It should be noted that DesignPoint did not complete a site visit at this site as per the terms of our proposal and as such, has completed this assessment based only on available drawings from 1979, as well as select photos from mechanical/electrical site visits.

Building A & B

Building A & B are connected via a small, $\pm 7'$ wide timber framed walkway. Both buildings, as well as the walkway, are founded on concrete slab on grades, with exterior frost walls and interior strip footings to support load bearing walls.

Building A

Building A is approximately 44' x 52' (including bump out at front of building) and appears to be fully timber framed with walls consisting of 2x6 studs at 16" on centre and pre-engineered timber roof trusses. The roof system consists of timber trusses (4 types, labelled A through D). Unfortunately, the trusses were designed by the manufacturer and as such, no member sizes were provided, and shop drawings are not available. It is therefore not possible to evaluate the roof trusses and their connections without conducting a site visit to take



detailed measurements of all members and connector plates. Roof details were also not provided on the drawings for Building A. Based on the age of the structure and changes in the code over the decades, it is expected that the roof structure would be found to be deficient if analyzed under current NBCC-prescribed loading. A partial section of the Building A wall and roof structure is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Building A exterior wall section.



Walkway Between Building A & B

The walkway between Building A and B is timber framed with a 2" x 8" central ridge board and 2" x 6" rafters and ceiling joists at 24". The roof pitch was taken to be 4/12 based on scaled drawings. Snow drifting was considered due to the proximity of higher, adjacent buildings which rendered the rafters deficient in both moment and shear based on current loading.

Building B

Building B is approximately 54' x 107' and consists of 10" thick concrete block walls. Based on the drawings, it appears as though lintels (either steel or precast concrete) were designed to span over all openings. Block walls were also reinforced at 16" on center and detailed with ties every second block course. Minor increases in dead and/or snow load could be accommodated by the walls and it is not anticipated that the wind load area will increase as a result of the retrofit. As such, an analysis of the lateral load resisting system (LLRS) is not required as it can be deemed satisfactory based on past performance in accordance with NBCC Structural Commentary L. It should be site confirmed that no additional openings (beyond those detailed on the drawings) have been post-installed which could compromise the capacity of the LLRS. An overall condition assessment of the walls should be completed as well to ensure no deficiencies are present if the intent is to support/anchor the new panelized coverings on the walls.

The roof system for Building B consists of open web steel joist (OWSJ) and corrugated metal deck (22 gauge, 1-1/2'' deep). Based on sheet A5 of the provided design drawings, it appears as though the original roof assembly also included crushed stone, 100mm [4''] thick rigid insulation, a 3-ply roofing membrane and 13mm [½''] thick gypsum board. During a site visit, it was noted that Building B now has a membrane on the exterior face of the roof assembly. It is not clear whether a full roof assembly replacement has been completed throughout the service life of the structure, or if this membrane was added on top of existing ballast materials. For the purposes of this study, the original construction materials were assumed for the roof analysis. The yield and tensile strengths of the deck are not known but based on currently available products of similar gauge and thickness, the roof deck would be sufficient for current dead and snow loading. If the OWSJ are to be replaced (see discussion below), it would be both economical and practical to also replace the decking as the two are likely puddle welded to form a diaphragm. Local snow drifting effects near the chimneys have not been considered at this stage of the project. A section of the existing wall and roof assembly is shown in Figure 3.





Figure 3: Building B exterior wall at roof section.

The OWSJ were not able to be evaluated based on unknown member sizes and connection details. From available site photos, it appears as though the web members are solid round bar, with back-to-back angles for the top and bottom chords. It should be noted that OWSJ evaluation/rehabilitation is recommended to be undertaken by the joist manufacturer <u>only</u> as each OWSJ is a proprietary fabricated truss. Although it is possible to evaluate individual members within the truss (if site measurements are taken), it is difficult, if not impossible, to document the capacity of existing welds at all panels points. These trusses are typically optimized based on design loads and as such, rarely have additional (reserve) capacity to accommodate future increases in load. We would therefore recommend that the OWSJ be reinforced or replaced to accommodate additional dead/snow loading that may result from the panelized coverings or increased insulation. Alternatively, a new roof structure could be constructed above the existing OWSJs to transfer all roof loading to the existing bearing walls.

Recommendations

As discussed, although a site visit was not conducted to verify the member sizes and condition of the building roof framing, it is anticipated that the existing roof framing is likely overloaded when analyzed under current code-prescribed loading and is thus unsuitable to support additional loading during a retrofit. In lieu of detailed inspections, measurements, and reinforcing design for the existing pre-engineered timber trusses of Building A, and the existing OWSJ roof structure of Building B, we recommend a system of panelized roof coverings that spans between the existing bearing walls to avoid adding additional load to the existing roof structure.

Based on our assessment, it has been determined that the existing bearing walls (2x6 studs in Building A, 10" CMU blocks in Building B) have reserve capacity and may be used to support the new roof panel structure. As



a result, we have proposed a system of new panelized roof structures for each building consisting of preengineered timber trusses bearing on the existing exterior bearing walls. Wall panels have been designed to span between the existing building foundations and roof diaphragms. We have proposed a steel bent plate lintel fastened to the existing foundation wall to support the proposed ReCover wall panels. A preliminary detail is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Proposed foundation lintel connection.

At building A, we propose attaching new roof trusses to solid blocking fastened to the existing two-ply top plates. Additionally, the top of the ReCover panels shall be tied to the roof structure using Simpson Strong-Tie tie plates. A detail of this connection is shown in Figure 5, with full drawings available in Appendix A.





Figure 5: Proposed connections at Building A roof.

As shown in Figure 3, the existing masonry walls extend beyond the existing roof to form a small parapet wall. We propose bearing the new roof trusses on this masonry parapet. It should be noted that the condition and presence of reinforcing in the parapet shall be confirmed prior to finalizing the connection details. A photo of the proposed panel connection at Building B is shown in Figure 6.





Figure 6: Proposed connections at Building B roof.

Appendix D

Mechanical Outline Specification

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS





PURPOSE

The purpose of this Design Summary is to document the existing and propose new mechanical systems for the energy retrofit of 251 Lancaster Crescent in Debert, Nova Scotia. The intent is to summarize the major features and design parameters for the Plumbing and HVAC disciplines.

The building was built in 1979 as a Tree Breeding Centre for the Department of Lands and Forests. The facility originally included three primary areas: admin, production, and a greenhouse. It is estimated that the greenhouse was removed from the structure 15 years ago. Currently, the front admin area is being rented out as business space and the back production area is now a maintenance facility.

EXISTING SYSTEMS

Site Services

The existing water service and sanitary systems are connected to municipal service.

Plumbing Services

Rainwater

Above the office section, a sloped, asphalt, shingle roof drains rainwater. The back service section consists of a modified bitumen flat roof sloped to internal roof drains, refer to Figure 1.



Figure 1 Roof drains over service area of building

Domestic Water Service

Hot water is supplied by an electric water heater located in the mechanical room. The tank has a nominal capacity of 48 gallons and maximum allowable load rating of 11kW, shown in Figure 2. It was noted that there is no backflow preventor installed on the incoming water service.





Figure 2 Electric domestic hot water heater

Plumbing Fixtures

The facility consists of two washrooms, each with a toilet, sink, and shower – we were unable to gather information on flow rates but they appear to be reasonably new. A top-loading washer and dryer set were also located in one of the washrooms – they could be replaced with new higher efficiency products. The building owner noted that the shower and washer/dryer use is limited to once a year and once a month, respectively. Figures 3 through 8 detail these fixtures.



Figure 3 Typical sink fixtures



Figure 4 Typical toilets





Figure 5 Washer and Dryer in Washroom

Figure 6 Typical Shower in Washroom

The facility also contains two staff breakrooms: one in the office area and one in the back maintenance facility. Both breakrooms include a fridge, microwave, and sink.



Figure 7 Office Breakroom

Figure 8 Maintenance area breakroom

HVAC System

Heating and Cooling

All spaces in the existing building are heated using hot water baseboard heaters or unit heaters. Hot water is provided by one 483 MBH output oil-fired boiler with a single stage burner, as shown in Figure 9. The boiler cuts in at 170°F and cuts out at 200°F. Previous records indicate that there were originally three boilers installed, however the heating equipment was likely reduced when the greenhouse was removed. Figure 11 depicts the 6,000 gallon exterior double walled storage tank stores the heating oil on site.



The boiler has a single speed circulation pump and two single speed pumps (P12/P13) operate as duty/standby circulating heat around the building. We were unable to gather information on the building circulators, but the original design called for ½ HP pumps operating at 28gpm and 20ft head. Pumps P1 through P10 are no longer in operation as the greenhouse was removed from the building. P11, P14, and P15 are no longer in operation as the DHWT is provided by an electric water heater. Heating piping is generally insulated but some piping is uninsulated in the boiler room and at some unit heaters.

An air compressor is located in the boiler room with dual 3 HP motors. It feeds both tools in the shop and pneumatic heating controls.



Figure 9 Oil-fired boiler



Figure 10 Hot water recirculation loop



Figure 11 On-site oil storage tank

Baseboard heaters are installed in all areas of the building except for the north office area of the maintenance facility which has an electric baseboard heater (depicted in Figures 12 and 13).

Supplemental hot water unit heaters have also been installed in the work area on the south side of the building and outside the mechanical room.



Figure 12 Baseboard heater in meeting room



Figure 13 Baseboard heater in north office



Figure 14 Unit heater outside mechanical room



Figure 15 Unit heater in work area

A 2.5-ton heat pump has also been installed in the office area to provide heating and cooling. The unit was only installed in June 2022. The office area was previously cooled with a ducted air conditioner. The indoor and outdoor heat pump units are shown in Figures 16 and 17.





Figure 16 Indoor heat pump unit



Figure 17 Outdoor heat pump unit

The maintenance area does not have any cooling aside from two window unit air conditioning units installed in the north and south offices, shown in Figures 18 and 19.



Figure 18 North office air conditioning unit

Figure 19 South office air conditioning unit

Ventilation

The only ventilation installed in the facility includes bathroom exhaust fans.





Figure 20 Bathroom exhaust inlet



Figure 21 Bathroom exhaust outlet

Boiler	Fuel Type	Oil-fired
	Temperature Range	170°F - 200°F
	Output	483 MBH
	Efficiency	82%
Burner	Туре	Single stage
	HP	1/3 HP
Circulation Pumps	Tag ID	P12 and P13
	HP	1/2 HP*
Heat Pump – Indoor Unit	Nominal Tonnage	2.5 tons
	Blower Motor Output	1/2 HP
Heat Pump – Outdoor Unit	SEER	14.0
	HSPF	9.0
	Condenser HP	1/6 HP
Storage Tank	Туре	Double walled
	Capacity	6,000 gallons
*Assumed from drawings as information was not available on site		

Controls

The building does not have a central building automation system. Standalone pneumatic thermostats control baseboard heater control valves and electronic thermostats control force flow heater fans.

COMMENTS

Currently, the facility is inadequately ventilated for occupation. No other occupant complaints were reported.

Schedule of Equipment:


PROPOSED SYSTEMS

Systems have been proposed as per the minimum acceptable, net zero ready and net zero scenarios. The details of each system are provided below. For the purpose of this study, it has been assumed that the occupancy schedules and space usage are consistent with existing conditions.

In all scenarios, it is recommended that insulation be added to internal rainwater leaders and plumbing vents to prevent condensation and thermal bridging. Typically, insulation to prevent condensate is a minimum of 1/2" (~R-3); however, it is recommended that the insulation be increased to 3" (~R-20) to prevent thermal bridging. The insulation should be run down to the slab which may require access inside walls. To fully minimize thermal bridging, roof drain retrofits should be prioritized to be external of the enclosure.

The temperatures used for designing the HVAC systems are as follows, based on the 2.5% design temperatures for Debert from the NECB:

Outdoor Design Conditions:

Summer:	27°C [81°F] DB, 21 °C [70°F] WB
Winter:	-21°C [-6°F] DB
Indoor Design Conditions:	
Summer:	75°F [24°C] DB
Winter:	72°F [22°C]

1. Minimum Acceptable Scenario

Heating and Cooling

Heating will continue to be provided by a combination of the existing unit heaters and hydronic baseboard heaters served by the existing oil-fired boiler, and existing electric baseboard heaters. The existing boiler still has some remaining useful life so this scenario avoids the replacement of the existing high temperature distribution system.

Cooling will only be provided to areas that have already had systems installed. These areas include the north/south offices in Building A and the leased office area in Building B. The existing air source heat pump (ASHP) in Building A would continue to be used as it was installed this year. In the Building B offices, the existing Packaged Terminal Air Conditioner (PTAC) and portable air conditioning units would be replaced with mini split units.

Domestic hot water will continue to be supplied by the existing electric water heaters.

A summary of the heating and cooling systems for each area of the building is included below.

Building	Space	Heating	Cooling	DHW
Building A	Leased Office		Existing ASHP	
Building B	Maintenance	Hydronic baseboard	-	-
	B South Office	oil-fired boiler	-	Existing electric
			New mini-split unit	water heater
	North Office	Existing electric baseboard heaters	New mini-split unit	



Ventilation

The minimum acceptable scenario includes adding two energy recovery ventilators (ERVs) for Building A and Building B. The ERVs would be dual core type with approximately 90% heat recovery efficiency similar to Tempeff Dualcore (pictured below). New ERV ducting would be galvanized steel. Outdoor air and exhaust air ductwork will be insulated between the outside wall and ERV.



Figure 22 Tempeff Dual Core ERV System

Controls

All existing building controls will remain with the addition of time clocks to control the ERVs. In the south office, an auxiliary heat relay system would control both the mini split unit and hydronic baseboards to ensure simultaneous heating and cooling does not occur.

Equipment List

- (1) 90 L/s [190cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (1) 250 L/s [530cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (2) 0.75 ton mini split heat pumps

2. Net Zero Ready Scenario

The net zero scenario systems include full electrification of the HVAC and DHW systems. Two options have been proposed: air source heat pumps and ground source heat pumps.

a. Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP) Option

Heating and Cooling

Heating will be generated by a cold climate air source heat pump (refer to Figure 24) in place of the oil-fired boiler. The heat pumps will have a circulation pump on the condenser side and speed will be controlled based on the compressor operation. Heating will be distributed by the existing hydronic baseboard heaters and thermal zones. A mini split heat pump will provide heating to the north office as the electric baseboards would be removed. Accessories to the hot water piping systems include make-up water source, expansion tank, air venting, circulation pumps, and isolation valves.

The air source heat pump has been sized according to so that it meets 60% of the peak heating load at a reduced outdoor air temperature of $-4^{\circ}F$. The electric boiler is sized for the remaining 40% of the load. As peak demands rarely occur throughout the year, it is much more economical for the electric boiler to provide heating during peak demand periods. Reducing the heat pump capacity to 60% of peak load typically results in the electric boiler only providing 5 – 15% of annual heating.



The air source heat pump would be mounted outdoors on a stand outside of the mechanical room (shown in Figure 23 below). Ideally, the heat pumps would be installed on the south side of the building to maximize winter performance due to higher outdoor air temperatures.



Figure 23 ASHP Outdoor Unit Location

In Building A, cooling will be provided by the existing ASHP. Two mini split units will provide cooling in the north and south offices in Building B.

Domestic water would be provided by a packaged heat pump water heater (HPWH), as shown in Figure 25. As the building has a low domestic hot water load, a packaged unit provides economical savings by negating the need for a backup heating source. The HPWH has been sized according to existing tank capacity.



Figure 24 Split Air to Water Cold Climate Heat Pump



Figure 25 Packaged Heat Pump Water Heater

Ventilation

Similar to the Minimum Acceptable Scenario, two ERVs would be used for the space: one in Building A and one in Building B. As ventilation loads are occupant dependant, the airflows are consistent with the minimum accepted scenario.

Controls

The ASHP would require a direct digital control system to operate circulation pumps and heat pumps. Programmable time of day controls would run the ERVs. In the south office, an auxiliary



heat relay system would control both the mini split unit and hydronic baseboards to ensure simultaneous heating and cooling does not occur.

System Overview

A list of required equipment and system schematic have been included below. *Equipment List*

- (2) Nominal 9-ton air to water heat pump
- (1) 15 kW Electric Boiler
- Heating loop pumps (includes standby pumps)
 - (2) HX Buffer Tank circulation pumps, approximately 60 gpm with ECM motors
 - o (2) Buffer Tank Building circulation pumps, approximately 60 gpm with ECM motors
 - (1) Electric Boiler Pump, approximately 20 gpm with ECM motor
- (1) 150 gallon buffer tank
- (2) Air separators
- (2) Expansion tanks
- (1) 90 L/s [190cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (1) 333 L/s [705cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (2) 0.75 ton mini split heat pumps
- (1) 80 gallon packaged heat pump water heater

System Schematic



a. Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) Option

Heating and Cooling

This option is the equivalent to the ASHP option except the heating will be generated by a water to water, or ground source, heat pump (as shown in Figure 27). A series of water to water heat pumps will be connected to closed-loop vertical borehole ground heat exchangers providing hot water to buffer tanks. The vertical loop heat exchanger system will consist of long lengths of 1-1/4" diameter HDPE or PEX tubing placed in boreholes drilled to a depth of approximately 150m below the surface level and filled with thermally enhanced grout. Length of pipe, diameter of pipe, and the spacing of wells will depend on the final building heating and cooling loads during detailed design. An



estimated three boreholes will be required to provide both space heating and domestic hot water for the building.¹ Similar to the ASHP, the GSHP system has also been sized for 60% capacity.



Figure 27 Ground Source Heat Pump

Accessories to the GSHP piping system would include glycol fill tank, expansion tank, air venting, circulation pumps and isolation valves. Each heat pump will have a circulation pump on the evaporator and condenser side and be controlled by the heat pump when the compressor is engaged.

The boreholes would most likely be located on the south side of the property on the site of the old greenhouse (refer to Figure 28); however, further geotechnical analysis must be conducted to confirm. Boreholes are typically spaced 4.8 – 6 meters apart. The GSHPs would be located in the mechanical room in Building B.



Figure 28 Proposed Borehole Location

The GSHP option would utilize the existing hydronic baseboard heaters as the distribution method. Hydronic baseboard heaters would be installed in the north office. Accessories to the hot water piping systems include make-up water source, expansion tank, air venting, circulation pumps, and isolation valves.

As per the ASHP option, cooling in Building A will be provided by the existing ASHP. Two water to air console heat pumps would be installed in the two offices to provide cooling. At later dates, additional units may be installed in other areas of the building that currently do not have cooling.

¹ Assumes 150m boreholes with capacity of 50 ft per kW



Domestic water would be provided by a packaged heat pump water heater (HPWH). As the building has a low domestic hot water load, a packaged unit provides economical savings by negating the need for a backup heating source. The HPWH has been sized according to existing tank capacity.

Ventilation

Similar to the Minimum Acceptable Scenario, two ERVs would be used for the space: one in Building A and one in Building B. As ventilation loads are occupancy dependant, the airflows are consistent with the minimum accepted scenario.

Controls

The GSHP plants will require direct digital control systems to operate circulation pumps and heat pumps with input from buffer tanks. Programmable time of day controls will run the ERVs.

System Overview

A list of required equipment and system schematic have been included below.

Equipment List

- (2) Nominal 4 ton ground source heat pump
- (1) 20 kW Electric Boiler
- Heating Loop Pumps (includes standby pumps)
 - (2) Ground loop circulation pumps, approximately 30 gpm with VFD
 - (2) HP circulation pumps, approximately 30 gpm with ECM motors
 - (2) Building circulation pumps, approximately 60 gpm with ECM motors
 - o (1) Electric Boiler pump, approximately 25 gpm with ECM motors
- (3) Air separators
- (3) Expansion tanks
- (1) 90 L/s [190cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (1) 333 L/s [705cfm] ERV with ECM motors and dual cores
- (2) 0.75 ton water to air consoles
- (1) 80 gallon packaged heat pump water heater

System Schematic

ReCover Retrofit – Colchester Mechanical Outline Specification





3. Net Zero Scenario

The net zero scenario is identical to the net zero ready scenario with the addition of a PV system.

ReCover Retrofit – Colchester Mechanical Outline Specification



Scenario System Summary

Item	Existing Building Minimum Acceptable ASHP Net Zero Energy ¹		GSHP Net Zero Energy ¹	
Effective Wall R-value	R-12.9	R-24	R-24	R-24
Effective Roof R-value	R-15.4	R-40	R-40	R-40
Air Tightness (L/s⋅m² at 75Pa)	2.3 L/s·m2	0.75 L/s⋅m2	0.75 L/s⋅m2	0.75 L/s⋅m2
Central Heating Equipment	Oil-fired boiler with single stage burner	Oil-fired boiler with single stage burner	ASHP	GSHP
Heating System	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards and unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards and unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboard Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards and unit heaters	Bldg A: Hydronic baseboard Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards and unit heaters
Air Conditioning ²	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Packaged air conditioning units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Mini split units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Mini split units	Bldg A: Existing ASHP Bldg B: Water to Air consoles
DHW Equipment	Electric Water Heater	Electric Water Heater	HP Water Heater	HP Water Heater
Ventilation Equipment	Bldg A: None (Exhaust Fans) Bldg B: None (Exhaust Fans)	Bldg A: 90% SRE ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ERV	Bldg A: 90% SRE ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ERV	Bldg A: 90% SRE ERV Bldg B: 90% SRE ERV
Renewables	-	-	TBD	TBD
¹ Net Zero Energy Ready system ² Building B only provides coolin	s are identical with exclusion of r g in north and south offices	enewables		

Appendix E

Electrical Outline Specification

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS





PURPOSE

The purpose of this Design Summary is to document the existing and propose new mechanical systems for the energy retrofit of 251 Lancaster Crescent in Debert, Nova Scotia. The intent is to summarize the major features and design parameters for the electrical power distribution and lighting systems.

The building was built in 1979 as a Tree Breeding Centre for the Department of Lands and Forests. The facility originally included three primary areas: admin, production, and a greenhouse. It is estimated that the greenhouse was removed from the structure 15 years ago. Currently, the front admin area is being rented out as business space and the back production area is now a maintenance facility.

EXISTING SYSTEMS

Site Services

Existing incoming power service consists of two, 4" conduits on the primary side servicing the existing pad mount transformer on site. The pad mount transformer is 150kVA. The existing main incoming secondary service is sized at 800A and consists of two, 3-1/2" conduits containing 4#500MCM RW90 cables each. By today's code standards these cables would no longer be suitable for 800A service. The secondary service entrance enters the building in the main electrical room and terminates onto the main switchboard. The building's main disconnect is an 80% rated main breaker in the main switchboard.



Figure 1





Figure 2

Electrical Power Distribution Services

Main Switchboard

The existing main switchboard is original to the building. It appears to be well maintained but has reached its end of life. No maintenance logs are available to determine work done throughout the years.

The main building disconnect is an 80% rated 800A main breaker. All other disconnects for panels, motors etc. are fusible disconnects. Visually the disconnects appear to be in good condition. Although cubicles 1 and 3 are full, there is spare room in cubicle 2 for the addition of future buckets to expand the existing distribution. Several spare fusible disconnects exist in cubicle 3 that are currently not in use. There is a 400A emergency distribution panel installed inside the main switchboards second cubicle. The condition of the main switchboard is okay.





Figure 3

Figure 4

Existing Branch Circuit Panelboards

Several 120-208V branch circuit panelboards exist throughout the building servicing various areas. Over the years, some of these panels have had work done and the existing panel schedules are consequently out of date. Some panelboards have been completely filled with breakers and as a result, mini breakers have been installed to expand capacity past what they were originally designed for. Some panels do appear to include breakers that are no longer in use, which could be removed to allow room for new distribution and branch circuits.

We do not have any logging data on power demand of the existing panelboards, more information would be needed to determine if the panels have adequate capacity to allow for additional load in the future. This could either be a calculated estimation, or the panels could be logged with a power meter to determine demand load.

The overall condition of the branch circuit panelboards is good, while some are original to the building, it appears some have been added through the years to expand circuit capacity.

Referencing table A-8.4.3.2.2, Division B of the 2017 National Energy Code the basic plug load for each section of the building is as follows.

Occupancy Type	Demand Load	Area
Washrooms	1 W/m²	30 m ²
Office	7.5 W/m ²	186 m ²
Storage	1 W/m²	502 m ²



ReCover Retrofit – Colchester Electrical Outline Specification



Figure 5



Figure 6

Emergency Power Distribution

A 150kW 208V, 3P emergency generator and distribution exists on site but is reportedly no longer in use. The existing emergency distribution is always powered by the "normal" side and is essentially just used as normal power. It was discussed on-site that on-site emergency power generation is no longer needed.



Figure 7

MAR ENGINEERING

Lighting and Lighting Control System

Interior Lighting

There was a general lack of lighting throughout the building used by Colchester County. Around 40% of the existing fixtures on this side of the building were burnt out or not functional. The lighting in the Rural Roots side of the building was replaced in the past 20 years and is significantly more welllit. The mechanical room specifically on the Colchester side lacks lighting which presents a safety concern for people working in this room. The existing lighting power density for the building is estimated to be 22W/m² which assumes all fixtures in working condition.

The side of the building occupied by Colchester County is primarily original lighting, consisting of mostly T12 fluorescent lamps. The warehouse areas of the building consist mainly of high output fixtures. The estimated lighting power density for this section of the building is $25W/m^2$.



Figure 8



Figure 9

The Rural Roots side of the building has been upgraded to 2x2 and 2x4 fixtures complete with T8 lamps. This area has a drop T-bar ceiling with recessed fixtures; however, it appears that the old highbay warehouse lighting remains in the plenum space above the T-bar. It is recommended this lighting is removed in the future, to prevent accidentally turning it on. The estimated lighting power density for this section of the building is 15W/m².





Figure 10





Lighting Control System

Currently, the building lacks an automatic lighting control system relying entirely on manual control for the building. This could lead to energy waste if lights are left on in unoccupied areas of the building for extended periods of time (overnight). Addition of an automatic lighting control system is recommended.

PROPOSED SYSTEMS

Systems have been proposed as per the minimum acceptable, net zero ready and net zero scenarios. The details of each system are provided below. For the purpose of this study, it has been assumed that the occupancy schedules and space usage are consistent with existing conditions.

In all scenarios, it is recommended that at a minimum, existing lighting be upgraded to LED using LED lighting retrofit kits. These kits a relatively inexpensive and will help dramatically reduce energy consumption from lighting.

In some scenarios, the existing building electrical service may need to up upgraded to allow for the electrification of heating and cooling equipment. The necessity of these upgrades entirely depend on how much new equipment is being added onto the existing system and what the existing system can support.

1. Minimum Acceptable Scenario

Lighting

In the minimum acceptable scenario, all lighting throughout the building should be upgraded to LED using LED lighting retrofit kits. These kits come complete with LED replacement lamps and ballasts so the existing fixtures throughout the building can be internally re-wired to work with LED lamps. This will allow the existing building lighting to remain in the same areas and will fix the issue of frequent burnt out fixtures throughout the building. High bay retrofit kits will be provided for all the existing T8HO lamps and regular retrofit kits will be provided for the remaining fixtures. In this scenario, the lighting power density will reduce to an estimated 10W/m².



LED Retrofit Kit

Figure 12

LED Retrofit Kit High Bay







Lighting Control

The minimum acceptable scenario does not change any of the existing lighting controls. Controls will remain manual on/off with no automatic control.

Power Distribution

The minimum acceptable scenario has few changes to the electrical distribution system due to the lack of electrification of mechanical equipment. The existing building service will remain as-is. A small load study will be performed to see which panel is suitable to handle additional load to connect two new ERV units c/w ECM motors and two new 0.75 ton mini split heat pumps. Since this equipment does not introduce much load onto the electrical system, the existing panelboards can be used to energize this equipment. In total this scenario will add an additional 14kW of electrical load onto the existing electrical distribution.

No photovoltaics will be added or accounted for as part of the minimum acceptable scenario.

	Qty	kW	Total
Minimum Acceptance Scenario Electrical Loads			Load
90 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	2	2
250 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	2	2
0.75 ton mini split heat pumps	2	5	10
Total Added Load			14

2. Net Zero Ready Scenario

The net zero scenario systems include full electrification of the HVAC and DHW systems. Two options have been proposed: air source heat pumps and ground source heat pumps.

Lighting

In the net zero ready scenario, existing fluorescent fixtures will be replaced with equivalent LED fixtures. This upgrade will also act as a lifecycle upgrade to the aging existing light fixtures. Additional light fixtures will be provided in areas where existing lighting is lacking. Light fixtures will be replaced like-for-like matching colour temperature and lumen output. In this scenario, the lighting power density will reduce to an estimated 9W/m². See replacement fixture schedule below:

Existing Fixture	Existing Wattage	Example Replacement Fixture Product	Replacement
	(total lamps)	Number	Fixture
			Wattage
Warehouse	160W	Lithonia: IBG 80LM SEF AFL GND MVOLT	48W
Linear Highbays	(2 lamps)		
Office 2x4s	160W	Lithonia: 2BLT4 40LHE MVOLT	35W
	(4 lamps)		
Office 2x2s	80W	Lithonia: 2BLT2 33LHE MVOLT	25W
	(2 lamps)		
Warehouse	160W	Lithonia: JEBL 12000LM FRGL MVOLT	90W
Round Highbays	(1 lamp)		



Lighting Control System

The lighting control system will be updated throughout the building to include automatic lighting control. This upgrade will help reduce unnecessary energy waste by automatically controlling the lighting to only be used while spaces are occupied. Automatic control will be provided in accordance with the national energy code. The two zones used will be warehouse and office space. Daylight sensors will be provided in all areas with natural light and vacancy sensors will be provided in all areas. All new lighting control will be low voltage 0-10V or wireless.

Electrical Power Distribution System

In the net zero ready scenario, a significant amount of mechanical equipment will be electrified, greatly increasing the load on the distribution system. Due to the added load of the electrified mechanical equipment, a new building service upgrade and switchboard is strongly recommended, as the existing switchboard has reached its end of life. Without any demand billing data prior to 2020, it is unknown what the existing building demand load is and therefore, it is unknown what new service size will be required to accommodate the changes to the buildings electrical system. To determine what the existing building load is, existing power bills will have to be logged to get more information on the monthly demand load. This will give an idea of what the existing load on the system is and how large the new building service should be to accommodate. Based off the information we have access to, it is unlikely that the buildings demand is reaching near the existing service size, therefore it is likely that the existing switchboard will be replaced 1:1 with a new 800A switchboard and main breaker. The net zero ready scenario should account for a PV installation when considering upgrading the buildings service size. The current energy model predicts a 45kW AC PV system will be required to achieve a net-zero system. Assuming the most likely scenario of a new 800A switchboard, this system can easily be accommodated.

In both mechanical options, new electrical distribution will be added off the new main switchboard to allow for connection to mechanical equipment. A new 208V, 3P panelboard, fed from the new main switchboard, will be added to service all new pumps, ERVs and heat pumps.

	Qty	kW	Total
			Load
Net-Zero Ready (ASHP)			(kw)
9-ton air to water heat pump	2	10.26	20.52
26kW Electric Boiler	1	26	26
HX -Buffer Circ	2	0.333	0.666
Buffer tank	2	0.608	1.216
Electric Boiler Pump	1	0.153	0.153
90 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	2	2
250 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	5	2
0.75 ton mini split heat pumps	2	5	10
80 Gallon packaged heat pump water heats	1	5	5
Total Added Load			67.555

Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP) Option



Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) Option

	Qty	kW	Total
			Load
Net-Zero Ready (ASHP)			(kw)
Nomial 24ton ground source heat pump	3	15	45
23kW Electric Boiler	1	23	23
Ground Loop Circ Pumps	3	2.2	6.6
HP Circ Pumps	2	0.185	0.37
Building Circ Pumps	2	1.377	2.754
Electric Boiler Pump	1	0.153	0.153
90 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	2	2
250 L/s ERV with ECM motors and polypropylene cores	1	2	2
0.75 ton mini split heat pumps	2	5	10
80 Gallon packaged heat pump water heats	1	5	5
Total Added Load			96.877

The new building service upgrade will be sized to accommodate the existing electrical load, as well as the new added mechanical load. The exact size of the new equipment will depend on the existing building electrical demand load determined by logging the existing billing information. To determine the new service size, the existing electrical load will be added onto the mechanical load outlined in the chosen option above. Replacing the buildings service entrance would include replacing the existing main switchboard, the existing main breaker and the existing secondary service conductors.

A letter will be sent to the utility (Nova Scotia Power) to inform them of added load onto the buildings existing electrical service. A new padmount transformer may be provided by the utility if they feel it is necessary to account for the added load. The letter will include an updated load calculation showing what the existing load is on the building (obtained from the year-old demand load study) and what the new load will be. The cost of replacement of the existing pad mount transformer is covered by the utility if it is required.

3. Net Zero Scenario

The net zero scenario is identical to the net zero ready scenario with the addition of a PV system. Since the PV system already accounts for in the net-zero ready scenario, there is no changes needed to the electrical distribution system.

The current Nova Scotia Power net metering agreement has expired. An update to the program is currently being reviewed by the Nova Scotia Utility Review Board and Nova Scotia Power. Under the old agreement only 100kW of solar could be installed on any building. Under the new net metering agreement, it is proposed to allow up to 1MW of solar to be installed on any building that incurs a demand charge. There will be two new classifications of net metered systems in the new program, a class 1 system which is under 100kW and a class 2 system which is under 1MW. In the net metering program, 100% of the excess energy generated from the solar array goes back onto the NSPI grid, and the customer gets a credit for the energy generated. Under the new proposal, the credit will be a percentage of the customers electricity rate for class 2 systems and will be equal to the customers electricity rate for class 1 systems. The credits automatically come off the power



bill, further reducing the cost, the more solar that is installed. Being involved in a net-metering program is an essential part of achieving net-zero as it allows any excess energy generated to flow back onto the grid.

It is possible to install photovoltaics and not enroll in the net metering program. In this scenario, the building would draw power from the solar array as it is needed (up to the arrays maximum capacity). Any excess energy that is generated by the array is clipped (wasted) and no credit is given by the utility for that power. This scenario is only feasible if the customer routinely uses the approximate amount of power the array would generate. To optimize this, a short load study would be performed on the building to determine approximately how much energy is used at any given time of the day/ year, and an array of the average size could be constructed to offset that consumption. This scenario isn't truly considered net-zero since in order to use 100% of the energy generated, the solar array must overproduce.

Maximum	PV Array Size	Introduced	Estimated	Estimated	Net
Allowable PV	(DC) DC:AC	Demand Load	New	New	Metering
Array Size	Ratio of 1.7:1	(Mechanical)	Switchboard	Main	Eligible
(AC)			Size	Breaker	
26.5kW	45kW	68kW	800A	800A	Yes (with
		(189A)		(80%	new
				rated)	agreement)

Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP) Option

Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) Option

Maximum	PV Array Size	Introduced	Estimated	Estimated	Net
Allowable PV	(DC) DC:AC	Demand Load	New	New	Metering
Array Size	Ratio of 1.7:1	(Mechanical)	Switchboard	Main	Eligible
(AC)			Size	Breaker	
26.5kW	45kW	97kW	800A	800A	Yes (with
		(269A)		(80%	new
				rated)	agreement)

Note a DC:AC ratio of 1.7:1 is used as recommended ratio of array size to inverter size. Final Ratio to be confirmed by system designer. Replacement of the main switchgear is recommended in all scenarios.

Out of the two different methods of metering, the net-metered option is recommended to ensure that a net-zero system can be achieved. As the current conditions for the net-metering program are changing day to day, further consultation with Nova Scotia Power will be needed to ensure all requirements are met prior to construction.

Appendix F Pre-retrofit Utility Records



Colchseter Energy Use As Reported by Client

Summarized by Monthly Consumption As calculated by RDH

Electrical Consumption (kWh)

	2020	2021	2022	Average	
Jan		4,000	5,600	4,800	Jan
Feb		4,480	6,560	5,520	Feb
Mar	4,960	4,160		4,560	Mar
Apr	2,880	3,040		2,960	Apr
May	2,880	2,720		2,800	May
Jun	3,520	3,040		3,280	Jun
Jul	1,760	3,680		2,720	Jul
Aug	2,240	3,360		2,800	Aug
Sep	2,400	3,040		2,720	Sep
Oct	3,200	3,520		3,360	Oct
Nov	3,520	4,000		3,760	Nov
Dec	4,320	5,920		5,120	Dec

Fuel Oil (L)

	2020	2021	2022	Avg. (M ³)	ekWh	
Jan		6,435	6,333	6,384	68,649	Jan
Feb		6,166	6,068	6,117	65,779	Feb
Mar	6,915	5,771	5,679	6,122	65,825	Mar
Apr	4,350	3,630		3,990	42,905	Apr
May	2,674	2,232		2,453	26,375	May
Jun	1,097	915		1,006	10,818	Jun
Jul	121	101		111	1,191	Jul
Aug	243	203		223	2,397	Aug
Sep	1,297	1,083		1,190	12,797	Sep
Oct	3,201	2,672		2,936	31,575	Oct
Nov	4,894	4,084		4,489	48,270	Nov
Dec	6,888	5,748		6,318	67,939	Dec

ekWh converstion factor used	10.75



Appendix G Energy Model Reports

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS





Colchester



Baseline Thermal Energy Demand Intensity TEDI (kWh/m²/yr)



Note: The values presented above, represent the relative proportion of each component of the thermal energy demand intensity. These values include adjustments that account for internal gains from lights/plug loads/solar



Colchester

Project #, Building Name: Calibrated Model Filename: Weather File		26522.000 ReCover NRCAN Deep Energy Retrofit Feasability Study 2022-09-1 5 Colchester Warehouse.inp CAN_NS_DEBERT_8201390_CWEC.BIN
Total Energy, kWh 1.5	54E+05	Notes on Calibrations:
Meter EUI, kWh/m2	215	See attached Inputs Document
Model EUI, kWh/m2	221	

Monthly Electricity Usage (kWh)

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Actual Energy Use	4,800	5,520	4,560	2,960	2,800	3,280	2,720	2,800	2,720	3,360	3,760	5,120	44,400
Calibrated Model	4,728	4,335	4,928	3,225	3,054	2,954	2,736	3,037	2,836	3,009	3,219	4,773	42,834
Difference	-72	-1,185	368	265	254	-326	16	237	116	-351	-541	-347	-1,566
% Difference	-1.5%	-21.5%	8.1%	8.9%	9.1%	-9.9%	0.6%	8.4%	4.3%	-10.5%	-14.4%	-6.8%	-3.5%



Monthly Fuel Oil Usage (ekWh)													
Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Actual Energy Use	18,219	17,457	16,161	10,166	6,249	2,563	282	568	3,032	7,481	11,437	16,098	109,715
Calibrated Model	19,402	17,669	15,924	10,858	7,013	2,590	116	188	2,416	8,353	13,807	17,363	115,699
Difference	1,183	211	-237	692	764	26	-166	-380	-616	871	2,370	1,266	5,984
% Difference	6.5%	1.2%	-1.5%	6.8%	12.2%	1.0%	-58.8%	-66.8%	-20.3%	11.6%	20.7%	7.9%	5.5%



Calibrated Model



Colchester





Note: The values presented above represent the relative proportion of each component of total energy use.

GENERAL

Building	Colchester - Water Utility Building
Archetype	Existing Warehouse or Low-Rise Office
Site Location	Debert, Colchester County, Nova Scotia

Building Summary	Colchester Baseline	Units	Reference/Description
Number of Storeys	1	-	
Conditioned Floor Area Bldg. A (Total)	181 (1,950)	m² (ft²)	
Office Bldg. A	151 (1,630)	m² (ft²)	
Washrooms Bldg. A	30 (320)	m² (ft²)	Based on drawings
Conditioned Floor Area Bldg. B (Total)	537 (5,778)	m² (ft²)	
Office Bldg. B	35 (380)	m² (ft²)	
Storage/mech/ Bldg. B	502 (5,398)	m² (ft²)	
Opaque Enclosure Performance			
Bldg. A Wall 3	1" Wood Siding 1/2" Plywood Sheathing 2"x6" Studs @ 16" O.C. w/ R20 Batt 5/8" Gypsum Board (R-18.6)	m ² -K/W (ft²-hr-ˁF/Btu) effective	
Bldg. A Roof	Asphalt Shingles 5/8" Plywood Sheathing Framing at 24" O.C. w/ R20 Batt (ceiling level) (R-18.6)	m²-K/W (ft²-hr-°F/Btu) effective	
Bldg. A Slab on Grade	2" Rigid underslab (R-7)	m ² -K/W (ft²-hr-°F/Btu) effective	Colchester: All Assembly data from Water Utility Building Structural -251 Lancaster.pdf Architectural drawings
Bldg. B Wall 3	1.5" Deep Pre-Finished Panel 2"x3" Furring @ 3'-5" O.C. horiz. w/ 2.5" rigid insulation 10" Concrete block reinforced w/ truss type reinforcing every 2nd block (R-9.1)	m ² -K/W (ft²-hr-°F/Btu) effective	Existing R-value estimates provided by ARCH
Bldg. B Wall 4	1"x6" V-joint Wood Siding 2"x3" Furring @ 2'-0" O.C. vert. w/ 2.5" rigid insulation 10" Concrete block reinforced w/ truss type reinforcing every 2nd block (R-9.7)	m²-K/W (ft²-hr-ˁF/Btu) effective	

Bldg. B Roof	Crushed Stone 4" Rigid Insulation 1/2" Gypsum Board 1.5" Metal Deck (R-14.3)	m²-K/W (ft²-hr-ʿF/Btu) effective	Colchester: All Assembly data from Water Utility Building Structural -251 Lancaster.pdf Architectural drawings	
Bldg. B Slab on Grade	Uninsulated (Perimeter 2" insulation on the interior of footing extending 4' down)	m²-K/W (ft²-hr-ˁF/Btu) effective	Existing R-value estimates provided by ARCH	
Fenestration				
Bldg. B Overhead Door	New, Assume R-5 (Clearfield)		Per Arch	
Window-to-Wall Ratio (WWR)	5.3%	%	Per Arch	
Windows Installed Overall U-value	Double Glazed Windows wood and vinyl framed (U-0.35)	W/m²-K (Btu/ft²-hr-℉)		
Window Overall SHGC	0.77	-	Per Arch	
Infiltration				
Infiltration Rate	2.3 L/s/m² exterior vertical enclosure and roof area @ 75Pa (Modelled as 0.40 L/s/m² @ 5Pa, assumed operating pressure)		Reduced to midway between ASHRAE Fundamentals, Leaky Building (2009) and ASHRAE Fundamentals, Average Building (2009) per discussion w/ the team; Infiltration rate per m ² of exterior envelope.	
Infiltration Schedule		-		

MECHANICAL	Colchester Baseline	Units	Reference/Description
Ventilation System Description	No Ventilation	-	
Heating/Cooling System Description	Hydronic baseboards served by an oil-fired boiler. Mini-split cooling in Bldg. A.	-	
Heating Plant			
System Description	Oil-Fired Boiler (circa 2005) 483 MBH output	-	Colchester: Site Notes Interior photos side A
Plant Efficiency	0.80	%	per M&R Mechanical
Hot Water Supply/Return Temperature	Assume Supply 180F Return 160F	°C (°F)	
Cooling Plant			
System Description	n/a	-	Colchester: Site Notes
Plant Efficiency	n/a	-	
Cold Water Supply/Return Temperature	n/a	°C (°F)	
Pumps	•		
Ритр Туре	2 pumps 1/2 HP ea	НР	Water UtltyBldng Struc-251Lancaster.pdf mech drawings P12 and P13 on sheet M8
Pump Operation	Continuous		
Zone Heating/Cooling System			
System Description	Fin-tube baseboards w/ hydronic piping. And hydronic unit heaters in warehouse area. Now have a heat pump but this would not be included in utility data to calibrate. Exhaust Fan in Bldg. A	-	Colchester: Site Notes

MECHANICAL	Colchester Baseline	Units	Reference/Description	
Airside System Description	•			
System Description	New ducted seasonal heat pump to supplement heating and provide cooling in the office area. Previously there was a mini- split for cooling serving the office.	-	Colchester: Site Notes - NOT MODELLED FOR BASELINE	
Outdoor Air Fraction	Bathroom fan	-	Colchester: Site Notes	
Outdoor Air Flow Rate	47	L/s (cfm)	Bathroom exhaust fan per Mechanical- Colchester Existing Outline Specification. Assumed to be running 8 hours per work day for calibration	
Total Supply Air Flow Rate	n/a	L/s (cfm)		
Economizer	n/a	-		
Heating Type	Hydronic from central oil-fired boiler Bldg. A: Baseboards Bldg. B: Baseboards and Unit Heaters	-	Mechanical- Colchester Existing Outline Specification	
Zone Heat Capacity: Electric baseboards	Bldg. A: 1500W Bldg. B 2000W		Electric baseboards Bldg. B per Electrical ESAR1 file Electric space heating in Bldg. A per M&R site photos	
Cooling Type	1/2 Ton Mini-split (Bldg. A)	-	1/2 ton assumed to calibrate to utility bills	
Cooling Efficiency	Mini-split COP-2.5	-	Assumed	
Fan Type	n/a			
Fan Power	n/a	W/cfm		
Fan Schedule	n/a			
Energy Recovery	n/a	-		
Energy Exchanger By-pass	n/a	-		

Domestic Hot Water				
Heating Source	Electric Water Heater (Make: GSW)	-	2201080 Debert Photolog	
Thermal Efficiency	100%	%		
Peak DHW Load	Office: 90 Warehouse: 65	W/person	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-B Default Loads by Space Type	
Schedule	Fractional	Fractional - A		
DHW HW Supply Temp	60	°C		
Storage tank capacity		Liters		
OPERATION	Colchester Baseline	Units	Reference/Description	
Lighting				
Туре	T12 Fluorescents		Colchester: Site Notes	
Lighting Power Density	Bldg. A: 9 W/m ² Bldg. B: 10 W/m ²	W/m²	Electrical ESAR1 file notes that some rooms in the Bldg. A office have no working lights. M&R site visit photos show many lights not working	
Schedule		-	in Bldg. A and Bldg. B	
Process Loads				
Plug Loads & Schedule	Office: 7.5 Warehouse: 1	W/m²	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-B Default Loads by Space Type NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2(2)-A Operating Schedule A	
Process Loads & Schedule	Washer/dryer - once a month Compressor 5HP - 2 hrs per day? Kitchen (fridge, microwave) - Fractional schedule	-	Colchester: Site Notes - Not modeled, calibrated with NECB plug loads	
Occupancy				
Occupant Density	Office: 10 people Warehouse: 5 people	m²/occupant	per Arch	
Occupant Schedule	Fractional	-		
Setpoints				
Heating Setpoint/Setback	22/18	°C	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2(2)-A Operating Schedule	
Cooling Setpoint/Setback	24/29	C	A	

2	14 4	12022	
	114	//1/3	
_	_		

Building	Colchester - Water Utility Building
Archetype	Existing Warehouse or Low-Rise Office
Site Location	Debert, Colchester County, Nova Scotia

Building Summary	Colchester Baseline	Scenario 1: Minimum Acceptable (50%) Reduction	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready ASHP	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready GSHP	Units	Reference/Description	
Number of Storeys	1				-		
Conditioned Floor Area Bldg. A (Total)	181 (1,950)				m² (ft²)	Based on drawings	
Office Bldg. A	151 (1,630)				m² (ft²)		
Washrooms Bldg. A	30 (320)				m² (ft²)		
Conditioned Floor Area Bldg. B (Total)	537 (5,778)				m² (ft²)		
Office Bldg. B	35 (380)				m² (ft²)		
Storage/mech/ Bldg. B	502 (5,398)				m² (ft²)		
Opaque Enclosure Performance							
Bidg. A Wali 3	1" Wood Siding 1/2" Plywood Sheathing 2"x6" Studs @ 16" O.C. w/ R20 Batt 5/8" Cypsum Board (R-18.6)	Existing assembly (Scenario 1b: R-24)	R24	R24	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr- [•] F/Btu) effective	Colchester: All Assembly data from Water Utility Building Structural -251 Lancaster.pdf Architectural drawings Existing R-value estimates provided by ARCH	
Bldg. A Roof	Asphalt Shingles 5/8" Plywood Sheathing Framing at 24" O.C. w/ R20 Batt (ceiling level) (R-18.6)	Existing assembly (Scenario 1b: R-40)	R40	R40	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr- ⁻ F/Btu) effective		
Bldg. A Slab on Grade	2" Rigid underslab (R-7)				m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr-'F/Btu) effective		
Bldg. B Wall 3	1.5" Deep Pre-Finished Panel 2"x3" Furring @ 3'5" O.C. horiz. w/ 2.5" rigid insulation 10" Concrete block reinforced w/ truss type reinforcing every 2nd block (R-9.1)	Existing assembly (Scenario 1b: R-24)	R24	R24	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr-°F/Btu) effective		
Bidg. B Wali 4	1"x6" V-joint Wood Siding 2"x3" Furring @ 2'-0" O.C. vert. w/ 2.5" rigid insulation 10" Concrete block reinforced w/ truss type reinforcing every 2nd block (R-9.7)	Existing assembly (Scenario 1b: R-24)	R24	R24	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr-'F/Btu) effective		
Bldg. B Roof	Crushed Stone 4" Rigid Insulation 1/2" Cypsum Board 1.5" Metal Deck (R-14.3)	Existing assembly (Scenario 1b: R-40)	R40	R40	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr-'F/Btu) effective	Colchester: All Assembly data from Water Utility Building Structural -251 Lancaster.pdf Architectural drawings	
Bldg. B Slab on Grade	Uninsulated (Perimeter 2" insulation on the interior of footing extending 4' down)	Uninsulated (Perimeter 2" insulation on the interior of footing extending 4' down)	Uninsulated (Perimeter 2" insulation on the interior of footing extending 4' down)	Uninsulated (Perimeter 2" insulation on the interior of footing extending 4' down)	m ² -K/W (ft ² -hr- ⁺ F/Btu) effective	Existing R-value estimates provided by ARCH	
Fenestration							
Bldg. B Overhead Door	New, Assume R-5 (Clearfield)	R-10 (Clearfield)	R-10 (Clearfield)	R-10 (Clearfield)		Per Arch	
Window-to-Wall Ratio (WWR)	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	%	Per Arch	
Windows Installed Overall U-value	Double Glazed Windows wood and vinyl framed (U-0.35)	U-0.18	U-0.18	U-0.18	W/m ² -K (Btu/ft ² -hr-'F)		
Window Overall SHGC	0.77	0.32	0.32	0.32	-	Per Arch	
Infiltration	Infiltration						
Infiltration Rate	2.3 L/s/m ² exterior vertical enclosure and roof area @ 75Pa (Modelled as 0.40 L/s/m ² @ 5Pa, assumed operating pressure)	Reduction to 0.75L/s/m ² exterior enclosure area	Reduction to 0.75L/s/m ² exterior enclosure area	Reduction to 0.75L/s/m² exterior enclosure area		Reduced to midway between ASHRAE Fundamentals, Leaky Building (2009) and ASHRAE Fundamentals, Average Building (2009) per discussion w/ the team; Infiltration rate per m ² of exterior envelope.	
Infiltration Schedule					-		

MECHANICAL	Colchester Baseline	Scenario 1: Minimum Acceptable (50%) Reduction	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready ASHP	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready GSHP	Units	Reference/Description		
Ventilation System Description	No Ventilation	Existing mechanical with 2 new mini-splits in building B cooling only. Building A ASHP cooling only	Heating: Cold climate Air source heat pump (ASHP) serving hydronic baseboards and unit heaters Cooling: Building A - Existing ASHP Building B 2 new mini splits	Ground source heat pump (GSHP) serving hydronic baseboards and unit heaters. Cooling: Building A - ASHP Building B - Water to air consoles fed by ground loop	-			
Heating/Cooling System Description	Hydronic baseboards served by an oil-fired boiler. Mini-split cooling in Bldg. A.							
Heating Plant								
System Description	Oil-Fired Boiler (circa 2005) 483 MBH output	Oil-Fired Boiler (circa 2005) 483 MBH output	Cold climate Air source heat pump (ASHP) 2x9 ton 15 kW backup electric boiler	Cold climate Air source heat pump (GSHP) 2x4 ton 20 kW backup electric boiler	-	Colchester: Site Notes Interior photos side A		
Plant Efficiency	0.80	0.80	COP 3.10 Nominal	COP 2.85	%	per M&R Mechanical		
Heat-pump Cut-off Temperature			-22 F	n/a	°C (°F)			
Hot Water Supply/Return Temperature	Assume Supply 180F Return 160F	Assume Supply 180F Return 160F			°C (°F)			
Cooling Plant								
System Description	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	Colchester: Site Notes		
Plant Efficiency	n/a				÷			
Cold Water Supply/Return Temperature	n/a				°C (°F)			
Pumps								
Ритр Туре	2 pumps 1/2 HP ea	2 pumps 1/2 HP ea	HX Buffer Circ: 0.33*2 = 0.66 kW Buffer Tank: 0.608*2 = 1.216 kW Elec Boiler Pump = 0.153 kW	Ground Loop: 2*2HP*0.75 = 3 kW HP Circ Pumps: 0.185*2 = 0.37 kW Building Circ Pumps: 1.377*2 = 2.754 kW	HP	Water UtltyBldng Struc-251Lancaster.pdf mech drawings P12 and P13 on sheet M8 All HP pumps are 1 standby		
Pump Operation	Continuous	Continuous						
Zone Heating/Cooling System								
System Description	Fin-tube baseboards w/ hydronic piping. And hydronic unit heaters in warehouse area. Now have a heat pump but this would not be included in utility data to calibrate. Exhaust Fan in Bido. A				-	Colchester: Site Notes		
MECHANICAL	Colchester Baseline	Scenario 1: Minimum Acceptable (50%) Reduction	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready ASHP	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready GSHP	Units	Reference/Description		
Airside System Description								
System Description	New ducted seasonal heat pump to supplement heating and provide cooling in the office area. Previously there was a mini-split for cooling serving the office.	New ducted seasonal heat pump to supplement heating and provide cooling in the office area.	New ducted seasonal heat pump to supplement heating and provide cooling in the office area.	New ducted seasonal heat pump to supplement heating and provide cooling in the office area.	-	Colchester: Site Notes - NOT MODELLED FOR BASELINE		
Outdoor Air	Bathroom fan	Supplied by ERVs	Supplied by ERVs	Supplied by ERVs	-	Colchester: Site Notes		
Outdoor Air Flow Rate	47	See ERVs	See ERVs	See ERVs	L/s (cfm)	Bathroom exhaust fan per Mechanical- Colchester Existing Outline Specification. Assumed to be running 8 hours per work day for calibration		
Total Supply Air Flow Rate	n/a	Autosize	Autosize	Autosize	L/s (cfm)			
Economizer	n/a				-			
Heating Type	Hydronic from central oil-fired boiler Bldg. A: Baseboards Bldg. B: Baseboards and Unit Heaters	Hydronic from central oil-fired boiler Bldg. A: Baseboards Bldg. B: Baseboards and Unit Heaters	Hydronic from AWHP Bldg. A: Hydronic Baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards; North office 3/4 ton mini split for heating	Hydronic from CSHP Bldg. A: Hydronic Baseboards Bldg B: Hydronic baseboards	-	Mechanical- Colchester Existing Outline Specification		
Zone Heat Capacity: Electric baseboards	Bldg. A: 1500W Bldg. B 2000W	Bldg. A: 1500W Bldg. B 2000W	No electric baseboards	No electric baseboards		Electric baseboards Bldg. B per Electrical ESAR1 file Electric space heating in Bldg. A per M&R site photos		
Cooling Type	1/2 Ton Mini-split (Bldg. A)	Building A: ASHP 2.5 ton Building B: (2) 3/4 ton minisplits	Building A: ASHP 2.5 ton Building B: (2) 3/4 ton minisplits	Building A: ASHP 2.5 ton Building B: Water to air heat pump fed by the GSHP (2) 0.75 units	-	1/2 ton assumed to calibrate to utility bills		
Cooling Efficiency	Mini-split COP-2.5	ASHP COP: 3.45 Mini-split COP-3.6	ASHP COP: 3.45 Mini-split COP-3.6	ASHP COP: 3.45 Distributed GSHP (Water-to-air console): 2.85	-	Assumed		
Fan Type	n/a							

ERVs							
Air Flow	n/a	190 cfm Office 530 cfm Warehouse	190 cfm Office 530 cfm Warehouse	190 cfm Office 530 cfm Warehouse	W/cfm		
Fan Schedule	n/a	time clock control - occupancy	time clock control - occupancy	time clock control - occupancy		MNECB Fan Schedule A	
Energy Recovery	n/a	yes	yes	yes	-		
Energy Exchanger By-pass	n/a	Dual Core	Dual Core	Dual Core	-		
Domestic Hot Water							
Heating Source	Electric Water Heater (Make: GSW)	Electric Water Heater (Make: GSW)	Packaged HP Water Heater (ASHP) UEF = 3.75	Packaged HP Water Heater (ASHP) UEF = 3.75	-	2201080 Debert Photolog	
Thermal Efficiency	100%	100%			%		
Peak DHW Load	Office: 90 Warehouse: 65	Office: 90 Warehouse: 65	Office: 90 Warehouse: 65	Office: 90 Warehouse: 65	W/person	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-B Default Loads by Space Type	
Schedule	Fractional	Fractional	Fractional	Fractional	-	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2(2)-A Operating Schedule A	
DHW HW Supply Temp	60	60	60	60	°C		
Storage tank capacity					Liters		
OPERATION	Colchester Baseline	Scenario 1: Minimum Acceptable (50%) Reduction	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready ASHP	Scenario 2: Net Zero Ready GSHP	Units	Reference/Description	
Lighting		· · · · · ·					
Туре	T12 Fluorescents					Colchester: Site Notes	
Lighting Power Density	Bldg. A: 12.8 W/m ² Bldg. B: 12.8 W/m ²	Bldg. A: 9 W/m ² Bldg. B: 9 W/m ²	Bldg. A: 10 W/m 2 Bldg. B: 10 W/m 2	Bldg. A: 10 W/m ² Bldg. B: 10 W/m ²	W/m²	Electrical ESAR1 file notes that some rooms in the Bldg. A office have no working lights. M&R site visit photos show many lights not working in Bldg. A and Bldg. B - Lighting schedule reduced	
Schedule					-	by 25% from NECB	
Process Loads							
Plug Loads & Schedule	Office: 7.5 Warehouse: 1				W/m²	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2.(2)-B Default Loads by Space Type NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2(2)-A Operating Schedule A	
Process Loads & Schedule	NECB plug loads	NECB plug loads	NECB plug loads	NECB plug loads	-	Colchester: Site Notes - Not modeled, calibrated with NECB plug loads	
Occupancy							
Occupant Density	Office: 10 people Warehouse: 5 people				m²/occupant	per Arch	
Occupant Schedule	Fractional				-		
Setpoints							
Office Heating Setpoint/Setback	22/18	22/18	22/18	22/18	°C	NECB 2020 Table A-8.4.3.2(2)-A Operating Schedule	
Warehouse Heating Setpoint/Setback	18	18	18	18	°C		
Office Cooling Setpoint/Setback	24/29	24/29	24/29	24/29	°C		

Appendix H Panel Schematics

- Panel Schematics
- Panel Connection Details






 \sim









PRELIMINARY

2	13/02/2023	REVISED CONNECTION DETAILS
1	08/02/2023	ISSUED FOR REVIEW
ISSUE	DATE	DESCRIPTION
CONSULTANT		



902.832.5597

designpoint.ca

CLIENT

RECOVER INITIATIVE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

DEBERT WATER UTILITY BUILDING

DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA SHEET DESCRIPTION

BUILDING A PANEL DETAILS

Drawn Engineer Project No. A. MCCRACKEN E. TEASDALE 22-316 Scale Filename As indicated 22-316_Colchester.rvt

Drawing No. S-101 1 OF 2





P:\2022\22-316 RSI - NRCan Retrofit\01 - Drawings\Eng Design\22-316_Colchester.rvt

BUILDING B WALL SECTION







PANEL CONNECTION AT ROOF B

T.O. EX. MASONRY 4674

B.O. JOIST SHOE 4191

RECOVER WALL PANEL

PRELIMINARY

2	13/02/2023	REVISED CONNECTION DETAILS
1	08/02/2023	ISSUED FOR REVIEW
ISSUE	DATE	DESCRIPTION
CONSULTANT		



designpoint.ca

902.832.5597

CLIENT

RECOVER INITIATIVE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

DEBERT WATER UTILITY BUILDING

DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA SHEET DESCRIPTION

BUILDING B CONNECTION DETAILS

Drawn	Engineer	Project No.	Drawing No.
A. MCCRACKEN	E. TEASDALE	22-316	
Scale	Filename		S-102
As indicated	22-316_Colchester.rvt		2 OF 2
	•		

Wall Panel Schematics Cellulose - R16 - 2x6 - 1/2" Plywood

ReCover Initiative

ReCover
^{version Date} February 27, 2023
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki
01

Basic Panel - Overview



Basic Panel - Exploded View



ReCover	
Version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
^{Drawn By} Nick Rudnicki	
03	

Inside Corner Panel - Overview



Inside Corner Panel - Exploded View



ReCover	
version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
^{Drawn By} Nick Rudnicki	
05	

Inside Corner Installed





INTERIOR VIEW

EXTERIOR VIEW



Outside Corner Panel - Overview



ReCover	
^{version Date} February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki	
07	

Outside Corner Panel - Exploded View



ReCover	
version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki	
08	

Outside Corner Installed





ReCover	
Version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki	
09	

Foundation Attachment - Brick Ledger

"Brick Ledger" style continuous ledger for panel support



ReCover	
version Date February 27, 2023	_
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	_
^{Drawn By} Nick Rudnicki	
10	

Alternate Foundation Attachment - Pile or Bracket



ReCover	
Version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
^{Drawn By} Nick Rudnicki	
11	

Attach to Existing Building

Bracket to attach individual panels to existing



INTERIOR VIEW

EXTERIOR VIEW

ReCover
Version Date February 27, 2023
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki
12

Vertical Wall Joints





13

Horizontal Wall Joins





Window Panel



PANEL EXTERIOR VIEW Window installed in factory Window installed as an "outie" to minimize how much window sill there is exposed to the rain

PANEL EXTERIOR VIEW





Door Penetration Panel



EXTERIOR VIEW

INTERIOR VIEW

ReCover	
Version Date February 27, 2023	
PROJECT 2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
Drawn By Nick Rudnicki	
17	

Door Penetration Panel Installed





	ReCover	
Version Date	February 27, 2023	_
PROJECT	2x6 R 16 Cellulose Panel Schematics	
Drawn By	Nick Rudnicki	
	18	

Appendix I Panel Layouts

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS



Colchester Panelized Retrofit Construction Plan

County of Colchester



Hygrothermal Schematic



Building B - Wall 4 **Exterior Wall Panels**

Interior Space

(Existing)

10" concrete block 2.5" rigid insulation 2x3" furring 2 layers of #15 bldg paper 1x6" v-joint wood siding (End existing)

(New panel)

1x4" SPF strapping Cellulose Bib 5.5" dense pack cellulose 1/2" SPF plywood sheathing High Perm WRB 7/8" corrugated metal siding (vented) (End new panel)

Exterior Air



Building B - Wall 2 or 3 **Exterior Wall Panels**

Interior Space

(Existing) 10" concrete block 2.5" rigid insulation 2x3" furring Corrugated metal panel (End existing)

(New panel) 1x4" SPF strapping Cellulose Bib 5.5" dense pack cellulose 1/2" SPF plywood sheathing High Perm WRB 7/8" corrugated metal siding (vented) (End new panel)

Exterior Air





Hygrothermal Schematic



Interior Space

(Existing)

6 mil vapour barrier 1x3" SPF strapping R20 Batt Insulation Trusses @ 24" o.c. unvented air space 5/8" SPF plywood sheathing 15# Asphault Paper 210 lbs Asphalt Shingles (End existing)

(New panel)

6mil vapour barrier taped for air tightness 8" loose fill cellulose Scissor truss 24" o.c. (10/12 pitch) Vented air space 5/8" sheathing Low perm roof membrane Corrugated metal roofing (End new panel)

Exterior Air





Existing Building



CLIENT County of Colchester 111 Provost St New Glasgow NS, B2H 5E1
ISSUE MM.DD.YY RE-ISSUE MM.DD.YY
Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia
PROJECT Panelized Retrofit
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki
04

Demolition and Excavation



- Careful consideration for supporting this overhang

DRAWN BY PROJECT Project Address ISSUE CLIENT Nick Rudnicki Panelized Retrofit 251 Lancaster Crescent MM.DD.YY County of Colchester Nick Rudnicki Panelized Retrofit Debert RE-ISSUE 111 Provost St Nova Scotia Nova Scotia MM.DD.YY New Glasgow
DRAWN BY PROJECT Project Address ISSUE Nick Rudnicki Panelized Retrofit 251 Lancaster Crescent MM.DD.YY Debert Debert Re-ISSUE MM.DD.YY
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki PROJECT Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki Panelized Retrofit
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki



<u>Step 1</u> Air Barrier <u>Step 2</u> Panel Le

1	
	CLIENT County of Colchester 111 Provost St New Glasgow NS, B2H 5E1
	ISSUE MM.DD.YY RE-ISSUE MM.DD.YY
	Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia
	PROJECT Panelized Retrofit
	_{DRAWN BY} Nick Rudnicki
edger	06

Foundation Insulation







Wall Panel Install







CLIENT County of Colchester 111 Provost St New Glasgow NS, B2H 5E1
ISSUE MM.DD.YY RE-ISSUE MM.DD.YY
Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia
PROJECT Panelized Retrofit
drawn by Nick Rudnicki
08

Roof Air Barrier





-•• Air barrier overlaps with installed panels and is connected to wall panel air barrier

CLIENT	County of Colchester	111 Provost St	New Glasgow	NS, B2H 5E1	
ISSUE	MM.DD.YY	RE-ISSUE	MM.DD.YY		
Project Address	251 Lancaster Crescent	Debert	Nova Scotia		
DROTECT	Danalized Datrofit				
DRAWN BY	Nick Rudnicki				
		09	9		

Install Roof Trusses



8" Raised heels throughout to accommodate needed cellulose depth o-----





CLIENT County of Colchester 111 Provost St New Glasgow NS, B2H 5E1
ISSUE MM.DD.YY RE-ISSUE MM.DD.YY
Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia
PROJECT Panelized Retrofit
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki
10
10

Roof Sheathing and Loose Pack Cellulose





	\
CLIENT County of Colchester 111 Provost St New Glasgow NS, B2H 5E1	
ISSUE MM.DD.YY RE-ISSUE MM.DD.YY	
Project Address 251 Lancaster Crescent Debert Nova Scotia	
PROJECT Panelized Retrofit	
DRAWN BY Nick Rudnicki	
4 4	

Appendix J Hygrothermal Report

- Report



RE: Preliminary WUFI® Pro Results – PRELIMINARY DRAFT, FOR FINAL REVIEW

Location: 251 Lancaster Crescent, Debert, Nova Scotia

Date: 2023-02-15

The services of Stanley Francispillai, P. Eng. (Quebec, Nova Scotia), were retained by Habit Studio Incorporated for the ReCover Initiative: Panelized Deep Energy Retrofits of Municipal Buildings project. These services were limited to the presentation of results for the hygrothermal modelling of the post-retrofit above-grade wall and roof assemblies of six municipal buildings using the ReCover Initiative team's panel design. The present report serves as a summary of the WUFI[®] Pro results obtained for the Colchester Water Utility Administration buildings located at 251 Lancaster Crescent in Debert, Nova Scotia.

INTRODUCTION

It is understood that the Colchester Water Utility Administration facility, built in 1979, is located in a small clearing east of Lancaster Crescent and the Sobeys Debert Distribution Centre. The buildings in question, namely Building A and Building B, are oriented a few degrees east of due North. The buildings are partially sheltered from the treeline located approximately 20-m to the North. The eastern side of Building A faces the western side of Building B, and the two buildings are linked by a 3.5-m long stretch of enclosed corridor (see **Figure 1**).



Figure 1 – Plan view location and orientation of Building A (shaded red) and Building B (shaded blue) of the Colchester Water Utility Administration facility, Debert (Google, 2022)

It is understood that Building A is currently being used as business space, while Building B serves as a maintenance facility.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this project includes the presentation of results associated with the hygrothermal modelling of the post-retrofit exterior wall and roof assemblies of Building A and Building B of the Colchester Water Utility Administration facility over a 10-year period using the software WUFI® Pro. The simulations use preliminary assumptions based on discussions made with the ReCover team, as well as the PHIUS+ protocol *Moisture Risk Analysis & Assessment using WUFI v1.1* (G. Wright, P. Ferreira, R. Richman, 2021).

The hygrothermal modelling includes all above-grade exterior walls and roof structures excluding the corridor portion located between Building A and Building B. The retrofit designs used in the hygrothermal models were provided by the ReCover team.

It is of note that no design was conducted by Stanley Francispillai, P. Eng. Existing assemblies were obtained from available documents, and retrofit assemblies were defined by the ReCover team for simulation through WUFI[®] Pro. This report consists of the output of these simulations.

Stanley Francispillai, P. Eng. (QC, NS)

INPUTS & ASSUMPTIONS

Prior to completing the preliminary simulations in WUFI®, the inputs and assumptions guiding the simulations were chosen with the ReCover team. These inputs and assumptions were made based on information received from the Municipality of Colchester, including photos and a set of drawings (*Water Utility Building Structural Plans – 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf*). No site-visit was conducted by the author of this report; thus, the inputs and assumptions of the hygrothermal simulations are based solely on this received information, as well as input from ReCover. Reference documents are included in **APPENDIX D**.

OUTDOOR CLIMATE: The outdoor climate was modelled using the closest location to the Municipality of Colchester with data available to the author of this report – this was Halifax, Nova Scotia (Halifax CWEC data, 1995 with monthly rain allocated on an hourly basis via Canadian Climate Normals). It is to be noted that this climate file is for typical weather patterns and does not consider extreme weather events – a specific Colchester (or Nova Scotia) climate file is not available in the WUFI database.

INDOOR CLIMATE: The non-residential indoor climate was modelled using sinusoidal functions. The average indoor temperature and relative humidity setpoints of 21°C and 50% were used in these simulations. The range of temperatures simulated were based on RDH Building Science's energy model inputs for the Colchester project (*2022 08 31 COLCHESTER Model Inputs – RDH.pdf*). The relative humidity setpoint of 50% was assumed for regular occupancy. As it is unclear as to what future tenancy patterns and moisture loads will be present, the following assumptions were made regarding the indoor climate:

Interior Setpoints	Average	Amplitude	Range	Date of Maximum Value
Temperature	21°C	3°C	18°C – 24°C	July 15 th (assumed)
Relative Humidity	50%	10%	40% - 60%	July 15 th (assumed)

Table 1 – Setpoints used in V	NUFI f	for interior	climate	of building

Note: it is assumed that the temperature and relative humidity setpoints are applied to the entire buildings

ASSEMBLY MATERIALS: Based on the information obtained, the primary existing wall and roof assemblies shown in **Table 2** and **Table 3** were modelled for Building A and Building B, respectively (detailed material properties are included in **APPENDIX A** and **APPENDIX B**). The assemblies proposed for the retrofit were originally sourced from the project document 22 11 23 – Colchester Build Plan.pdf, received on 2022-11-23 from RSI Projects. However, final designs and assemblies were conveyed by the ReCover team via phone and video calls.

It should also be noted that assumptions were made regarding certain assemblies. For instance, the air spaces within the Building A post-retrofit roof were simulated at an average thickness of 0.6-m (new and existing spaces). Other modelling assumptions are included in notes below the following tables as well as in the Appendices. Assembly material choices and assumptions should be reviewed for agreement with existing and proposed conditions.
Assembly	Materials (Interior to Exterior)	Thickness, m (inch)
Lower Wall	Gypsum Board	0.016 (0.63)
	4 mil Vapour Retarder*	0.001 (0.04)
	Batt Insulation	0.140 (5.51)
	Plywood Sheathing	0.013 (0.51)
	15 LB Asphalt Paper	0.001 (0.03)
	Wood Siding	0.011 (0.41)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)
	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)
Upper Wall	Gypsum Board	0.016 (0.63)
	4 mil Vapour Retarder*	0.001 (0.04)
	Batt Insulation	0.140 (5.51)
	Plywood Sheathing	0.013 (0.51)
	15 LB Asphalt Paper***	0.001 (0.03)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)
	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)
Roof	Polyethylene Membrane	0.001 (0.04)
	Batt Insulation	0.151 (5.94)
	Air Space	0.600 (23.62)
	Plywood Sheathing	0.016 (0.63)
	15 LB Asphalt Paper***	0.001 (0.03)
	Asphalt Shingle System	0.004 (0.16)
	Polyethylene Membrane	0.001 (0.04)
	Low-Density Cellulose Insulation	0.203 (8.00)
	Air Space	0.600 (23.62)
	Plywood	0.016 (0.63)
	PVC Membrane	0.002 (0.06)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)

Table 2 – Building A assemblies and material components used in WUFI simulations

*Vapour retarder (indicated in *Water Utility Building Structural Plans – 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf*) position assumed **Metal Cladding modelled using **Roof Membrane V13** from WUFI as per protocol ***15 LB Asphalt Paper assumed to exist in upper wall assembly (behind removed metal panel/outboard of plywood sheathing), modelled using **Bituminous Paper (#15 Felt)** from WUFI

Assembly	Materials (Interior to Exterior)	Thickness, m (inch)
North, East, West	Reinforced Concrete Block	0.254 (10.0)
Lower Wall	Rigid Insulation	0.064 (2.52)
	15 LB Building Paper (x2)	0.0 <mark>01 (</mark> 0.03) each
	Wood Siding*	0.011 (0.41)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)
	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)
North, East, West	Reinforced Concrete Block	0.254 (10.0)
Upper Wall	Rigid Insulation	0.064 (2.52)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)
	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding*	0.001 (0.04)
South Lower Wall	Reinforced Concrete Block	0.254 (10.0)
	Rigid Insulation	0.064 (2.52)
	15 LB Building Paper (x2)	0.001 (0.03) each
	Pre-finished Panel***	0.001 (0.03)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)
	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)
South Upper Wall	Reinforced Concrete Block	0.254 (10.0)
	Rigid Insulation	0.064 (2.52)
	Air Space	0.020 (0.79)
	Cellulose Bib	0.001 (0.04)
	Dense-Pack Cellulose	0.140 (5.50)
	Plywood	0.013 (0.51)

Table 3 – Building B assemblies and material components used in WUFI simulations

	Weather Resistive Barrier (WRB)	0.001 (0.04)
	Air Space	0.010 (0.39)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)
Roof	Metal Deck	0.001 (0.04)
	Gypsum Board	0.013 (0.50)
	TPO Membrane (x3)	0.002 (0.08) each
	Polyisocyanurate Board	0.102 (4.0)
	Polyiso. Board Glass-Matt Facer	0.001 (0.04)
	Vapour Barrier	0.001 (0.04)
	Low-Density Cellulose****	0.203 (8.0)
	Air Space	0.600 (23.6)
	Plywood	0.016 (0.63)
	PVC Membrane	0.002 (0.06)
	Metal Cladding**	0.001 (0.04)

*Wood Siding modelled using **Composite Wood Siding** material, but with higher permeance

**Metal Cladding modelled using Roof Membrane V13 from WUFI as per protocol

***1-1/2" pre-finished panel indicated in *Water Utility Building Structural Plans* – 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf was assumed to be metal and was modelled using WUFI's default **Metal Deck**, Unperforated, including its thickness of 0.0008-m

****Low-Density Cellulose modelled using **Cellulose Fibre (heat cond.: 0,04 W/mK)** WUFI material, but with higher conductivity and lower density

MOISTURE & AIR SOURCES: To determine how the retrofit walls perform under certain environmental stresses, a 1% driving rain moisture source was placed on the exterior face of the WRB in the form of a fictitious 1-mm layer of brick, as per the PHIUS+ protocol. Moreover, the vented cladding was given a default ventilation rate of 25 air changes per hour (ACH) and was placed within the 10 mm "*Air layer 10mm; metallic*" material which is pre-defined by WUFI® for use adjacent to metal surfaces.

For the roof assemblies, no information was provided concerning air exchange rates within the newly created attic spaces. For Building A, several scenarios were simulated for the new attic given the double-attic post-retrofit system present (existing attic was assumed to experience 10-ACH) – these are presented in the **RESULTS** section of this report. Building B, with the new single-attic post-retrofit system, was simulated solely with an assumed rate of 10-ACH.

ORIENTATIONS: Given that the wall assemblies differ in the four cardinal directions, the North, South, East, and West orientations were all simulated in WUFI®; these were set to 90° inclinations from the horizontal. The Building A roof assembly is composed of two separate inclined roof systems, both new and existing. For this preliminary work, the slope of the existing 18° roof was utilized for simulations. Building B's new gable roof was designed to be inclined at 34°, however WUFI®'s output Balances were not close enough to indicate trustworthy results. For this reason, a 29° inclination, whose Balances were more similar, was used.

RAIN LOAD: In terms of rain loading, the ASHRAE Standard 160 rain load calculation method was utilized. For the wall assemblies, the rain exposure factor was based on the building's height of less than 10-m, while the rain exposure category was assumed to be medium, as the building is located approximately 42-km from the northern coast of Nova Scotia. The wall assemblies' rain deposition factor was automatically defined based on the steep-slope roof structures involved. These same assumptions were used for the roof assembly, with the only difference being the rain deposition factor requiring a higher value due to increased bulk water contact from rainwater runoff.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: For the post-retrofit condition studied, it was assumed that the proposed exterior metal cladding would be painted, while the interior side of the various walls were also simulated with painted finishes based on site-visit photographs shared from the municipality. Meanwhile, the roof's exterior metal finish was assumed to be painted, while the interior was not. These paints would affect the surface transfer (sd) coefficients of the hygrothermal models – other sd-coefficients considered in the models are included in **APPENDIX B**.

INITIAL CONDITIONS: Finally, as per ASHRAE 160, initial material conditions were set to EMC80 (equilibrium moisture content at 80% relative humidity), while concrete-based materials were set to EMC90; for all materials, the starting temperature was set to 20°C. The simulations were defined to begin on October 1st, 2022, which is the default starting day for WUFI[®], and continue for a period of 10-years.

Other inputs of the WUFI[®] simulations can be found in the software's auto-generated results report, included in **APPENDIX B.**

RESULTS

The PHIUS+ protocol's post-processing and evaluation procedure was sourced for describing the results of the post-retrofit hygrothermal simulations conducted.

BUILDING A: POST-RETROFIT LOWER WALL

The post-retrofit Building A Lower Wall assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for all orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

As recommended by the protocol, the plywood layers (both new and existing) were subdivided into three adjacent layers for near-surface condition assessment, with the outermost and innermost layers being 1/8-inch thick. The various plywood layers and other biogenic materials were focused upon for this feasibility report given their susceptibility to decay and mold. To estimate decay risk, the time periods during which the mass percentage of water content (MC) remains above 20% were studied.

In all orientations, there is at least one spike in mass percentage of water content (MC) above 20% between December 2022 and April 2023 in the new plywood layers (existing plywood does not experience MC spikes above 20%). For instance, the innermost 1/8-inch layer of the new plywood of the North lower wall assembly experiences a MC above 20% between approximately 2022-12-20 and 2023-03-23, but then dries out below 20% in subsequent years (**Figure 2**Figure 2). Similar results were observed in all orientations.

For mold-related durability, a VTT simulation was conducted using the WUFI® Pro plug-in which examines the mold growth index at the specified locations. The plywood layers and the outermost element of the cellulose layer were simulated using VTT. The plywood layers were defined with a sensitivity class of "Sensitive" (second-highest risk category) and a material class experiencing "Almost no decline". The cellulose and existing fiberglass batt layers were simulated in VTT as proxies for the structural wood members (not modelled) located within these cavities. Based on discussions with ReCover, it was assumed that the chemical properties of the cellulose insulation may impart greater mold resistance to the cavity wood members – for this reason, a sensitivity class of "Medium resistant" was used to simulate the wood members within the cellulose cavity. For the fiberglass batt layer, the "Sensitive" class was used.

The mold growth index ranges from 0 to 6 and is coupled with a traffic light scheme in the WUFI[®] plug-in, ranging from green (uncritical) to yellow to red (inacceptable) – within the yellow range, there is potential risk for mold growth, however more information would be required about the specific material used to decide whether the risk is deemed acceptable or inacceptable.

In all Building A Lower Wall assembly orientations, the elements chosen for VTT simulation within the plywood (new and existing), cellulose, and fiberglass batt layers demonstrated a green VTT traffic light, indicating low mold growth indices.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the proximity of the two buildings in question and their respective new roof systems, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.

BUILDING A: POST-RETROFIT UPPER WALL

The post-retrofit Building A Upper Wall assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for all orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

Once again, the plywood layer was subdivided into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. As was the case in the Lower Wall of Building A, certain plywood layers (new only) experience periods of MC above 20% but remain below this threshold after the first year of simulation. For instance, the innermost (new) plywood 1/8-inch layer in the East orientation experiences a spike in MC above 20% between approximately 2022/12/20 and 2023/03/25, but then remains below 20% after this point (**Figure 3**).

Using the same assumptions previously stated for mold growth parameters, another VTT simulation was conducted for the Building A Upper Wall assemblies. In all orientations, the elements chosen for VTT simulation within the plywood (new and existing), cellulose, and fiberglass batt layers demonstrated a green VTT traffic light, indicating low mold growth indices.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the proximity of the two buildings in question and their respective new roof systems, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.



Figure 2 – WUFI[®] output for Building A Lower Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building A North Lower Wall assembly's [new] inner 1/8-inch plywood layer



Figure 3 – WUFI[®] output for Building A Lower Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building A East Lower Wall assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer

BUILDING A: POST-RETROFIT ROOF

The post-retrofit Building A Roof assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for all orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were generally small.

Again, the plywood layers were subdivided, respectively, into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. The plywood layers were the author's focus for the assembly observations. Due to lack of information regarding venting, attic space/height, etc., several scenarios were studied for the Building A post-retrofit double-attic roof. This included varying the air change rates in the two attic spaces – this includes the following scenarios:

Roof Orientation	Existing Attic Air Exchange	New Attic Air Exchange
West	0 ACH from interior	10 ACH
	10 ACH from interior	
	0.1 ACH from interior	
	0.01 ACH from interior	
	5 ACH from interior & exterior	
East	0 ACH from interior	10 ACH
	10 ACH from interior	
	0.1 ACH from interior	
	0.01 ACH from interior	
	5 ACH from interior & exterior	

In the roof assembly, the MC in all studied layers decreases or remains stable throughout the 10year period studied, and the 20% MC threshold is not surpassed in any of these layers (**Figure 4**). However, per WUFI[®] film results, the relative humidity in the air space reaches almost 100% humidity behind the new plywood layer and above the new cellulose layer (**Figure 5**).

Moreover, using the same previously stated assumptions and inputs for the biogenic materials, the VTT simulation conducted indicated a green traffic light status for the plywood layers.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit roof assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, further detail (venting, height, etc.) of the newly created attic space is required for future simulations if this project should proceed to construction as high RH levels may be expected.



Figure 4 – WUFI[®] output for Building A Roof assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building A West Roof assembly's [new] outer 1/8-inch plywood layer (scenario with 0ACH existing attic, 10ACH new attic space



Figure 5 – $WUFI^{\circ}$ film output for Building A Roof assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building A West Roof assembly – high RH in new airspace (new attic space)

BUILDING B: POST-RETROFIT LOWER WALL (North, East, West)

The post-retrofit Building B Lower Wall North, East, and West assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for all orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

Once again, the plywood layer was subdivided into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. In the innermost 1/8-inch layer of the new plywood of the North lower wall assembly, the mass percentage of water content (MC) remains above 20% between approximately mid-December 2022 and late April 2023, but then dries out below 20% in subsequent years (**Figure 6**). Plywood layers in the East and West orientations also experience MC spikes above 20% between December 2022 and April 2023, but remain below 20% after the first year of simulation. Moreover, all three orientations achieve green VTT traffic light status in the plywood layers as well as the outermost cellulose element.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the proximity of the two buildings in question and their respective new roof systems, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.

BUILDING B: POST-RETROFIT UPPER WALL (North, East, West)

The post-retrofit Building B Upper Wall North, East, and West assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for all orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

As was the case in the North, East, and West Lower Wall of Building B, certain plywood layers experience periods of MC above 20% in the first year of simulation but fall below 20% in subsequent years in the Upper Wall. For instance, the East orientation's outermost plywood layer only experiences MC above 20% from 2022-12-17 to 2023-01-15 and again from 2023-02-09 to 2023-02-16; the innermost plywood only experiences continued +20% MC between 2022-12-19 and 2023-03-25 (**Figure 7**). Moreover, all plywood layers as well as the outermost cellulose element in the three orientations achieve green VTT traffic light status.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can

be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the proximity of the two buildings in question and their respective new roof systems, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.

BUILDING B: POST-RETROFIT LOWER WALL (South)

The post-retrofit Building B Lower Wall South assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for the South orientation and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

Again, the plywood layer was subdivided into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. In the Building B Lower Wall South assembly, the MC in all studied layers decreases or remains stable throughout the 10-year period studied, and only a 9-day spike in MC above 20% in the outermost plywood layer occurs in mid-February of the first year of study (**Figure 8**). Moreover, using the same previously stated assumptions and inputs for the biogenic materials, the VTT simulation conducted indicated a green traffic light status for the plywood layers and outermost cellulose element in the retrofit panel.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the new roof system installed, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.

BUILDING B: POST-RETROFIT UPPER WALL (South)

The post-retrofit Building B Upper Wall South assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for the South orientation and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were very small.

Again, the plywood layer was subdivided into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. In the Building B Upper Wall South assembly, the MC in all studied layers decreases or remains stable throughout the 10-year period studied, and only a 10-day spike in MC above 20% in the outermost plywood layer occurs in mid-February of the first year of study (**Figure 9**). Moreover, using the same previously stated assumptions and inputs for the biogenic materials, the VTT simu

lation conducted indicated a green traffic light status for the plywood layers and outermost cellulose element in the retrofit panel.

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit wall assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties.

Moreover, depending on the new roof system installed, the effects of shade should, in the future, be simulated for certain wall systems.



Figure 6 - WUFI[®] output for Building B Lower Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B North Lower Wall assembly's [new] inner 1/8-inch plywood layer



Figure 7 - WUFI® output for Building B Upper Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B East Upper Wall assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer



Figure 8 – WUFI[®] output for Building B Lower Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Lower Wall assembly's outer 1/8-inch plywood layer



Figure 9 – WUFI[®] output for Building B Upper Wall assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Upper Wall assembly's outer 1/8-inch plywood layer

BUILDING B: POST-RETROFIT ROOF

The post-retrofit Building B roof assembly simulated did not demonstrate any numerical errors for the orientations and conditions tested – no convergence failures occurred, and the differences between balances of change in total water content and the sum of the moisture fluxes were generally small.

Again, the plywood layer was subdivided into three adjacent layers per the PHIUS+ protocol. In the roof assembly, the MC in all studied layers decreases or remains stable throughout the 10-year period studied, and the 20% MC threshold is not surpassed in any of these layers (see Figure 10, Figure 11). However, per WUFI®'s film results, the relative humidity in the air space reaches almost 100% humidity behind the new plywood layer and above the new cellulose layer (Figure 12). Further detail is required for the newly created attic space in terms of venting, height, etc.

Moreover, using the same previously stated assumptions and inputs for the biogenic materials, the VTT simulation conducted indicated a green traffic light status for the plywood layers. However, in the South orientation of the shaded simulation conducted, the mold growth index increases in magnitude over time within the innermost plywood layer (**Figure 13**). For this reason, a 20-year model was created solely for VTT simulation of the innermost plywood layer, the results of which seem to trend towards a gradual plateau of the mold growth index in the long-term (**Figure 14**).

For these reasons, and per the PHIUS+ protocol, it is understood that the proposed post-retrofit roof assembly may manage moisture adequately based on the information available and the assumptions presented in this report. However, this is dependent on whether the plywood can be subject to certain periods of high moisture content, as well as all wood layers' mold resistance properties. It is important that a study of the long-term mold-resistance of the plywood be studied prior to construction, as the present WUFI[®] results indicate an annual increase in mold growth index that may plateau in later years.

Finally, further detail (venting, height, etc.) of the newly created attic space is required for future simulations if this project should proceed to construction as high RH levels may be expected.



Figure 10 - - WUFI[®] output for Building B Roof assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B North Roof assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer (shaded scenario)



Figure 11 - WUFI® output for Building B Roof assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Roof assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer (unshaded scenario)



Figure 12 - WUFI[®] film output for Building B Roof assembly: water content (kg/m³, %) over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Roof assembly – high RH in new airspace (new attic space, unshaded scenario)



Figure 13 – WUFI[®] VTT output for Building B Roof assembly: mold growth index over 10-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Roof assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer (shaded scenario)

Stanley Francispillai, P. Eng. (QC, NS)



Figure 14 – WUFI[®] VTT output for Building B Roof assembly: mold growth index over 20-year period studied for post-retrofit Building B South Roof assembly's inner 1/8-inch plywood layer (shaded scenario)

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

The results presented in this report are subject to certain limitations, including the following:

- Wall and roof assembly materials and thicknesses were assumed based on information obtained from the Municipality of Colchester and the ReCover team, as well as available predefined WUFI[®] materials;
- The attic spaces simulated were of average estimated height;
- Both buildings in question have multi-roof systems with varying inclinations, respectively. This cannot be specifically modelled in WUFI® Pro, as one inclination must be chosen for the entire assembly under simulation. Moreover, the inclination of the Building B roof assembly was decreased to 29° as less trustworthy results were obtained using higher inclinations in WUFI®;
- All material properties, including but not limited to thermal conductivity and permeability, were assumed based on WUFI® Pro default values as well as the ReCover team's approximations. The specific vulnerability of the existing and proposed materials to mold growth is approximated;
- Damage functions indicated in the report were the only ones studied rot/decay of the wood elements (excluding sheathing), corrosion of any metal elements, bulk water leaks, and any other damage functions were not simulated due to limitations of the one-dimensional WUFI® Pro software, information available, and scope of work;
- Mold growth index simulation using VTT requires estimation of the properties of each material layer studied. The assumptions used in this feasibility study should be validated with specialists in this field. The mold growth risks involved could be higher if the materials specified for the project are more vulnerable to mold growth than the approximated materials modelled in WUFI[®];
- VTT results can vary from one element to another within a given material layer the results presented in this report are dependent on the elements chosen for simulation;
- The climate file used for the simulations approximates the typical weather experienced by the building under simulation (no extreme weather events), and also cannot adequately model the micro-climate experienced by the building in its specific location;
- All interfaces, connections, and details (e.g., interface between wall and roof assemblies) were not modelled in WUFI[®] Pro and should be validated by others;
- This report assumes that any issues with the existing envelope will be addressed prior to conducting the retrofit, including but not limited to cracking of the masonry, unsealed penetrations, etc.;
- WUFI[®] Pro is a one-dimensional software that cannot quantify all real-world hygrothermal phenomena. For instance, WUFI[®] 2-D could better approximate a complex two-dimensional phenomenon that WUFI[®] Pro could not – certain software is therefore better served in certain situations;

 WUFI[®] Pro is a software and is limited by the quality of data inputted into each case studied – given the nature of the study in question, the information available for the assemblies' components, the unknown properties of each material, and the approximated indoor/outdoor conditions, great care must be taken when considering the WUFI[®] Pro results presented in this feasibility report.

If the ReCover team's retrofit design should move forward to construction, it is imperative that a more thorough investigation of the wall and roof assemblies in question be conducted so that more accurate predictions of the assemblies' hygrothermal performance can be made. Further information of the building is necessary, including but not limited to validation of the wall and roof assemblies, determination of material thicknesses and properties, etc. Therefore, the results of the WUFI® Pro models presented in this report can only be used as a first step towards understanding the post-retrofit hygrothermal performance of the wall and roof assemblies in question. **This preliminary feasibility report cannot be used for construction purposes.** Once further investigation of the actual conditions is completed (e.g., wall and roof openings) and the design of the panels are reviewed and approved by the appropriate parties, hygrothermal models will need to be simulated with the validated inputs, a specific location-based climate file, etc. This will create a more accurate WUFI® model of the building envelope in question and allow for a better understanding of the assemblies' hygrothermal performance post-retrofit.

It is hoped that this report is to your satisfaction. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Stanley Francispillai.

Stanley Francispillai, P. Eng. (QC, NS) WUFI[®] Pro Software Modeller (438) 872-5524

APPENDIX A

Assembly Notes

	Assembly Notes			
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building A)			
Case:	LOWER Wall (Assembly 3, sheet A6)			
Reference Files:	Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf			
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf			
	Colchester - Existina Buildir	na Assemblies (2022-	08-29).pdf	
Assembly (Exterior	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If	
to Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)	
7/8" corrugated	Roof Membrane V13			
metal siding (vented)	(Generic Materials)	-	PHIUS+ protocol	
3/4" air gap	Air Layer 10 mm; metallic (Generic Materials)	Specific air layer behind metallic surfaces	PHIUS+ protocol	
High Perm WRB	Spun Bonded Polyolefin			
(Assume PERM 50,	Membrane (SBP) (North	-	-	
Tech specs available)	America Database)			
		Split into three		
1/2" SPF Plywood	Plywood (OSA) (N.A.	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
sheathing	Databasej	and outer		
	Cellulose Fibre (heat		Past ReCover project	
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	assumption	
cellulose	(Fraunhofer Database)		assumption	
Cellulose Bib Super	INTELLO PLUS (E <mark>TA) (N.</mark> A.		ReCover Team	
high PERM	Database)			
	Air Layer 20 mm; without			
	additional moisture	_	For strapping + existing wall	
1/2" air gap (from	capacity (Generic		abnormalities	
1x4 SPF strapping)	Materials)			
		1		
		Permeability at		
		each RH level		
		increased by 15%		
		to achieve		
		approximately 10		
		perms	Assumption	
		permeance under		
		WET conditions		
		as per Building		
1"x6" V-Joint Wood	Composite Wood Siding	Science Corp.		
Siding	(N.A. Database)	Info-312		
15LB Asphalt Paper	Bituminous Paper (#15 Felt) (N.A. Database)	-	Assumption	
		Split into three		
1/2" Plywood	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
Sheathing	Database)	and outer		

R20 Batt with 4mil V.B.	Low Density Glass Fiber Batt Insulation (N.A. Database) + PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07 perm)	Altered PE permeability from 0.0026 perm-inch to 0.003937 perm-inch to increase permeability (assumed approx. 0.10 perms for 4 mil poly)	- + https://inspectapedia.com/in teriors/Perm-Ratings-for- Materials.php
5/8" Gypsum Board	Gypsum Board (USA) (N.A. Database)	-	-

Assembly Notes				
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building A)			
Case:	UPPER Wall (Assembly 3 without siding, sheet A6)			
Reference File:	Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf			
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf			
	Colchester - Existing Buildir	ng Assemblies (2022-	08-29).pdf	
Assembly (Exterior	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If	
to Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)	
7/8" corrugated	Roof Membrane V13	-	PHIUS+ protocol	
metal siding (vented)	(Generic Materials)			
2/411 - 11	Air Layer 10 mm; metallic	Specific air layer		
3/4 air gap	(Generic Materials)	bening metallic	PHIUS+ protocol	
High Porm W/PP	Spun Bondod Polyolofin	surfaces		
(Assume PERM 50	Membrane (SBP) (North	_		
Tech specs available)	America Database)			
		Split into three		
1/2" SPF Plywood	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
sheathing	Database)	and outer		
	Cellulose Fibre (heat		Bast BaCover project	
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	assumption	
cellulose	(Fraunhofer Database)		assumption	
Cellulose Bib Super	INTELLO PLUS (E <mark>TA) (N.</mark> A.	_	BeCover Team	
high PERM	Database)			
	Air Layer 20 mm; without			
	additional moisture	-	For strapping + existing wall	
1/2" air gap (from	capacity (Generic		abnormalities	
1x4 SPF strapping)	Materials)			
	Rituminous Paper (#15			
15I B Asphalt Paper	Felt) (N A Database)	-	Assumption	
		Split into three		
1/2" Plywood	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	lavers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
Sheathing	Database)	and outer		
		Altered PE		
		permeability from		
		0.0026 perm-inch		
		to 0.003937	- -	
	Low Density Glass Fiber	perm-inch to	· https://inspectapedia.com/in	
	Batt Insulation (N.A.	increase	teriors/Perm-Ratings-for-	
	Database)	permeability	Materials.php	
	+ (5 + 6 5=	(assumed approx.		
K20 Batt with 4mil	PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07	0.10 perms for 4		
V.B.				
	Gypsum Board (USA)	-	-	
5/8" Gypsum Board	(N.A. Database)			

Assembly Notes			
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building A)		
Case:	Roof (Assembly 1, sheet A6)		
Reference File:	Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf		
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan odf		
	Colchester - Existing Bi	ildina Assemblies (20	22-08-29) ndf
Assembly (Exterior to	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If
Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)
	Roof Membrane V13		
Corrugated metal roofing	(Generic Materials)	-	PHIUS+ protocol
	PVC Membrane (N.A.		
Low perm roof membrane	Database)	-	Assumption
		Split into three	
	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	lavers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol
5/8" sheathing	Database)	and outer	
	Air Laver 150 mm:		
	without additional	4 x material = 600	
	moisture capacity	mm airspace	Assumption
Vented air space	(Generic Materials)	Vented at 10ACH	
		Bulk density	
		changed to 55	
		kg/m3;	• ··
			Assumption;
		Thermal	DeCever
	Cellulose Fibre (heat	conductivity	Recover
	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	changed to 0,05	
8" loose fill cellulose	(Fraunhofer-IBP)	W/mK	
	PE-Membrane (Poly;		
6 mil vapour barrier taped for	0.07 perm)		Assumption
air tightness	(Fraunhofer-IBP)	-	
	Asphalt Shingle		
	System (N.A.		Assumption
210 lbs Asphalt Shingles	Database)	-	
	Bituminous Paper		
	(#15 Felt) (N.A.	-	-
15# Asphault Paper	Database)		
	Plywood (USA) (N A	Split into three	
	Database)	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol
5/8" SPF plywood sheathing		and outer	
		4 x material = 600	
	Air Layer 150 mm;	mm airspace	
	without additional		Assumption
	moisture capacity	Vented at 0, 0.01,	
Unvented air space	(Generic Materials)	0.1, 5, and 10ACH	

	Low Density Glass		
	Fiber Batt Insulation		-
R20 Batt Insulation	(N.A. Database)	-	
	PE-Membrane (Poly;		
	0.07 perm)		Assumption
6 mil vapour barrier	(Fraunhofer-IBP)	-	

	Assembly	y Notes		
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building B)			
Case:	North, East, West LOWER Wall (Assembly 4, sheet A5)			
Reference Files:	Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf			
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf			
	Colchester - Existing Build	ding Assemblies (2022-0	08-29).pdf	
Assembly (Exterior to	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If	
Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)	
7/8" corrugated metal	Roof Membrane V13	-	PHIUS+ protocol	
siding (vented)	(Generic Materials)			
	Air Layer 10 mm;	Specific air layer		
3/4" air gap	metallic (Generic	behind metallic	PHIUS+ protocol	
	Materials)	surfaces		
	Spun Bonded Polyolefin			
High Perm WRB	(Nembrane (SBP)	-	-	
(Assume PERIVI 50, Tech	(North America Databaso)			
		Split into three		
1/2" SPE Plywood	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	lavers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
sheathing	Database)	and outer		
	Cellulose Fibre (heat			
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	Past ReCover project	
cellulose	(Fraunhofer Database)		assumption	
Cellulose Bib Super high	INTELLO PLUS (ETA)		PoCover Team	
PERM	(N.A. Database)	-		
	Air Layer 20 mm;			
	without additional	-	For strapping + existing	
1/2" air gap (from 1x4	moisture capacity		wall abnormalities	
SPF strapping)	(Generic Materials)			
		Deveree hility et ee ek		
		Permeability at each		
		by 15% to achieve		
		approximately 10		
		nerms permeance	Assumption	
		under WET	/ local inputon	
		conditions as per		
1"x6" V-Joint Wood	Composite Wood	Building Science		
Siding	Siding (N.A. Database)	Corp. Info-312		
2 LAYERS 15 LB BLDG.	Bituminous Paper (#15	2 x material	Assumption	
PAPER	Felt) (N.A. Database)			
	Extruded Polystyrene			
	Insulation (N.A.	-	Assumption	
2.5" rigid insulation	Database)			
	Concrete Brick (N.A.	-	-	
10" concrete block	Database)			

Assembly Notes				
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building B)			
Case:	North, East, West UPPER Wall (Assembly 2, sheet A5)			
Reference File:	Water Utility Building Str	ructural Plans - 251 Lan	caster Cres.pdf	
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf			
	Colchester - Existing Build	ding Assemblies (2022-0	08-29).pdf	
Assembly (Exterior to	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If	
Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)	
7/8" corrugated metal	Roof Membrane V13		PHILIS+ protocol	
siding (vented)	(Generic Materials)	-	PTILOST PLOLOCOL	
	Air Layer 10 mm;	Specific air layer		
3/4" air gap	metallic (Generic	behind metallic	PHIUS+ protocol	
	Materials)	surfaces		
	Spun Bonded Polyolefin			
High Perm WRB	Membrane (SBP)	_	_	
(Assume PERM 50, Tech	(North America			
specs available)	Database)			
	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	Split into three		
1/2" SPF Plywood	Database)	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol	
sheathing	, , ,	and outer		
	Cellulose Fibre (heat		Past ReCover project	
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	assumption	
cellulose	(Fraunnofer Database)			
Cellulose Bib Super high	INTELLO PLUS (ETA)	-	ReCover Team	
PERM	(N.A. Database)			
	Air Layer 20 mm;			
	without additional	-	For strapping + existing	
1/2" air gap (from 1x4	moisture capacity		wall abnormalities	
SPF strapping)	(Generic Materials)			
	Extruded Delucturene			
	Insulation (NLA		Accumption	
2.5" rigid insulation	Database)	-	Assumption	
	Concrete Brick (N.A.			
10 ^{ll} concrete black	Database)	-	-	
10 CONCRETE DIOCK	Palabasej			

	Assemb	ly Notes	
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot P	roject (Building B)	
Case:	South LOWER Wall (Assembly 3, sheet A5)		
Reference File:	Water Utility Building Str	uctural Plans - 251 Lanc	aster Cres.pdf
	21 11 22 - Colchester Bui	ld Plan.pdf	
	Colchester - Existing Build	ding Assemblies (2022-0	8-29).pdf
Assembly (Exterior to	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If
Interior)	(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)
7/8" corrugated metal	Roof Membrane V13		
siding (vented)	(Generic Materials)	-	PHIOS+ protocol
	Air Layer 10 mm;	Specific air layer	
3/4" air gap	metallic (Generic	behind metallic	PHIUS+ protocol
	Materials)	surfaces	
	Spun Bonded Polyolefin		
High Perm WRB	Membrane (SBP)		
(Assume PERM 50,	(North America	-	
Tech specs available)	Database)		
	Physicod (USA) (NLA	Split into three	
1/2" SPF Plywood	Databasa)	layers, 1/8" inner	PHIUS+ protocol
sheathing	Databasej	and outer	
	Cellulose Fibre (heat		Past ReCover project
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	assumption
cellulose	(Fraunhofer Database)		assumption
Cellulose Bib Super	INTELLO PLUS (ETA)		DeCover Team
high PERM	(N.A. Database)	-	Recover ream
	Air Layer 20 mm;		
	without additional		For strapping + existing
1/2" air gap (from 1x4	moisture capacity	-	wall abnormalities
SPF strapping)	(Generic Materials)		
	Metal Deck,	Default WUFI	
1-1/2" DEEP PRE-	unperforated (N.A.	material thickness	-
FINISHED PANEL	Database)	used (0.0008m)	
	Extruded Polystyrene		
	Insulation (N.A.	-	Assumption
2.5" rigid insulation	Database)		
	Concrete Brick (N.A.		
10" concrete block	Database)	-	-

Assembly Notes										
Project:	Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building B)									
Case:	South UPPER Wall (Assembly 2, sheet A5)									
Reference File:	Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres.pdf									
	21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf									
	Colchester - Existing Building Assemblies (2022-08-29).pdf									
Assembly (Exterior to	Modeled Material	Alterations (If	Supporting Docs. (If							
Therior)	(DB/IMat I)		Applicable)							
//8" corrugated metal	(Conorio Materials)	- '	PHIUS+ protocol							
siding (vented)		Spacific air lavor								
3/4" air gap	Air Layer 10 mm; metallic (Generic Materials)	behind metallic	PHIUS+ protocol							
	Soup Dondod Dolyglafin	surfaces								
High Perm WRB	Membrane (SBP) (North		_							
(Assume PERIVI 50,	America Database)									
		Split into three								
1/2" SPE Plywood	Plywood (USA) (N.A.	lavers 1/8" inner	PHILIS+ protocol							
sheathing	Database)	and outer								
	Cellulose Fibre (heat									
5.5" of dense pack	cond.: 0,04 W/mK)	-	Past ReCover project							
cellulose	(Fraunhofer Database)		assumption							
Cellulose Bib Super	INTELLO PLUS (ETA) (N.A.		.							
high PERM	Database)	-	Recover Leam							
	Air Layer 20 mm; without									
	additional moisture		For strapping + existing							
1/2" air gap (from 1x4	cap <mark>acit</mark> y (Generic	-	wall abnormalities							
SPF strapping)	Materials)									
	Extruded Polystyrene									
	Insulation (N.A.	-	Assumption							
2.5" rigid insulation	Database)									
	Concrete Brick (N.A.	-	-							
10" concrete block	Database)									
Assembly No	otes									
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Project: Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building B)										
Roof (Assembly 1, sheet A5)										
Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 Lancaster Cres. <mark>pd</mark> f										
21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf										
Colchester - Existing Building Assemblies (2022-08-29).pdf										
Assembly (Exterior to Modeled Material Alterations (If Supportin										
(DB/Mat'l)	Applicable)	Applicable)								
Roof Membrane V13 (Generic Materials)	-	PHIUS+ protocol								
PVC Membrane (N.A. Database)	-	Assumption								
Plywood (USA) (N.A. Database)	Split into three layers, 1/8" inner and outer	PHIUS+ protocol								
Air Layer 150 mm; without additional moisture capacity (Generic Materials)	4 x material = 600 mm airspace Vented at 10ACH	Assumption								
	Bulk density changed to 55 kg/m3;	Assumption;								
Cellulose Fibre (heat cond.: 0,04 W/mK) (Fraunhofer-IBP)	conductivity changed to 0,05 W/mK	ReCover								
PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07 perm) (Fraunhofer-IBP)	-	Assumption								
Polyisocyanurate Board, Glass-Matt Facer	-	Assumption								
Polyisocyanurate Board (N.A. Database)	-	Assumption								
_	-	Assumed TPO Membrane sufficient for modelling								
TPO Membrane (N.A. Database)	3 x material	Assumption								
Gypsum Board (USA) (N.A. Database)	-	-								
Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database)	_	-								
	Assembly No Colchester NRCan Pilot Roof (Assembly 1, shee Water Utility Building S 21 11 22 - Colchester B Colchester - Existing Bu Modeled Material (DB/Mat'l) Roof Membrane V13 (Generic Materials) PVC Membrane (N.A. Database) Plywood (USA) (N.A. Database) Air Layer 150 mm; without additional moisture capacity (Generic Materials) Cellulose Fibre (heat cond.: 0,04 W/mK) (Fraunhofer-IBP) PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07 perm) (Fraunhofer-IBP) PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07 perm) (Fraunhofer-IBP) POlyisocyanurate Board, Glass-Matt Facer Polyisocyanurate Board (N.A. Database) Gypsum Board (USA) (N.A. Database) Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database)	Assembly Notes Colchester NRCan Pilot Project (Building B) Roof (Assembly 1, sheet A5) Water Utility Building Structural Plans - 251 21 11 22 - Colchester Build Plan.pdf Colchester - Existing Building Assemblies (20 Modeled Material (DB/Mat'I) Roof Membrane V13 (Generic Materials) PVC Membrane (N.A. Database) Plywood (USA) (N.A. Database) Air Layer 150 mm; without additional moisture capacity (Generic Materials) Cellulose Fibre (heat cond.: 0,04 W/mK) (Fraunhofer-IBP) PE-Membrane (Poly; 0.07 perm) (Fraunhofer-IBP) Polyisocyanurate Board, Glass-Matt Facer Polyisocyanurate Board (N.A. Database) - TPO Membrane (N.A. Database) - TPO Membrane (N.A. Database) - Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database) - Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database) - Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database) - Metal Deck, unperforated (N.A. Database) -								

Appendix K Embodied Carbon



NRCan | Recover FEED Studies Colchester Retrofit Building Embodied Carbon Assessment

Fatma Osman, BA, Toronto Metropolitan University

INTRODUCTION

This report presents an embodied carbon analysis of the Colchester retrofit project proposed by the Recover Initiative as part of the NRCan FEED studies. Understanding the embodied carbon in the construction industry can help reduce the overall carbon footprint of buildings, which is one of the main goals the Recover initiative works to achieve. This report emphasizes the importance of embodied carbon analysis and the environmental impacts attributed to material selection.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work includes conducting an embodied carbon analysis of the retrofit project; all materials that are proposed to be added to the existing building. This analysis is limited to embodied carbon of assembly materials and does not include other systems, such as the HVAC systems. Specifically, the analysis looks at additions to above-grade walls, roofs, below-grade components, and windows and doors. The results include a whole life cycle assessment of the building in six impact categories: Global Warming, Ozone Depletion, Acidification, Eutrophication, Formation of tropospheric ozone, Depletion of nonrenewable energy, and Biogenic carbon storage.

INPUTS^a**XND**^A**SSUMPTIONS** market that has Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) available in the One Click LCA software database.

- Materials were chosen based on their environmental performance; averages were prioritized (unless low-carbon materials were specified by the Recover design team).
- The service life used in the analysis is 60 years as per LEED v4 minimum requirement for whole building LCAs.
- Materials within assembly panels were assumed to have a 60 years service life as the building; all other materials were left to default service lives as per the One Click software.

THIS REPORT CONTAINS

- Summary of Results.
- Summary of Global Warming Potential (GWP) per building floor area.
- Graphs that summarize the detailed tables.
- Detailed data on assembly materials and specific products used in the assessment (in Appendix).
- Detailed data on embodied carbon of the different life stages of the buildings in the form of tables (in Appendix).

Colchester Retrofit Project LCA results summary

Table 1: Total Global Warming Potential

Colchester building gross floor area m2	A1-A3 KgCO2e/m2	A1-C4 KgCO2e/m2	Biogenic carbon
720	69.47	89.28	156.5

The major contributors to the GWP in this design are the wood used for building the truss, and the steel wall cladding. The A1-A3 Materials stage contributed 78% of the total carbon emissions associated with this building as illustrated in Figure 1 & 2. Table 1 above shows that the biogenic carbon storage of this building design surpasses that of the A1-C4 emissions by 43%, making the building have a surplus in carbon storage capacity. This storage is attributed to the wood products (92.7%) and cellulose insulation (7.3%) used in the assembly as shown in Figure 3. The results graphs below show the breakdowns of life cycle stages and impact categories associated with the materials.

Results Graphs



Colchester Retrofit Global Warming by Stage and Material

Figure 1: Colchester retrofit design breakdown of the life cycle stages and the associated materials





Figure 2: Colchester retrofit design breakdown of the life cycle impact categories and the associated life cycle stage

Colchester Retrofit Life-Cycle Impacts by Material (%)



Figure 3: Colchester retrofit design breakdown of the life cycle impact categories and the associated materials

Appendix

Proposed Retrofit Assemblies and Environmental Impact calculations

Colchester

Wall Panel Assembly (R16)

Material (ReCover specification)	Description (from EPD)	Thickness (mm)	Volume of material (m3)	Carbon emissions (A1-A3) (KgCO2E)	% of total	
Self Adhered WRB	Air and water barrier system, mechanically fastened, 0.0225 lbs/ft2, 0.11 kg/m2, Tyvek (DuPont)		*	67.8	0.5%	
1/2" SPF plywood sheathing	Plywood produced in British Columbia, 477.33 kg/m3 (Forestry Innovation Investment)	13	10.488	1381.1	10.0%	
2x6 SPF framing	Softwood lumber, 405 kg/m3 (Canadian Wood Council)		14.559	1077.7	7.8%	
Compressible insulation	Loose fill fiberglass insulation, blown, Rsi=1 m2K/W, 19.84 mm, 0.46 kg/m2, 23.2 kg/m3, (Johns Manville)	21	0.976	27.1	0.2%	
Exterior strapping (#3)	Softwood lumber, 405 kg/m3 (Canadian Wood Council)		0.86	63.7	0.5%	
Dense pack cellulose (5.5")	Cellulose insulation, blown (loose), L = 0.039 W/mK, R = 2.56 m2K/W (15 ft2°Fh/BTU), 50 kg/m3 (3.12lbs/ft3), (applicable for densities: 40-90 kg/m3 (2.5-5.62 lbs/ft3)),	140	93.704	822.9	5.9%	
Intello plus	High performance vapor barrier, 0.021 in (0.5 mm), 0.76 kg/m2, Florprufe® 120 (GCP Applied Technologies)		*	237.3	1.7%	
1x4 strapping	Softwood lumber, 405 kg/m3 (Canadian Wood Council)		1.912	141.5	1.0%	
Metal siding (cladding)	Cold-formed single skin steel wall cladding, 0.36-1.27 mm, 4.17 kg/m2 (Metal Building Manufacturers Association)		*	9555.4	68.9%	
4" EPS wall insulation	EPS insulation (generic)	0.1016	30	347.4	2.5%	
2" thick below grade fin		0.0508				
Cement board	Cement board Cement board, 1/2 in (12.7 mm), 11.8 kg/m2, PLUS (PermaBASE Building Products)			137.8	1.0%	
Total				13859.5	100.0%	
* Software calculates the imp	act based on the area provided		Per m2	19.2	kg CO2/m2	

Roof Assembly

Material (ReCover specification)	Description (from EPD)	Thickness (mm)	Volume of material (m3)	Carbon emissions (A1-A3) (KgCO2E)	% of total
Trusses over existing	Prefabricated light wood frame roof truss, 417 kg/m3, 99.6% softwood lumber, < 0.1% LVL, < 0.1% OSB, 0.4% metal connector plates (Quebec Wood Export Bureau (2020))		108	18,921.60	60.7%
R25 loose fill cellulose	Cellulose insulation, blown (loose), L = 0.039 W/mK, R = 2.56 m2K/W (15 ft2°Fh/BTU), 50 kg/m3 (3.12lbs/ft3), (applicable for densities: 40-90 kg/m3 (2.5- 5.62 lbs/ft3)), Lambda=0.039 W/(m.K)	0.1761	131.6	1,582.45	5.1%
New sheathing (DenseGlass Sheathing)	Glass-mat gypsum boards, fire and moisture resistant, for exterior walls, 12.7 mm (1/2 inch), 9.75 kg/m2 (1.997 lb/ft2), 768 kg/m3, 1/2 DensGlass, 1/2 DensElementTM (Georgia-Pacific Gypsum LLC)	0.0159	11.9	3,610.86	11.6%
New roofing	Steel roofing and floor decking panels, galvanized or uncoated, tensile strength: 410-655 N/mm2, 7800 kg/m3 (Nucor)	0.000340	0.3	5,179.10	16.6%
EPDM Non-Reinforced Single Ply Waterproofing Roof Membrane (Fully Adhered), 60 mils: 2.07 kg/m2 (Single Ply WRB membrane Roofing Industry)			*	1,861.93	6.0%
Total				31,155.94	100.0%
* Software calculates the impa	act based on the area provided	Per m2	43.3	kg CO2/m2	

Windows and Doors

Material (ReCover specification)	Description (from EPD)	Thickness (mm)	Volume of material (m3)	Carbon emissions (A1-A3) (KgCO2E)	% of total
Insulated core steel doors	Galvanized steel door with polyurethane core, 44.5 mm (1.75 inch), 42.5 kg/unit, 490 kg/m3 (DE LA FONTAINE)	*	*	333	6.7%
High performance overhead doors	Overhead sectional door, 3600x3600mm, TX42 (Alsta)	*	*	1,942	38.8%

	Fiberglass windows, 1.5m x 1.3 m, 40 mm	*	*		54.5%
	frame thickness, 1.42 m2 glazing area,				
	60.50 kg/m2, 300 Series Tilt and Turn, 300				
High performance triple	Series Fixed, 325 Series Awning/Casement,				
pane windows	325 Series Fixed, 400 Series (Inline)			2,725.20	
Total				5,000.2	100.0%
* Quantity is calculated in softw	vare based on area and/or number of units		Per m2	3.8	kg CO2/m2

Environmental Emissions

Colchester Project		A1 to C4	A1-A3	A4-A5	B1-B5	C1-C4	A1-A3
Result category	Units	Total	Construction Materials	Transportation to site & construction	Material replacement & refurbishment	Deconstruction	A1-A3 % of total
Global warming	kg CO2e	64,281.28	50015.66	1536.46	5222	7507.16	77.8%
Ozone Depletion	kg CFC11e	0.00	0.0016	0.0004	0.00033	0.00043	58.0%
Acidification	kg SO₂e	414.30	300.77	8.75 36.73		68.05	72.6%
Eutrophication	kg Ne	105.60	80.61	1.23	4.52	19.24	76.3%
Formation of tropospheric ozone	kg O3e	5,696.40	4736.08	248.2	384.82	327.3	83.1%
Depletion of nonrenewable	NA1	676 424 22	E72026 0	42688.2	E40E2 20	2846.84	01 00/
Biogenic carbon storage	kg CO2e	112,667.20	112667.2	43688.2	0	0	100.0%

Appendix L

Architectural Elevation Drawings









habit studio 6437 Cork St, Halifax, NS email: lorrie@habitstudio.ca | tel: 902.448.6873

This drawing and the design detailed herein is the intellectual property of Habit Studio. Use of information contained in this document without the express permission of the designer is an infringement of the Copyright Act of Canada.



Debert Water Utility Building

Municipality of Colchester, NS

٨X	ReCover Initiative Panelized Deep Retrofit Study											
<u>></u>	⁵ drawing title:											
ΞNT	Proposed South	n & West Elevati	ons									
R	phase:		sheet size:									
CUF	concept	_	17x11									
ŗ	drawn by:	checked by:	drawing number:									
este	IG	LR										
lch	date:	scale:	A2									
co	2023-08-15	as noted										

standing seam

eavestrough & downspout

standing seam metal siding

			APPLICA	BLE CODES	AND STANDAR	RDS						
Building Code:	:	2020 Nova S	cotia Building	g Code Regulat	ions (NSBC)							
		2015 Nationa	al Building Co	ode of Canada (NBCC)							
Fire Code:		2015 Nationa	al Fire Code of	f Canada								
Accessibility		2020 Nova S	cotia Building	g Code Regulat	ions (NSBC)							
Accessionity:		2015 Nationa	al Building Co	de of Canada (NBCC)							
			В	UILDING DE	SCRIPTION							
Colchester Mu	nicinal Operations Buil	dina										
		u1115										
Building A Are	ea (Footprint):	181 m^2				NSBC Re	eterence					
Gross Floor Ar	ea:	181 m ²		•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Building Heigh	nt:	1 Storev				1.4.1.2						
·····Я·····Я·····Я···						~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
Major Occupar	ncy, Proposed:	Group D - Bu	usiness			3.1.2.1.						
			CONS	TRUCTION F	REQUIREMENTS	S						
						NSBC Re	eference					
Construction G	Boverned by:	NSBC Part 9 $\leq 1.000 \text{ m}^2$				1.3.3.3.)					
Building Heigh		1 Storey				3 2 2 62 (1	.))					
Streets to Face:	:	None										
Construction T	vpe:	Combustible	or Nomcomb	ustible		3.2.2.62.(2)						
Fire Resistance	e Ratings:	45 Minuto				37767(7	3, 2, 2, 62, (2)(2)					
Loadbearing	Elements supporting	45 Willute				5.2.2.02.(2	<u>;)(a)</u>					
an assembly r	required to have a fire-	45-minute FF	RR or noncom	bustible		3.2.2.62.(2	2)(b)					
resistance rati	ing					0.2.2.02.(2						
Roof, Occupi	led (n/a):	N/A										
Loadbearing	Elements supporting a					~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
fire separation	n	N/A										
Sprinkler:		not required		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		3 2 2 62						
Standpipe:		not required				3.2.5.8.(c)						
Fire Alarm:		not required				3.2.4.1.						
Portable Fire E	Extinguisher:	Required wit	<u>hin 22.9 m of</u>	travel from all	points within	NFC 2.1.5	.1. / NFPA 10					
Fire Hydrant:		not required				3.2.5.15.						
			3	PATIAL SEP	ARATIONS							
The type of cor	nstruction cladding and	l fire-resistanc	e rating of the	exposed build	ing faces is summ	arized below	W					
NSBC Referen	ices: Article 3.2.3.1. and	1 NSBC Table	3.2.3.1E	-nposed ound								
Eacing Limiting Distance Wall Area Unprotected Openings Exposing Building Face												
i doniy	(m)	(m²)	H:L Ratio	Permitted	Proposed	FRR	Construction	Cladding				
orth (party wal	0.0		1:0	0.0%	0.0%	4 hour*	Noncombustible					
Nonth	0.0	112.0	1.2 1	0.00/	Ω.00/	2 hour	Noncombustible	Noncombratible				
East	45.0	675.0	1:3.1	100.0%	0.0%	∠ nour	Comb. or Noncomb	Comb. or Noncomb				
South	25.0	471.0	1:12.3	100.0%	0.0%	-	Comb. or Noncomb.	Comb. or Noncomb.				
	1					000000000000000000000000000000000000000						

graphic scale:

habit studio 6437 Cork St, Halifax, NS email: lorrie@habitstudio.ca | tel: 902.448.6873 This drawing and the design detailed herein is the intellectual property of Habit Studio. Use of information contained in this document without the express permission of the designer is an infringement of the Copyright Act of Canada. ReCover Debert Water Utility Building Municipality of Colchester, NS ReCover Initiative Panelized Deep Retrofit Study drawing title: Code review phase: sheet size: concept 17x11 drawn by: checked by: drawing number: IG LR A3 scale: ິບ 2023-08-15 as noted north arrow:

Appendix M Cost Estimate



Retrofit 251 Lancaster Crescent

Debert, Nova Scotia



CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE JULY 20, 2023



163 Village Road, Herring Cove, Nova Scotia, Canada, B3V 1H2 www.qsolv.ca

Preamble

INTRODUCTION The Class D - Feasibility Estimate enclosed represents the construction costs for the proposed energy retrofit options to the existing buildings A and B located at 251 Lancaster Crescent in Debert, Nova Scotia as design by RSI Projects Inc.

Four scenario cost options are presented in this report as follows:

Scenario One 50% Improvement generally includes the replacement of the existing facade with metal siding on prefab insulated panels, insulation on foundation walls, replacement of windows and overhead doors, roof replacement on new pre-eng scissor roof trusses with cellulose insulation, addition of ERVs, addition of mini split units, and upgrade lighting with LED retrofit kits.

Scenario Two Net Zero Ready - ASHP generally includes the replacement of the existing facade with metal siding on prefab insulated panels, insulation on foundation walls, replacement of windows and overhead doors, roof replacement on new pre-eng scissor roof trusses with cellulose insulation, change HVAC to an air source heat pump system and ERVs, upgrade service entrance, replacement of lighting with LED fixtures, and add heat pump hot water heaters.

Scenario Three Net Zero Ready - GSHP generally includes the replacement of the existing with metal siding on prefab insulated panels, insulation on foundation walls, replacement of windows and overhead doors, roof replacement on new pre-eng scissor roof trusses with cellulose insulation, change HVAC to a ground source heat pump system and ERVs, upgrade service entrance, replacement of lighting with LED fixtures, and add heat pump hot water heaters.

Scenario Four Net Zero generally includes all scope items from Scenario Three plus adds photovoltaics.

APPROACH

The construction costs for this report include all materials, labour, equipment, overheads, general conditions, plus markups and contractor's profit, for the retrofit options as presented in the project documents.



Preamble

APPROACH

The estimated **Construction Value** per Scenario is as follows:

Scenario One Minimum Code	\$1,962,000.00
Scenario Two Net Zero Ready - ASHP	\$2,504,000.00
Scenario Three Net Zero Ready - GSHP	\$2,613,000.00
Scenario Four Net Zero	\$2,870,000.00

Quantities were measured based on the Canadian Institute of Quantity Surveyors (CIQS) standards for Method of Measurement and presented in elemental format.

Pricing reflects competitive bids for every element of the work for a project of this type procured under an open market stipulated lump sum bid contract in Debert, Nova Scotia. Unit costs are developed and expressed as typical sub-contractor pricing and are inclusive of subcontractor's overheads and profits.

This estimate is an indication of the probable construction costs and is intended to represent fair market value of the construction costs. This estimate should not be considered a prediction of the lowest bid.

SPACE MEASUREMENT The Gross Floor Area (GFA) was measured at 8,092 square feet (sf) based on the Canadian Institute of Quantity Surveyors (CIQS) Method of Measurement and the International Construction Measurement Standards (ICMS).

COST BASE All costs are expressed in third quarter 2023 Canadian dollars (3Q2023). All costs are shown exclusive of the 13% Harmonized Sales Tax (HST).

RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE

Preamble

ESCALATION An Escalation Allowance is excluded from this report as no project schedule was provided. Nova Scotia is experiencing significant construction escalation currently with no signs of easing moving forward. It is recommended the Owner carry a Construction Escalation allowance of 10% per annum to the mid point of construction and should be monitored and reviewed continuously during

CONTINGENCIES A Design Development Contingency Allowance of 10% is included in this report to allow for scope and budget adjustments during the remaining design phase.

the remaining design phase.

A Construction Contingency Allowance of 10% is included in this report to allow for scope changes and possible change orders during the construction phase.

EXCLUSIONS

The following have been excluded from this cost report: Premium for single source materials or equipment unless noted otherwise Third party commissioning Professional and design fees Project management fees Interim financing Legal fees and surveys Owners risk allowance Moving costs or swing space Furniture and equipment unless noted otherwise Hazardous materials abatement Rock excavation Accelerated schedule premiums Shift premiums or after-hours work



5

Preamble

EXCLUSIONS

Cash allowances Testing and inspections Cost premiums due to new tariffs placed on material and equipment Cost premiums due to changes in COVID-19 protocols Allowances for rebates

DOCUMENTATION

This Class D estimate is based on the following documentation:

Drawings/Specifications/Reports

Existing Drawings (41 plans) Mechanical Outline Specification Electrical Outline Specification Structural Analysis Retrofit Scenarios Details Wall Panel Schematics Dated: November 26, 1979 January 15, 2023 February 14, 2023 No Date No Date February 27, 2023



	PROJECT COST SUMMARY											
PRO LOC CLIE DESI	JECT: RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT ATION: DEBERT, NS NT: IGNER: RSI PROJECTS		C	lass D) Estimate			DATE: CLASS: FILE	JULY 20, 2023 D - FEASIBILITY 13454			
DESCRIPTION		ELEME QUAN	ELEMENTAL QUANTITY			ELEMENTAL AMOUNT			NOTES			
1	SCENARIO 1 CODE MINIMUM	8092	sf	\$	242.00	\$	1,962,000					
2	SCENARIO 2 NET ZERO READY, ASHP	8092	sf	\$	309.00	\$	2,504,000					
3	SCENARIO 3 NET ZERO READY, GSHP	8092	sf	\$	323.00	\$	2,613,000					
4	SCENARIO 4 NET ZERO	8092	sf	\$	355.00	\$	2,870,000					



ELEMENTAL COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: LOCATION: CLIENT: DESIGNER:	RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT DEBERT, NS RSI PROJECTS		Scenario 1 50% Improvement						DATE: CLASS: FILE GFA:sf				JULY 20, 2023 D - FEASIBILITY 13454 8092		
GROSS FLOOP	R AREA 8092 sf	DATIO		A.I.						DATE		TOTAL			
ELEMENT		TO GFA	QUANTIT	AL 'Y		UNIT RATE		AMOUNT	F	PER GFA		AMOUNT	%		
A SHELL									\$	150	\$	1,215,951	61.98		
A1 SUBS	TRUCTURE	1.000	0000	(¢		*		\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
A11 A12	Foundations Basement Excavation	1.000	8092 8092	st sf	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-			0.00		
A2 STRU	CTURE		0052	5.	Ŷ		Ψ		\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
A21	Lowest Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
A22	Upper Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
A23		1.000	8092	st	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢	1 215 051	0.00		
A3 EATER	Walls Below Grade	1.000	8092	sf	\$	5.76	\$	46,576	\$	6	¢	1,213,931	2.37		
A32	Walls Above Grade	1.000	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632,964	\$	78			32.26		
A33	Windows and Entrances	0.085	687	sf	\$	118.57	\$	81,434	\$	10			4.15		
A34	Roof Coverings	1.000	8092	sf	\$	56.23	\$	454,977	\$	56			23.19		
A35	Projections	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	*		0.00		
B INTERIO	RS								\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
BI PARI	Partitions	1000	8092	cf	¢		\$	-	\$	-	\$		0.00		
B12	Doors	1.000	8092	sf	\$	_	\$	_	э \$	_			0.00		
B2 INTER	RIOR FINISHES				Ŧ		Ŧ		\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
B21	Floor Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
B22	Ceiling Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
B23	Wall Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢		0.00		
B3 FITTIN B31		1000	8092	cf	¢		¢	-	¢	-	\$		0.00		
B31	Equipment	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
B33	Conveying Systems	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
SERVICE	S								\$	8	\$	65,230	3.32		
C1 MECH	IANICAL								\$	5	\$	37,500	1.91		
C11	Plumbing and Drainage	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
C12 C13	Fire Protection	1.000	8092	ST	¢	-	\$ ⊄	- 32 500	\$ ¢	-			0.00		
C14	Controls	1.000	8092	sf	۹ \$	4.02	\$	5.000	э \$	4			0.25		
C2 ELECT	FRICAL			-				-,	\$	3	\$	27,730	1.41		
C21	Services and Distribution	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
C22	Lighting, Devices and Heating	1.000	8092	sf	\$	3.43	\$	27,730	\$	3			1.41		
C23	Systems and Ancillaries	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢	1 201 101	0.00		
NET BUILDIN	IG SUBIOTAL - LESS SITE				_				\$	158	\$	1,281,181	65.30		
D SILE & A									\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
DI SITEM	Site Development	1,000	8002	cf	¢	-	¢	-	\$	-	\$		0.00		
D12	Mechanical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
D13	Electrical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
D2 ANCI	LLARY WORK								\$	-	\$	-	0.00		
D21	Demolition	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00		
		1.000	8092	st	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢	1 201 101	0.00		
		_	_	_			_		\$	158	\$	1,281,181	65.30		
Z GENERA									\$	84	\$ ¢	679,859	34.65		
Z1 GENE 711	General Requirements and Overheads		15%				\$	192 177	\$ \$	42 24	Þ	339,513	9.79		
Z12	Contractors Profit		10%				\$	147,336	\$	18			7.51		
Z2 ALLO	WANCES						·		\$	42	\$	340,346	17.35		
Z21	Design Allowance		10%				\$	162,069	\$	20			8.26		
Z22	Escalation Allowance IBD		0% 10%				\$	170 270	\$ ¢	- วา			0.00		
			10%				\$	1/8,2/6	\$	22	¢.	1002000	9.09		
TOTAL CC	DINSTRUCTION COST (HST EXTRA)					\$242	per	51			⇒	1,962,000	100.00		



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 1 50% IMPROVEMENT

Element	Quantities		ι	Jnit Rates	Sub-totals				
EXTERIOR ENCLOSURE									
A31 Walls Below Grade									
 remove grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	3 50	\$	1554			
 remove grass landscaping remove beach stone 	854	sf	\$	3.50	\$	2,990			
 remove asphalt paving and dispose 	848	sf	\$	3.50	\$	2,969			
 excavate to 2 feet below grade 	119	cyd	\$	40.00	\$	4,766			
 new 2" EPS insulation 	1073	sf	\$	3.50	\$	3,757			
 cement board 	1073	sf	\$	5.00	\$	5,367			
 backfill to subgrade 	119	cyd	\$	50.00	\$	5,957			
 reinstate grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	5.00	\$	2,220			
 reinstate beach stone 	854	st	\$	5.00	\$	4,272			
 reinstate asphalt paving 	848	ST	\$	15.00	\$	12,725			
A31 Walls Below Grade Total	8092	sf	\$	5.76	\$	46,576			
A32 Walls Above Grade									
 remove existing facade 	7687	sf	\$	150	\$	11 5 3 0			
 structural upgrade including base angle 	17000	lbs	.↓ \$	4 00	↓ \$	68.000			
 supply and install r16 prefab insulated wall panels 	7687	sf	\$	27.00	\$	207,538			
 supply and install prefinished metal siding 	7687	sf	\$	45.00	\$	345,897			
A32 Walls Above Grade Total	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632.964			
	0052		*	70.22	¥	002,001			
A33 Windows and Entrances									
 replace windows with triple pane 	363	sf	\$	125.00	\$	45,434			
 new R10 overhead door 	3	no	\$	12,000.00	\$	36,000			
A33 Windows and Entrances Total	687	sf	\$	118.57	\$	81,434			
A34 Roof Coverings									
 remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish 	2504	sf	\$	1.00	\$	2,504			
 remove existing mod bit roof finish 	5964	sf	\$	2.00	\$	11,927			
 3/4 1&G plywood sheathing 	9110	st	\$	10.00	\$	91,102			
 Install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing 	9110	ST	\$ ¢	13.00	\$	118,433			
 new knee wall construction, numcane clips new R2E loose fill inculation 	217	SI	¢ ¢	15.00	¢	3,254 19 220			
 new metal roof system 	9110 9110	si	۹ \$	23.00	۰ \$	209,536			
A34 Roof Coverings Total	8092	sf	\$	56.23	\$	454.977			
		51	Ψ	50.25	Ψ	13 1/377			
MECHANICAL									
C13 Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning									
 new 3/4 ton mini split heat pump 	2	no	\$	5,500.00	\$	11,000			
• ERV 190cfm	1	sum	\$	3,500.00	\$	3,500			
ERV 530cfm	1	sum 	\$	9,000.00	\$	9,000			
 new ERV ductwork connected to existing 	500	lbs	\$	18.00	\$	9,000			
C13 Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning Total	8092	sf	\$	4.02	\$	32,500			
C14 Controls									
- individual controls	1	cum	¢	5 000 00	¢	5 000			
	1	SUIII	Þ	3,000.00	Þ	5,000			



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 1 50% IMPROVEMENT

Element	Quantities		Unit Rates			Sub-totals		
C14 Controls Total	8092	sf	\$	0.62	\$	5,000		
ELECTRICAL								
C22 Lighting, Devices and Heating								
 install I ED retrofit kits to all existing lights 	8092	sf	\$	2 50	\$	20,230		
upgrade selective mechanical connections	1	sum	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500		
C22 Lighting and Heating Total	8092	sf	\$	3.43	\$	27,730		
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES								
Z11 General Requirements and Overheads								
contractor's overheads				15.00%	\$	192,177		
711 General Requirements and Overheads Total	8092	cf	¢	23 75	¢	102 177		
	0052	31	Ψ	23.15	Ψ	152,117		
Z12 Contractor's Profit								
contractor's profit				10.00%	\$	147,336		
Z12 Contractor's Profit Total	8092	sf	\$	18.21	\$	147,336		
ALLOWANCES								
Z21 Design Allowance								
 design development contingency 				10.00%	\$	162,069		
Z21 Design Allowance Total	8092	sf	\$	20.03	\$	162,069		
723 Construction Contingency								
construction contingency				10 00%	¢	178 276		
- construction contingency				10.0070	φ	110,210		
Z23 Construction Contingency	8092	sf	\$	22.03	\$	178,276		



ELEMENTAL COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: LOCATION: CLIENT: DESIGNER: GROSS FLOOP	RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT DEBERT, NS RSI PROJECTS R AREA 8092 sf]	Sc	ena	rio	2 NZR - AS	ΗP			DATE: CLASS: FILE GFA:sf		JUL D - F	Y 20, 2023 EASIBILITY 13454 8092
ELEMENT		RATIO	ELEMENTA	L		ELEMENTAL		ELEMENTAL		RATE		TOTAL	
		TO GFA	QUANTITY	Y		UNIT RATE		AMOUNT	*	PER GFA	*	AMOUNT	%
A SHELL									\$ ¢	150	\$ ¢	1,215,951	48.56
Δ11 Δ11	Foundations	1000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	-	Э	-	0.00
A12	Basement Excavation	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A2 STRU	CTURE				-				\$	-	\$	-	0.00
A21	Lowest Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A22	Upper Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A23		1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢	1 215 051	0.00
	Walls Below Grade	0.133	1073	sf	\$	43 39	\$	46 576	\$ \$	150	¢	1,213,931	40.50
A32	Walls Above Grade	1.000	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632,964	\$	78			25.28
A33	Windows and Entrances	0.085	687	sf	\$	118.57	\$	81,434	\$	10			3.25
A34	Roof Coverings	1.126	9110	sf	\$	49.94	\$	454,977	\$	56			18.17
A35	Projections	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B INTERIO	RS								\$	4	\$	32,368	1.29
B1 PART	ITIONS AND DOORS								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
B11	Partitions	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
BIZ P2 INITED		1.000	8092	ST	\$	-	\$		\$ ¢	-	¢	22.260	0.00
B2 INTEL	Floor Finishes	1000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ą	52,500	0.00
B22	Ceiling Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	\$	2			0.65
B23	Wall Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	\$	2			0.65
B3 FITTI	NGS AND EQUIPMENT								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
B31	Fittings and Fixtures	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B32	Equipment	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
	Conveying Systems	1.000	8092	ST	\$	-	\$		\$	-	¢	296 072	15.45
SERVICE									¢ Þ	40	ې د	200,973	0.21
CT MECT	Plumbing and Drainage	1000	8092	sf	\$	0.56	\$	4 500	\$ \$	20	¢	207,960	0.51
C12	Fire Protection	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$		\$	-			0.00
C13	HVAC	1.000	8092	sf	\$	20.14	\$	163,000	\$	20			6.51
C14	Controls	1.000	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460	\$	5			1.62
C2 ELEC	TRICAL	I							\$	22	\$	179,013	7.15
C21	Services and Distribution	1.000	8092	sf	\$	11.12	\$	90,000	\$	11			3.59
(22	Lighting, Devices and Heating	1.000	8092	st	\$	11.00	\$ ¢	89,013	\$ ¢	11			3.55
		1.000	0092	SI	¢	-	\$	-	¢	202	¢	1635 202	65.21
		_	_	_	_		-		Ψ ¢	202	4 ¢	1,033,233	00.01
D SITE & A									ې د	-	¢	-	0.00
DT SITEV	Site Development	1000	8092	sf	¢	-	\$	-	\$ \$	-	Э	-	0.00
D12	Mechanical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D13	Electrical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D2 ANCI	LLARY WORK		•						\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D21	Demolition	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D22	Alterations	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$		\$	-			0.00
NET BUILDIN	IG SUBTOTAL - INCLUDING SITE								\$	202	\$	1,635,293	65.31
Z GENERA	L REQUIREMENTS AND ALLOWANCES								\$	107	\$	867,768	34.66
Z1 GENE	RAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES		1						\$	54	\$	433,353	17.31
Z11	General Requirements and Overheads		15%				\$	245,294	\$	30			9.80
72 4140			10%				>	188,059	¢	23	¢	121 116	17 25
721	Design Allowance		10%	Т			\$	206.865	\$	26	Ф	404,410	8,26
Z22	Escalation Allowance TBD		0%				\$	-	\$	-			0.00
Z23	Construction Allowance		10%				\$	227,551	\$	28			9.09
TOTAL CO	DNSTRUCTION COST (HST EXTRA)					\$309	per	sf			\$	2,504,000	100.00



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA

Element	Quantities		ι	Jnit Rates	Sub-totals		
A31 Walls Below Grade							
 remove grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	3.50	\$	1,554	
 remove beach stone 	854	st	\$	3.50	\$	2,990	
 remove asphalt paying and dispose excavate to 2 feet below grade 	848 110	SI	¢ ¢	3.50	¢ ¢	2,969	
 new 2" FPS insulation 	1073	cyu sf	۹ ۲	40.00	د ۲	4,700	
 cement board 	1073	sf	↓ \$	5.00	\$	5.367	
 backfill to subgrade 	119	cyd	\$	50.00	\$	5,957	
 reinstate grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	5.00	\$	2,220	
 reinstate beach stone 	854	sf	\$	5.00	\$	4,272	
 reinstate asphalt paving 	848	sf	\$	15.00	\$	12,725	
A31 Walls Below Grade Total	1073	sf	\$	43.39	\$	46,576	
A32 Walls Above Grade							
remove existing facade	7697	cf	¢	150	¢	11 5 2 0	
 remove existing laçade structural upgrade including base angle 	17000	si Ibs	۹ ۲	4.00	د ۲	68,000	
 supply and install r16 prefab insulated wall papels 	7687	sf	↓ \$	27.00	↓ \$	207 538	
 supply and install prefinished metal siding 	7687	sf	\$	45.00	\$	345,897	
A22 Mulle Alexys Crede Tetel	0000	-f	*	70.00	*	622.064	
A32 Walls Above Grade Total	8092	ST	\$	/8.22	\$	632,964	
A33 Windows and Entrances							
	262	- 6	¢	125.00	¢	45 424	
 replace windows with triple pane pow P10 overhead door 	363	ST	\$ ⊄	125.00	\$ ¢	45,434	
Thew Rid overhead door	2	no	⊅	12,000.00	¢	56,000	
A33 Windows and Entrances Total	687	sf	\$	118.57	\$	81,434	
A34 Roof Coverings							
romovo evicting achialt chingle roof finish	2504	cf	¢	1.00	¢	2 504	
 remove existing asphalt shingle foot finish remove existing mod bit roof finish 	5964	si	۹ ۲	2.00	ې ک	2,304	
 3/4 T&G plywood sheathing 	9110	sf	.↓ \$	10.00	\$	91.102	
 install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing 	9110	sf	\$	13.00	\$	118,433	
 new knee wall construction, hurricane clips 	217	sf	\$	15.00	\$	3,254	
 new R25 loose fill insulation 	9110	sf	\$	2.00	\$	18,220	
 new metal roof system 	9110	sf	\$	23.00	\$	209,536	
A34 Roof Coverings Total	9110	sf	\$	49.94	\$	454,977	
FINISHES							
B22 Ceiling Finishes							
 cut and patch ceilings for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
B22 Ceiling Finishes Total	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
B23 Wall Finishes							
 cut and patch walls for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
		^					
B23 Wall Finishes Total	8092	st	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	

MECHANICAL



RETROFIT	251 LANCASTER	CRESCENT,	DEBERT,	NOVA	SCOTIA

CLASS	Π-	FEASIBILITV	ESTINANTE	SCENIARIO 2	
CLASS	υ-	FEASIDILIT	ESTIVIATE,	SCENARIO Z	

Elen	nent	Quantities			Unit Rates		Sub-totals
CII		1		¢	4 500 00	¢	4 500
	new 80gai HP driwt	I	no	Þ	4,500.00	\$	4,500
C11	Plumbing and Drainage Total	8092	sf	\$	0.56	\$	4,500
C13	Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning						
	 ASHP-9 tons 	2	no	\$	25,000.00	\$	50,000
	 electric boiler - 15kW 	1	no	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000
	HP HX pumps	2	no	\$	5,500.00	\$	11,000
	HP BT pumps	2	no	\$	5,500.00	\$	11,000
	 electric boiler pump 	1	no	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500
	 buffer tanks 	1	no	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000
	 air separators 	2	no	\$	3,500.00	\$	7,000
	 expansion tanks 	2	no	\$	2,500.00	\$	5,000
	 distribution piping 	250	lf	\$	100.00	\$	25,000
	 new 3/4 ton mini split heat pump 	2	no	\$	5,500.00	\$	11,000
	 ERV 190cfm 	1	sum	\$	3,500.00	\$	3,500
	• ERV 705cfm	1	sum	\$	13,000.00	\$	13,000
	 new ERV ductwork connected to existing 	500	lbs	\$	18.00	\$	9,000
C13	Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning Total	8092	sf	\$	20.14	\$	163,000
	· · · · ·						
C14	Controls						
	 new ddc control system 	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460
C14	Controls Total	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460
ELEC	CTRICAL						
C21	Services and Distribution						
	 new 600A service entrance 	1	sum	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000
	 new feeders 	1	sum	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000
	 new panel, transformer for HVAC 	1	sum	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000
C21	Services and Distribution Total	8092	sf	\$	11.12	\$	90,000
622							
C22	Lighting, Devices and Heating						
	 replace lighting with LED fixtures 	8092	sf	\$	8.00	\$	64,737
	 lighting controls 	8092	sf	\$	3.00	\$	24,276
C22	Lighting and Heating Total	8092	sf	\$	11.00	\$	89,013
GEN	IERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES						
Z11	General Requirements and Overheads						
	 contractor's overheads 				15.00%	\$	245,294
711	General Requirements and Overheads Total	8092	cf	\$	30 31	¢	245 294
		0052	31	Ψ	- 50.51	Ψ	275,234
Z12	Contractor's Profit						
	 contractor's profit 				10.00%	\$	188,059
	Contractoria Drofit Total	0000	- 6	*	22.24	*	100.050
		SUU /	СТ	*	13 14		188 1159



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 2 NZR ASHP

Element		Quantities		Unit Rates	Sub-totals
ALLOWAN	CES				
Z21 Desig	n Allowance				
	design development contingency			10.00%	\$ 206,865
Z21 Desig	n Allowance Total	8092	sf	\$ 25.56	\$ 206,865
700 6					
Z23 Const	ruction Contingency				
-	construction contingency			10.00%	\$ 227,551
Z23 Const	ruction Contingency	8092	sf	\$ 28.12	\$ 227,551



ELEMENTAL COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: LOCATION: CLIENT: DESIGNER:	RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT DEBERT, NS RSI PROJECTS		So	cena	ario	3 NZR - GS	ΗF)		DATE: CLASS: FILE GFA:sf		JUL D - F	Y 20, 2023 EASIBILITY 13454 8092
GROSS FLOOP	R AREA 8092 sf]											
ELEMENT		RATIO	ELEMENT	AL V		ELEMENTAL		ELEMENTAL		RATE PER GEA			0/
A SHELL		10 GIA	QUANTI	1		ONTINATE		AMOONT	\$	150	\$	1,215,951	46.53
A1 SUBS	TRUCTURE								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
A11	Foundations	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A12	Basement Excavation	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¢		0.00
A2 STRU 421	Lowest Floor Construction	1,000	8092	cf	¢		¢		\$		\$	-	0.00
A21 A22	Upper Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	_	.₽ \$	-			0.00
A23	Roof Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A3 EXTE	RIOR ENCLOSURE								\$	150	\$	1,215,951	46.53
A31	Walls Below Grade	0.133	1073	sf	\$	43.39	\$	46,576	\$	6			1.78
A32	Walls Above Grade	1.000	8092	st	\$	/8.22	\$	632,964	\$	/8 10			24.22
A35 A34	Roof Coverings	1126	9110	SI cf	¢	110.57 AQ QA	¢	01,434 454 977	¢	10 56			5.12 17 /1
A34 A35	Projections	1.000	8092	sf	\$		\$		\$	-			0.00
B INTERIO	RS			-	<u>·</u>		<u> </u>		\$	4	\$	32,368	1.24
B1 PART	TTIONS AND DOORS								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
B11	Partitions	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B12	Doors	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B2 INTER	RIOR FINISHES			-					\$	4	\$	32,368	1.24
B21	Floor Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B22	Celling Finishes	1.000	8092	ST	¢	2.00	¢	16,184	¢	2			0.62
B2 5		1.000	0092	51	Þ	2.00	¢	10,104	۹ ۲	-	\$	_	0.02
B31	Fittings and Fixtures	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	¥		0.00
B32	Equipment	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B33	Conveying Systems	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
SERVICE	S								\$	57	\$	458,473	17.55
C1 MECH	HANICAL								\$	35	\$	279,460	10.70
C11	Plumbing and Drainage	1.000	8092	sf	\$	0.56	\$	4,500	\$	1			0.17
C12	Fire Protection	1.000	8092	st	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
C13	HVAC Controls	1.000	8092	st	¢	28.98	¢	234,500	¢	29			8.97
C2 FLFC	TRICAL	1.000	0052	31	Ψ	5.00	Ψ	40,400	\$	22	\$	179.013	6.85
C21	Services and Distribution	1.000	8092	sf	\$	11.12	\$	90,000	\$	11	Ŧ		3.44
C22	Lighting, Devices and Heating	1.000	8092	sf	\$	11.00	\$	89,013	\$	11			3.41
C23	Systems and Ancillaries	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
NET BUILDIN	NG SUBTOTAL - LESS SITE								\$	211	\$	1,706,793	65.32
D SITE & A	NCILLARY WORK								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D1 SITEV	VORK		1		1				\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D11	Site Development	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D12	Mechanical Site Services	1.000	8092	st	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
		1.000	8092	ST	\$	-	\$	-	¢		¢		0.00
D2 ANCI	Demolition	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	۹ \$	-	φ		0.00
D22	Alterations	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
NET BUILDIN	NG SUBTOTAL - INCLUDING SITE								\$	211	\$	1,706,793	65.32
Z GENERA	L REOUIREMENTS AND ALLOWANCES								\$	112	\$	905.710	34.66
Z1 GENE	ERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES						_		\$	56	\$	452,300	17.31
Z11	General Requirements and Overheads		15%				\$	256,019	\$	32		/*	9.80
Z12	Contractors Profit		10%				\$	196,281	\$	24			7.51
Z2 ALLO	WANCES				r				\$	56	\$	453,410	17.35
Z21	Design Allowance		10%				\$ ¢	215,909	\$	27			8.26
723			10%				⊅ \$	- 237 500	φ \$	- 29			9,09
							- * 	251,500	Ψ		¢	2 612 000	100.00
TOTAL CO	DINSTRUCTION COST (HST EXTRA)					\$323	ре	ET SI			⇒	2,013,000	100.00



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA

Element	Quantities		l	Jnit Rates	Sub-totals		
A31 Walls Below Grade							
 remove grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	3 50	\$	1.554	
 remove beach stone 	854	sf	\$	3.50	\$	2,990	
 remove asphalt paving and dispose 	848	sf	\$	3.50	\$	2,969	
 excavate to 2 feet below grade 	119	cyd	\$	40.00	\$	4,766	
 new 2" EPS insulation 	1073	sf	\$	3.50	\$	3,757	
 cement board 	1073	sf	\$	5.00	\$	5,367	
 backfill to subgrade 	119	cyd	\$	50.00	\$	5,957	
 reinstate grass landscaping 	444	st	\$	5.00	\$	2,220	
 reinstate beach stone reinstate asphalt paying 	854 040	ST	\$ ¢	5.00	¢	4,272	
Temstate aspnait paving	040	51	¢	13.00	Ą	12,123	
A31 Walls Below Grade Total	1073	sf	\$	43.39	\$	46,576	
A32 Walls Above Grade							
	7607	cf	¢	1 5 0	¢	11 5 2 0	
 remove existing laçade structural upgrade including base angle 	7687 17000	SI	¢	1.50	¢ ¢	68,000	
 subclural upgrade including base angle supply and install r16 prefab insulated wall papels 	7687	sf	د ۲	27.00	ф \$	207 538	
 supply and install prefinished metal siding 	7687	sf	\$ \$	45.00	\$	345.897	
		-				,	
A32 Walls Above Grade Total	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632,964	
A33 Windows and Entrances							
- replace windows with triple pape	363	cf	¢	125 00	¢	15 131	
 replace windows with triple pane new R10 overhead door 	305	no	د ۲	12 000 00	د ۲	43,434	
	5	110	Ψ	12,000.00	Ψ	30,000	
A33 Windows and Entrances Total	687	sf	\$	118.57	\$	81,434	
A34 Roof Coverings							
 remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish 	2504	sf	\$	100	\$	2.504	
 remove existing mod bit roof finish 	5964	sf	\$	2.00	\$	11,927	
 3/4 T&G plywood sheathing 	9110	sf	\$	10.00	\$	91,102	
 install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing 	g 9110	sf	\$	13.00	\$	118,433	
 new knee wall construction, hurricane clips 	217	sf	\$	15.00	\$	3,254	
 new R25 loose fill insulation 	9110	sf	\$	2.00	\$	18,220	
 new metal roof system 	9110	sf	\$	23.00	\$	209,536	
A34 Roof Coverings Total	9110	sf	\$	49.94	\$	454,977	
B22 Ceiling Finishes							
 cut and patch ceilings for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
B22 Ceiling Finishes Total	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
B23 Wall Finishes							
 cut and patch walls for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	
B23 Wall Finishes Total	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	

MECHANICAL



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA

CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 3 NZR GSHP

Element	Quantities			Unit Rates	Sub-totals		
C11 Dlumbing and Drainage							
pew 80gal HP dbwt	1	no	\$	4,500,00	\$	4,500	
	ŗ	no	Ŷ	1,500.00	Ψ	1,500	
C11 Plumbing and Drainage Total	8092	sf	\$	0.56	\$	4,500	
C13 Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning							
goothermal wells testing	2	20	¢	25,000,00	¢	75.000	
 geotilerinal weils, testing ashp exterior piping trenching backfill reinstatement 	5	sum	۹ ۲	20,000.00	ф Ф	20,000	
 gshp exterior piping, reneming, backin, reinstatement ashp interior piping 	1	sum	₽ \$	10 000 00	Ψ \$	10 000	
 gshp-4 tons 	2	no	\$	10,000.00	\$	20,000	
 electric boiler 20kW 	1	no	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000	
 circulation pumps 	5	no	\$	3,500.00	\$	17,500	
 electric boiler pump 	1	no	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500	
 air separators 	3	no	\$	3,500.00	\$	10,500	
 expansion tanks 	3	no	\$	2,500.00	\$	7,500	
 distribution piping 	250	lf	\$	100.00	\$	25,000	
 indoor units - 3/4 ton 	2	no	\$	5,500.00	\$	11,000	
 ERV 190cfm 	1	sum	\$	3,500.00	\$	3,500	
 ERV 705cfm 	1	sum	\$	13,000.00	\$	13,000	
 new ERV ductwork connected to existing 	500	lbs	\$	18.00	\$	9,000	
C13 Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning Total	8092	sf	\$	28.98	\$	234,500	
CI4 Controls							
 new ddc control system 	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460	
C14 Controls Total	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460	
C21 Services and Distribution							
 new 600A service entrance 	1	sum	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000	
 new feeders 	1	sum	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000	
 new panel, transformer for HVAC 	1	sum	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000	
C21 Services and Distribution Total	8092	sf	\$	11.12	\$	90,000	
C22 Lighting, Devices and Heating		_					
 replace lighting with LED fixtures 	8092	sf	\$	8.00	\$	64,737	
lighting controls	8092	st	\$	3.00	\$	24,276	
C22 Lighting and Heating Total	8092	sf	\$	11.00	\$	89,013	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES							
711 General Requirements and Overheads							
contractor's overheads				15.00%	\$	256,019	
Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Total	8092	sf	\$	31.64	\$	256,019	
Z12 Contractor's Profit							
 contractor's profit 				10.00%	\$	196,281	



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 3 NZR GSHP

JULY 20, 2023 17

- FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 3 NZR GSHP	

Element	Quantities		Unit Rates		Sub-totals	
Z12 Contractor's Profit Total	8092	sf	\$	24.26	\$	196,281
ALLOWANCES						
Z21 Design Allowance						
 design development contingency 				10.00%	\$	215,909
Z21 Design Allowance Total	8092	sf	\$	26.68	\$	215,909
Z23 Construction Contingency						
construction contingency				10.00%	\$	237,500
Z23 Construction Contingency	8092	sf	\$	29.35	\$	237,500



ELEMENTAL COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: LOCATION: CLIENT: DESIGNER:	RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT DEBERT, NS RSI PROJECTS	Scenario 4 Net Zero						DATE: CLASS: FILE GFA:sf	JULY 20, 2023 D - FEASIBILITY 13454 8092				
GROSS FLOOF	R AREA 8092 sf												
ELEMENT		RATIO TO GEA	ELEMENT	ΓAL TY		ELEMENTAL UNIT RATE		ELEMENTAL		RATE PER GEA		TOTAL	%
A SHELL		10 01/1	Quinti					74000111	\$	150	\$	1,215,951	42.37
A1 SUBS	TRUCTURE								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
A11	Foundations	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A12	Basement Excavation	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	*		0.00
Δ2 STRU	LIURE	1000	8092	cf	¢		¢		\$ ¢	-	\$	-	0.00
A22	Upper Floor Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A23	Roof Construction	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
A3 EXTER	RIOR ENCLOSURE								\$	150	\$	1,215,951	42.37
A31	Walls Below Grade	0.133	1073	sf	\$	43.39	\$	46,576	\$	6			1.62
A32	Walls Above Grade	1.000	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632,964	\$	78 10			22.05
A33	Roof Coverings	0.085	087 0110	ST	¢	118.57	⇒ ¢	81,434 454 977	≯ ¢	10			2.84
A34 A35	Projections	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$.₽ \$	-			0.00
B INTERIO	RS		0052	5.	÷		Ŷ		\$	4	\$	32,368	113
B1 PART	ITIONS AND DOORS								\$	-	\$		0.00
B11	Partitions	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ŧ		0.00
B12	Doors	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B2 INTER	RIOR FINISHES								\$	4	\$	32,368	1.13
B21	Floor Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B22	Ceiling Finishes	1.000	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	\$	2			0.56
B23		1.000	8092	ST	\$	2.00	\$	16,184	\$ ¢	2	¢		0.56
B3 FITTI	Fittings and Fixtures	1000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	۹ \$	-	Ą	-	0.00
B32	Equipment	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
B33	Conveying Systems	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
SERVICE	S								\$	77	\$	626,473	21.83
C1 MECH	HANICAL								\$	35	\$	279,460	9.74
C11	Plumbing and Drainage	1.000	8092	sf	\$	0.56	\$	4,500	\$	1			0.16
C12	Fire Protection	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
C13	HVAC	1.000	8092	sf	\$	28.98	\$	234,500	\$	29			8.17
C14		1.000	8092	ST	\$	5.00	\$	40,460	\$ ¢	5	¢	2 47 012	1.41
C2 ELEC	Services and Distribution	1000	8092	sf	\$	31.88	\$	258 000	۹ ۲	43	¢	547,015	8 99
C22	Lighting, Devices and Heating	1.000	8092	sf	\$	11.00	\$	89.013	\$	11			3.10
C23	Systems and Ancillaries	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
NET BUILDIN	IG SUBTOTAL - LESS SITE								\$	232	\$	1,874,793	65.32
D SITE & A	NCILLARY WORK								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D1 SITEV	VORK								\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D11	Site Development	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D12	Mechanical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			0.00
D13	Electrical Site Services	1.000	8092	sf	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	*		0.00
D2 ANCI	LLARY WORK	1000	0000	cf	¢		đ		\$	-	\$	-	0.00
D21	Alterations	1.000	8092	si	¢	-	¢	-	¢	-			0.00
		1.000	0052	51	4		Ψ		\$	232	\$	1 874 793	65.32
		_	_	_	_				ф ¢	172	ф Ф	004 950	24.66
Z GEINERA									4	123 	¢	406 020	17 21
21 GENE 711	General Requirements and Overheads		15%				\$	281 219	¢ ¢	25	¢	490,020	9.80
Z12	Contractors Profit		10%				\$	215.601	\$	27		l	7.51
Z2 ALLO	WANCES				·			,	\$	62	\$	498,039	17.35
Z21	Design Allowance		10%				\$	237,161	\$	29			8.26
Z22	Escalation Allowance TBD		0%				\$	-	\$	-			0.00
Z23	Construction Allowance		10%				\$	260,877	\$	32			9.09
TOTAL CC	INSTRUCTION COST (HST EXTRA)					\$355	ре	er st			\$	2,870,000	100.00



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 4 NET ZERO

Element	Quantities		Unit Rates			Sub-totals		
A31 Walls Below Grade								
	4.4.4	-f	¢	2 50	¢	1		
 remove grass landscaping remove beach stope 	444 954	ST	\$ ¢	3.50	¢	1,554		
 remove asphalt paying and dispose 	004 848	SI cf	د ۲	3.50	¢ 2	2,990		
 remove asphalt paving and dispose excavate to 2 feet below grade 	119	cvd	₽ \$	40.00	φ \$	4 766		
 new 2" EPS insulation 	1073	sf	\$	3.50	\$	3,757		
 cement board 	1073	sf	\$	5.00	\$	5,367		
 backfill to subgrade 	119	cyd	\$	50.00	\$	5,957		
 reinstate grass landscaping 	444	sf	\$	5.00	\$	2,220		
 reinstate beach stone 	854	sf	\$	5.00	\$	4,272		
 reinstate asphalt paving 	848	sf	\$	15.00	\$	12,725		
A31 Walls Below Grade Total	1073	sf	\$	43.39	\$	46,576		
A32 Walls Above Grade								
 remove existing façade 	7687	sf	\$	1.50	\$	11,530		
 structural upgrade including base angle 	17000	lbs	\$	4.00	\$	68,000		
 supply and install r16 prefab insulated wall panels 	7687	st	\$	27.00	\$	207,538		
 supply and install prefinished metal siding 	/68/	st	\$	45.00	\$	345,897		
A32 Walls Above Grade Total	8092	sf	\$	78.22	\$	632,964		
A33 Windows and Entrances								
 replace windows with triple pane 	363	sf	\$	125.00	\$	45,434		
 new R10 overhead door 	3	no	\$	12,000.00	\$	36,000		
A33 Windows and Entrances Total	687	sf	\$	118 57	\$	81 434		
			*	110.07	¥	01/101		
A34 Roof Coverings								
 remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish 	2504	sf	\$	1.00	\$	2,504		
 remove existing mod bit roof finish 	5964	sf	\$	2.00	\$	11,927		
 3/4 T&G plywood sheathing 	9110	sf	\$	10.00	\$	91,102		
 install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing 	9110	sf	\$	13.00	\$	118,433		
 new knee wall construction, hurricane clips 	217	sf	\$	15.00	\$	3,254		
 new R25 loose fill insulation 	9110	sf	\$	2.00	\$	18,220		
 new metal roof system 	9110	sf	\$	23.00	\$	209,536		
A34 Roof Coverings Total	9110	sf	\$	49.94	\$	454,977		
B22 Ceiling Finishes								
 cut and patch ceilings for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184		
B22 Ceiling Finishes Total	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184		
P22 Wall Einiches								
D25 waii Finishes		-						
 cut and patch walls for new mechanical/electrical 	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184		
B23 Wall Finishes Total	8092	sf	\$	2.00	\$	16,184		

MECHANICAL



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA

Elen	nent	Quantities			Unit Rates	(Sub-totals
C11	Plumbing and Drainage						
CII	 new 80gal HP dhwt 	1	no	\$	4,500.00	\$	4,500
C11	Plumbing and Drainage Total	8092	sf	\$	0 56	\$	4 500
		0052	51	Ψ	0.50	Ψ	-,500
C13	Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning						
	 geothermal wells, testing 	3	no	\$	25,000.00	\$	75,000
	 gshp exterior piping, trenching, backfill, reinstatement 	1	sum	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000
	 gshp interior piping 	1	sum	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000
	 gshp-4 tons 	2	no	\$	10,000.00	\$	20,000
	 electric boiler 20kW 	1	no	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000
	circulation pumps	5	no	\$	3,500.00	\$	17,500
	electric boiler pump	1	no	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500
	air separators	3	no	\$	3,500.00	\$	10,500
	expansion tanks	3	no If	¢	2,500.00	¢ \$	7,500
	 distribution piping indoor units = 3/4 top 	230	no	د ۲	5 500 00	¢ 2	23,000
	 FRV 190cfm 	2	sum	۹ ۲	3,500.00	φ 2	3 500
	ERV 705cfm	1	sum	↓ \$	13 000 00	↓ \$	13,000
	 new FRV ductwork connected to existing 	500	lbs	\$	18,000.00	\$	9,000
		500	1.00	Ŷ	.0.00	Ŷ	5,000
C13	Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning Total	8092	sf	\$	28.98	\$	234,500
C14	Controls						
014			6	<i>*</i>	5.00	<i>*</i>	10,100
	 new ddc control system 	8092	st	\$	5.00	\$	40,460
C14	Controls Total	8092	sf	\$	5.00	\$	40,460
FI FC	CTRICAL						
C21	Services and Distribution						
	- new 600A service entrance	1	sum	\$	50 000 00	¢	50.000
	 new feeders 	1	sum	¢ \$	20,000,00	↓ \$	20,000
	 new panel, transformer for HVAC 	1	sum	\$	20.000.00	\$	20.000
	 photovoltaic system complete with racking, inverters 	42	kW	\$	4,000.00	\$	168,000
C21	Consistence and Distribution Total	0000	2.6	*	21.00	*	250.000
C21	Services and Distribution Total	8092	ST	\$	31.88	\$	258,000
C22	Lighting, Devices and Heating						
	 replace lighting with LED fixtures 	8092	sf	\$	8.00	\$	64,737
	 lighting controls 	8092	sf	\$	3.00	\$	24,276
C22	Lighting and Heating Total	8092	sf	\$	11.00	\$	89,013
GEN	IERAL REQUIREMENTS AND FEES						
Z11	General Requirements and Overheads						
	contractor's overheads				15.00%	\$	281,219
Z11	General Requirements and Overheads Total	8092	sf	\$	34.75	\$	281,219
710	Contractor's Drofit						
212							
	 contractor's profit 				10.00%	\$	215,601



RETROFIT 251 LANCASTER CRESCENT, DEBERT, NOVA SCOTIA CLASS D - FEASIBILITY ESTIMATE, SCENARIO 4 NET ZERO

Z23 Construction Contingency

Z12 Contractor's Profit Total 8092 26.64 \$ 215,601 sf \$ ALLOWANCES Z21 Design Allowance - design development contingency 10.00% \$ 237,161 Z21 Design Allowance Total 8092 \$ 237,161 sf \$ 29.31 Z23 Construction Contingency construction contingency 10.00% \$ 260,877

8092

sf

\$

32.24

\$

260,877


Appendix N

Total Cost of Building Ownership

PANELIZED DEEP RETROFITS OF MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS



NS Water Utility Building - Deep Retrofit







	Base Case	Min Upgrade	NZER ASHP	NZER GSHP	NZE	Min Solar
GHG emissions (kg) (60 Years)	3,437,029	2,299,297	1,659,416	1,660,662	0	734,029
EUI (kWh/m2/year)	220.6	123.5	57.5	57.5	0.0	69.3
TCBO at 60 years	\$9,076,000	\$9,814,000	\$10,363,000	\$10,351,000	\$10,408,000	\$7,820,000
TCBO Savings at 60 years	\$0	-\$738,000	-\$1,287,000	-\$1,275,000	-\$1,332,000	\$1,256,000
60 Year TCBO savings		0%	1.49/	1.49/	1 5 %	1.49/
compared to Base Case		-0%	-14%	-14%	-13%	14%



Total Cost of Building Ownership (TCBO)

	B	ase Case	U	Min Ipgrade	NZ	ZER ASHP	NZ	ER GSHP	NZE	N	lin Solar
GHG emissions (kg) (60 Years)		3,437,029		2,299,297		1,659,416		1,660,662	 -		734,029
EUI (kWh/m2/year)		221		124		57		58	 0		69
TCBO at 12 Years		934000		2403000		2799000		2901000	 3544000		2395000
TCBO at 25 Years		2620000		5497000		6523000		6685000	 8075000		5346000
TCBO at 60 years	\$	9,076,000	\$	9,814,000	\$	10,363,000	\$1	0,351,000	\$ 10,408,000	\$	7,820,000
TCBO Savings at 60 years			\$	(738,000)	\$	(1,287,000)	\$ (1,275,000)	\$ (1,332,000)	\$	1,256,000
60 Year TCBO compared to Base Case				0		0		0	 0		0
TCBO/Year/m2	\$	211	\$	228	\$	241	\$	240	\$ 242	\$	182
TCBO/Year/ft2	\$	20	\$	21	\$	22	\$	22	\$ 22	\$	17
60-Year TCBO/m2	\$	12,641	\$	13,669	\$	14,433	\$	14,416	\$ 14,496	\$	10,891
60-YearTCBO/ft2	\$	1,175	\$	1,270	\$	1,341	\$	1,340	\$ 1,347	\$	1,012
60 Year Energy Cost / m2	\$	5,789	\$	3,570	\$	2,169	\$	2,170	\$ 58	\$	1,579

CAPITAL COST SUMMARY

	Base	Base Case		Min Ipgrade	NZ	ER ASHP	NZ	ER GSHP		NZE	N	lin Solar
Initial Retrofit / HPB CostY	ear 1											
Initial Cost	\$	95,000	\$	2,110,000	\$	2,687,000	\$	2,804,000	\$	3,720,000	\$	2,278,000
Difference from Base Case			\$	2,015,000	\$	2,592,000	\$	2,709,000	\$	3,625,000	\$	2,183,000
% Over Base Case				2121%		2728%		2852%		3816%		2298%
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	12.30	\$	273.12	\$	347.80	\$	362.95	\$	481.51	\$	294.86
Maintenance Capital Costs 60 Years												
Cost	\$2,	181,000	\$	1,530,000	\$	2,419,000	\$	2,192,000	\$	2,819,000	\$	1,349,000
Difference from Base Case			\$	(651,000)	\$	238,000	\$	11,000	\$	638,000	\$	(832,000)
% Over Base Case				-29.85%		10.91%		0.50%		29.25%		-38.15%
Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	282.31	\$	198.04	\$	313.11	\$	283.73	\$	364.89	\$	174.61
Retrofit / HPB + Maintenan	ice C	apital	Co	sts 60 Y	eai	'S						
Total Costs	\$2,	276,000	\$	3,640,000	\$	5,106,000	\$	4,996,000	\$	6,539,000	\$	3,627,000
Difference from Base Case			\$	1,364,000	\$	2,830,000	\$	2,720,000	\$	4,263,000	\$	1,351,000
% Over Base Case				59.93%		124.34%		119.51%		187.30%		59.36%

OPERATING COST SUMMARY

	Ba	se Case	U	Min Ipgrade	NZ	ER ASHP	NZ	ER GSHP		NZE	М	in Solar
Utilities (including carbon ta	X)									·		
Cost	\$!	5,978,000	\$	3,782,000	\$	2,436,000	\$	2,438,000	\$	42,000	\$	1,523,000
Difference from Base			\$ (2,196,000)	\$ (3,542,000)	\$ (3	3,540,000)	\$ (5	5,936,000)	\$ (4	1,455,000)
% Over Base Case				-37%		-59%		-59%		-99%		-75%
Energy Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	773.78	\$	489.54	\$	315.31	\$	315.57	\$	5.44	\$	197.13
Maintenance												
Cost	\$	279,000	\$	174,000	\$	122,000	\$	122,000	\$	270,000	\$	313,000
Difference from Base			\$	(105,000)	\$	(157,000)	\$	(157,000)	\$	(9,000)	\$	34,000
% Over Base Case				-38%		-56%		-56%		-3%		12%
Maintenance Cost (\$/ft2)	\$	36.11	\$	22.52	\$	15.79	\$	15.79	\$	34.95	\$	40.51
Insurance & Taxes												
Costs	\$	465,000	\$	465,000	\$	465,000	\$	465,000	\$	465,000	\$	465,000
Difference from Base			Ş		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
% Over Base Case				0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
First Year Annual Maintenan	се											
Cost	\$	2,400	\$	1,500	\$	1,150	\$	1,050	\$	2,324	\$	2,689
Difference from Base			\$	(900)	\$	(1,250)	\$	(1,350)	\$	(76)	\$	289
% Over Base Case				-38%		-52%		-56%		-3%		12%
First Year Maintenance Cost (\$/ft2)	Ś	0.31	Ś	0.19	Ś	0.15	Ś	0.14	Ś	0.30	Ś	0.35

Annual Energy	Consumpt	ion and Gl	HG Emissions
---------------	----------	------------	---------------------

]		Base Case	Min	NZER	NZER	NZE	Min Solar
	Units	Dase Case	Upgrade	ASHP	GSHP	NZE	WIIII Solai
Annual Water Consumption	m3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Annual Sewer Discharge	m3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Annual Electric Consumption	kWh	43,240.00	38,937.00	41,279.00	41,310.00	41,279.00	38,973.00
Annual Gas Consumption	m3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Heating Oil Consumption	Litres	10,632.00	4,594.00	-	-	-	4,594.00
GHG emissions	kg CO2 eq	57,283.82	38,321.61	27,656.93	27,677.70	-	12,233.82
Annual Solar PV generated	kWh	-	-	-	-	41,279.00	38,973.00
Total Annual Energy Consumption	ekWh	158,420.00	88,705.33	41,279.00	41,310.00	-	49,768.33
Total Annual Energy Consumption	GJ	570.31	319.34	148.60	148.72	-	179.17
EUI	kWh/m2/yr	220.64	123.55	57.49	57.53	-	69.32

60 Year Cost of Ownership Comparison

г									_		_	
	Ba	ase Case	Mir	n Upgrade	N2	ZER ASHP	N	ZER GSHP		NZE		Min Solar
Capital Cost	\$	94,702	\$	2,109,709	\$	2,687,140	\$	2,803,731	\$	3,720,401	\$	2,277,709
Utility Subsidy	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Capital Cost Net	\$	94,702	\$	2,109,709	\$	2,687,140	\$	2,803,731	\$	3,720,401	\$	2,277,709
Utilities & Carbon Tax	\$	5,977,732	\$	3,781,539	\$	2,436,368	\$	2,438,167	\$	41,637	\$	1,522,675
Maintenance	\$	279,198	\$	174,499	\$	122,149	\$	122,149	\$	270,346	\$	312,816
Maintenance Capital	\$	2,181,379	\$	1,529,875	\$	2,419,470	\$	2,192,305	\$	2,819,127	\$	1,349,035
Building Insurance	\$	464,532	\$	464,532	\$	464,532	\$	464,532	\$	464,532	\$	464,532
Property Tax	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Mortgage Interest	\$	78,713	\$	1,753,537	\$	2,233,483	\$	2,330,389	\$	3,092,303	\$	1,893,173
Mortgage Principle Paid	\$	94,702	\$	2,109,709	\$	2,687,140	\$	2,803,731	\$	3,720,401	\$	2,277,709
Mortgage Principal Received	\$	(94,702)	\$	(2,109,709)	\$	(2,687,140)	\$	(2,803,731)	\$	(3,720,401)	\$	(2,277,709)
тсво	\$	9,076,256	\$	9,813,690	\$	10,363,141	\$	10,351,273	\$	10,408,347	\$	7,819,940

			Cost as a Perce	ntage of TCBO		
Capital Cost Net	1.0%	21.5%	25.9%	27.1%	35.7%	29.1%
Utilities & Carbon Tax	65.9%	38.5%	23.5%	23.6%	0.4%	19.5%
Maintenance	3.1%	1.8%	1.2%	1.2%	2.6%	4.0%
Maintenance Capital	24.0%	15.6%	23.3%	21.2%	27.1%	17.3%
Building Insurance	5.1%	4.7%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	5.9%
Property Tax	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mortgage Interest	0.9%	17.9%	21.6%	22.5%	29.7%	24.2%
ТСВО	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%





INPUTS : General

	Units	Base Case	Min	NZER ASHP	NZER GSHP	NZE	Min Solar
Utility Costs	C.I.I.C		opgrade				
Water							
unit water cost	\$/m3				001		001
annual water escalation rate	~ ~ ~	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
service, else 0	\$/year						
"Basic Charge Water" escalation rate	%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
annual consumption	<u>m3</u>		20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
refront reduction	%	0%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
Sewer							
unit sewer cost	\$/m3				001		
annual sewer escalation rate	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
service, else 0	\$/year						
"Basic Charge Sewer" escalation rate	%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
annual consumption	<u>m3</u>						
reiront reduction	%	0					
Electricity							
unit cost	\$/kWh	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.23
annual escalation rate	. "	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
else 0	\$/year	255.36	255.36	255.36	255.36	255.36	255.36
"Basic Charge" escalation rate	%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
GHG emission factor	kg/kWh	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67
Is Carbon Tay ADDED TO energy cost?	NO = U OF YES = 1	1	1	1	1	1	1
annual consumption	kWh	43240	38937	41279	41310	41279	38973
refrofit reduction (only use this when TCPO	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<u>۵</u> %		 09	 ۵۷	0%	0%
calculation)	70	0 /8	0 %	0 %	0%	0 %	0 %
Natural Gas							
unit cost	\$/m3						
annual escalation rate	%						
include annual "Basic Charge" for active service,	\$/year						
"Basic Charge" escalation rate	%	~~~~~~					
GHG emission factor	kg/m3	1.902355	1.902355	1.902355	1.902355	1.902355	1.902355
	No = 0 or Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
Is Carbon Tax ADDED TO energy cost?	=]						
refrofit reduction		0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No 2 Heating Oil	¢/Litro	¢ 146	¢ 146				¢ 146
annual escalation rate	3/Litte %	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3%	3%	3%	<u> </u>
include annual "Basic Charge" for active service,	\$/voar						
else 0	Ş/yeai						
"Basic Charge" escalation rate	% ka/l	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	No = 0 or Yes	2.003	2.003	2.003	2.003	2.003	2.003
Is Carbon Tax ADDED TO energy cost?	= 1	1	1				1
annual consumption	Litres	10632	4594				4594
retrotit reduction	%	0					
GHG Emissions							
Carbon Tax escalation rate - after carbon tax	%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
tinishes							
Carbon Tax Year	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080
GHG unit cost (\$/tonne)	\$ 30.00	\$ 170.00	\$ 251.64	\$ 372.49	\$ 551.38	\$ 816.17	\$ 1,208.14
Carbon Tax for Project Year	\$ 80.00	\$ 198.88	\$ 294.38	\$ 435.76	\$ 645.03	\$	\$ 1,413.35
Mortgage Financing of New Investme	ent						
1st Year New Investment Capital Amount	\$				No input her	e - See Value T	ab Calculation
Percent of 1st Year Capital Investment Financed	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
with Mortgage	<i>,</i> ,			10070	No input h		ab Calculation
Interest Rate	%	5 50%	5 50%	5 50%	5 50%	e - See value 1 5 50%	as Calculation 5.50%
Amortization in Years	#	25	25	25	25	25	25

Start Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2023-12-31 2023-12-31 2023-12-31 2023-12-31 2023-12-31 2023-12-31 2023-12-31

Property Tax							
property tax lump sum OR	\$						
property tax rate (% of building value) (e.g43%)	%						
property tax escalation rate	%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%
Insurance							
property insurance annual cost lump sum OR	Ś	\$ 4073.00	\$ 407300	\$ 4,073,00	\$ 4073.00	\$ 407300	\$ 407300
property insurance rate (% of building value) (e.g.	Ŷ	ç 4,070.00	ф 4,070.00	ф 4 ,070.00	Q 4,070.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ç <u>-,070.00</u>
.27%)	%						
property insurance escalation rate	%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Property Market Value Forecast by De	ecade:	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
0-10 years	% %	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
21-30 years	<u>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</u>	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
31-40 years	%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
41-50 years	%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
51-60 years	%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
Maylet Value Impute							_
Project Type - Either Potrofit or New Build only	Innut	Dotrofit	Potrofit	Potrofit	Dotrofit	Potrofit	Dotrofit
Project Type - Littler Redont of New Build only	input	Keuoni	Renom	Redolit	Keuonit	Keuonit	Reuoni
Current Building Value (normally existing building							
at current status (before new capital investment) or						replacement c	ost \$300/ft2
New Code Built Building). Include Comments on							
the source of Market Value Information.							
Madat Value Dass Osca Fac Data Sta andu (alas							
Market Value Base Case For Refronts only (else	Input \$	\$ 2,370,000.00					
New Investment Project Cost - A thru F					No input here	e - See Value Tat	Calculation
New Investment over Current Market Value					No input here	e - See Value Tab	Calculation
Rate of Inclusion of New Investment for Mkt Val	Input %	75.00%	75 0.0%	75.00%	75.00%	75 00%	75.00%
Calc.	input »	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%
Market Value Estimate Upon Completion of the					No input here	e - See Value Tab	Calculation
Project					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Net Present Value Rate (NPV) for Discounting							
Results		2.42%					
							••••••
Annual Service Cost Escalation Rate		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Total Cast of Partfolia Ownarship							
	lo = 0 or Yes						
Is this a TCPO calculation	= 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Year 1 Retrofit Capital Unit Cost	\$/ft2	Base Case					
Year 1 Retrofit Capital Total Cost	\$	See Value Tab					
	·····						
Maintenance Capital Cost Reduction for Retrofit	%	0%					
Solar PV Array							
Array Unit Cost	\$/kWdc					\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
Array Size	kWdc	0	0	0		45.0	42.0
Total System Cost	\$					\$180,000.00	\$168,000.00
System Annual Maintenance Cost (1)	\$/kWdc/year					<u>\$ 28.31</u>	<u>\$ 28.31</u>
I otal System Annual Maintenance Cost	\$/year					<u>\$ 1,2/3.91</u>	<u>\$ 1,188.98</u>
Annual Solar Energy Output Degradation	% \$/kWaa	¢ 0.22	¢ 0.22	¢ 0.22	\$ 0.22	U C 0 2 2 1	0 2002
Annual Solar Energy Produced Displacing Utility	Ş/KWAC	ş 0.23	ş 0.23	φ <u>0.23</u>	<u> </u>	y 0.23	y 0.23
Energy Produced, Displacing Utility	kWh/year					41279	38973
Lindigy	¢/l/Mba-						
Unit cost of solar energy generated back to the grid	ş/кwnac						
Annual Solar Energy Generated Back to the Grid	kWh/year						

Input : Base Case

		Include in		Cast	Useful Life	Current Area	A	nnual	Annual Service Cost Escalation	Annual Capital Cost Escalation
Line	Building Components Subject to M&R	0=no or		Cost \$	(years) Years	Years	Serv	s	2.00%	2.00%
No.		1=yes		Ŧ				•		
1	Concrete Foundation	0							2.00%	2.00%
2	Slab on Grade	0							2.00%	2.00%
3	Concrete Block Superstructure	0							2.00%	2.00%
4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	<u> </u>							2.00%	2.00%
5	Modified Bitumen	U 1	~	120 560	20			500	2.00%	2.00%
		1	<u>ş</u>	120,500	20	20	<u>.</u>	200	2.00%	2.00%
~ <u>~</u>	Aspirat Shiriyes Prefinished Metal Siding		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2/ 020	20	20	<u> </u>	200	2.00%	2.00%
	Prefinished Metal Siding	0	<u>,</u>	24,929	55	20			2.00%	2.00%
9	Maintenance	0	\$	8,554	10	9			2.00%	2.00%
10	Painted Wood Clanboard Siding	1	Ś	12121	20	20	¢	300	2 00%	2 00%
11	Wood Framed Glazing Units	1	š	10,500	30	25		500	2.00%	2.00%
12	Min Upgrade	1	š	1 800	20	Min Solar			2.00%	2.00%
13	Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	1	Ś	14.450	40	10		••••••	2.00%	2.00%
	Overhead Door - Fast Elevation, South		ž			_	••••••			
14	Elevation	1	Ş	10,000	15	7			2.00%	2.00%
	Front Entrance Door, Framed With		·····				•••••			
15	Glazing	1	Ş	3,500	30	25			2.00%	2.00%
	Metal Door With Glazing - Fast &				~ -	~~				
16	North Elevations	1	Ş	3,000	25	22			2.00%	2.00%
17	Metal Service Door	1	Ś	1.500	25	22			2.00%	2.00%
18	VCT Flooring	1	\$	65,965	25	15			2.00%	2.00%
19	VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	1	\$	6,680	25	25			2.00%	2.00%
20	VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance	1	\$	-					2.00%	2.00%
21	Gypsum Board Walls	0	\$	-	65	15			2.00%	2.00%
22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	0	\$	-	75	25			2.00%	2.00%
23	Suspended Ceiling	1	\$	13,512	20	5			2.00%	2.00%
24	Solid Wood Core Doors	0			60	20			2.00%	2.00%
25	Kitchenette Millwork	1	\$	2,250	25	12			2.00%	2.00%
26	Plumbing Service & Distribution	1	\$	80,975	50	35			2.00%	2.00%
27	Back Flow Preventer	1	\$	1,500	30	30	\$	200	2.00%	2.00%
28	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	1	\$	2,500	10	3			2.00%	2.00%
	Electric			, 	~~~~	~~~~~			0.000	
29	water Pumps (2 np)		<u>ş</u>	5,000	35	30			2.00%	2.00%
30	Plumbing fixtures	1	<u> </u>	12,600	35	27			2.00%	2.00%
31	Snower	1	<u>ې</u>	0,000	3U 20	<u>۲</u> ۲	ċ	E00	2.00%	2.00%
32	Boller Expansion Tank	1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	12,500	30	17	\$	500	2.00%	2.00%
33	Expansion Tank Baseboard Padiatore	1	<u>~~~~</u>	72.285	ა <u>ს</u> ქი	<u>∠</u> 20			2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00%
25	Lannoy Heat Dump	1	<u>è</u>	7 500	20	 ∩		200	2.00 ∕⁄₀ 2 ∩∩%	2.00 ⁄₀ 2 ∩∩%
36	Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	1	\$	12 500	<u>∠0</u> 25	20	ې ب	200	2.00%	2.00%
37	Diesel Generator	1	š	18 000	35	33	Ś	500	2.00%	2.00%
38	Diesei Generator Dav Tank	1	š	1 500	20	18		500	2.00%	2.00%
39	Main Distribution (800A, 120V)	1	š	5,000	40	36			2.00%	2.00%
40	Electrical Panels & Branch Wiring		š	10.515	40	32		•••••	2.00%	2.00%
41	Lighting Fixtures	1	\$	29,230	25	20			2.00%	2.00%
	5 5		-	-						

Input : Min Upgrade

Line	Building Components Subject to M&R	Include in Option 0=no or		Cost	Useful Life (years)	Current Age	Annual Service Cost	Annual Service Cost Escalation Rate	Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate
No.	Units	1=yes		\$	Years	Years	\$	2.00%	2.00%
1	Concrete Foundation	0						2.00%	2.00%
2	Slab on Grade Concrete Block Superstructure	U 0						2.00%	<u>∠.00%</u> 2.00%
4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	0		••••••				2.00%	2.00%
5	Wood Roof Structure	0						2.00%	2.00%
6	Modified Bitumen	0						2.00%	2.00%
	Asphalt Shingles Prefinished Metal Siding	0	~~~~~					2.00%	2.00%
	Prefinished Metal Siding -			•••••••	••••••			2.00%	2.00%
9	Maintenance	0						2.00%	2.00%
10	Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	0						2.00%	2.00%
11	Wood Framed Glazing Units	0						2.00%	2.00%
12	Min Ungrade	0				Min Solar		2.00%	2.00%
13	Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	0						2.00%	2.00%
14	Overhead Door - East Elevation, South	0						2 00%	2 በበ%
	Elevation	~						2.00%	2.00%
15	Front Entrance Door, Framed With	0						2.00%	2.00%
	Metal Door With Glazing - Fast &	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
16	North Elevations	0						2.00%	2.00%
17	Metal Service Door	0						2.00%	2.00%
18	VCT Flooring	1	<u></u>	65,965	25	15		2.00%	2.00%
20	VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	1	<u> </u>	0,080	25	25	~~~~~~	2.00%	2.00%
21	Gypsum Board Walls	1	\$	-	65	15		2.00%	2.00%
22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	1	\$	-	75	25		2.00%	2.00%
23	Suspended Ceiling	1	<u>Ş</u>	13,512	20	5		2.00%	2.00%
24	Solid Wood Core Doors Kitchenette Millwork	1	s S	2 2 5 0	25	20 12		2.00%	2.00%
26	Plumbing Service & Distribution	1	\$	80,975	50	35		2.00%	2.00%
27	Back Flow Preventer	1	\$	1,500	30	30	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
28	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	1	\$	2,500	10	3		2.00%	2.00%
20	Electric Water Pumps (2 hp)	0	·····	·····		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		2.00%	2.00%
30	Plumbing fixtures	1	Ś	12.600	35	27		2.00%	2.00%
31	Shower	1	\$	6,000	30	22		2.00%	2.00%
32	Boiler - oil fired	1	\$	12,500	30	17	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
33	Expansion Tank Baseboard Padiaters	1	Ş ¢	1,500	30 10	2		2.00%	2.00%
34	Lennox Heat Pump	<u>'</u> 1	\$ \$	7.500	20	<u>20</u>	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
36	Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	1	\$	12,500	25	20		2.00%	2.00%
37	Diesel Generator	1	\$	18,000	35	33	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
38	Day Tank	1	Ş	1,500	20	18		2.00%	2.00%
39 40	Flectrical Panels & Branch Wiring	0						2.00%	2.00%
41	Lighting Fixtures	0	•••••			•••••		2.00%	2.00%
42		1						2.00%	2.00%
100	A31 Walls Below Grade	1		1 /				2.00%	2.00%
101	remove grass landscaping	1	\$ ¢	1,554	61 61	U 0		2.00%	2.00%
102	remove asphalt paving and dispose	1	\$ \$	2,990	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
104	excavate to 2 feet below grade	1	\$	4,766	61	0	······	2.00%	2.00%
105	new 2" EPS insulation	1	\$	3,757	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
106	cement board	1	\$	5,367	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
107	reinstate grass landscaping	1 1	s S	2,220	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
109	reinstate beach stone	1	Ş	4,272	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
110	reinstate asphalt paving	1	\$	12,725	61	0		2.00%	2.00%

111	A32 Walls Above Grade	1			•••••••				2.00%	2.00%
112	remove existing façade	1	\$	11,530	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
113	structural upgrade including base angle	1	\$	68,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
114	supply and install r16 prefab insulated wall panels	1	\$	207,538	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
115	supply and install prefinished metal siding	1	\$	345,897	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
116	A33 Windows and Entrances	1							2.00%	2.00%
117	replace windows with triple pane	1	\$	45,343	35	0			2.00%	2.00%
118	new R10 overhead door	1	Ś	36.000	20	0		•••••	2.00%	2.00%
119	A34 Roof Coverings	1	····· ·						2.00%	2.00%
120	remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish	1	\$	2,504	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
121	remove existing mod bit roof finish	1	Ś	11.927	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
122	3/4 T&G plywood sheathing	1	Ś	91.102	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
	install new pre-engineered roof		·····							
123	trusses above existing	1	Ş	118,433	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
124	clips	1	\$	3,254	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
125	new R25 loose fill insulation	1	Ś	18.220	61	0	~~~~~		2.00%	2.00%
126	new metal roof system	1	Ś	209.536	61	0	~~~~~	~~~~~	2.00%	2.00%
127	B22 Ceiling Finishes	1	·····			~~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	2.00%	2.00%
128		1			••••••	•••••			2.00%	2.00%
129	B23 Wall Finishes	1	~~~~~				~~~~~		2.00%	2.00%
130		1	~~~~~				~~~~~		2.00%	2.00%
131	C11 Plumbing and Drainage	1	~~~~~				~~~~~		2.00%	2 00%
132		1			•••••••				2 00%	2 00%
133	C13 HVAC	1				•••••			2.00%	2 00%
134	new 3/4 ton mini split heat nump	1	Ś	11 000	15	0	Ś	50	2.00%	2 00%
144			X	,		·····	¥		2.00%	2 00%
145	FRV 190cfm		Ś	3 500	15	0			2.00%	2.00%
146	ERV 530cfm		Š	9,000	15	0			2.00%	2.00%
	new ERV ductwork connected to		¥	3,000					2.00%	2.00%
147	existing	1	\$	9,000	15	0			2.00%	2.00%
140	C14 CUILIOIS	I	ó	5 000	20	0		50	2.00%	2.00%
149	C21 Services and Distribution	1	Ş	5,000	20	U	Ş	50	2.00%	2.00%
150	CZT Services and Distribution	1	~~~~~						2.00%	2.00%
151									2.00%	2.00%
155	Install LED retrofit kits to all existing lights	1	\$	20,230	15	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
156	upgrade selective mechanical connections	1	\$	7,500	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
157	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads	1							2.00%	2.00%
158	contractor's overheads 15%	1	\$	192,164	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
159	Z12 Contractors Profit	1							2.00%	2.00%
160	contractor's profit 10%	1	\$	147,325	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
161	Z21 Design Allowance	1							2.00%	2.00%
162	design development contingency 10%	1	\$	162,058	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
163	Z23 Construction Allowance	1							2.00%	2.00%
164	construction contingency 10%	1	\$	178,264	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
169		1							2.00%	2.00%
170	construction escalation for 2024 - 10%	1	\$	128,109	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
171		1							2.00%	2.00%

RETROFIT

Input : NZER ASHP

Line	Building Components Subject to M&R	Include in Option 0=no or		Cost	Useful Life (years)	Current Age	Annual Service Cost	Annual Service Cost Escalation Rate	Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate
No.	Units	1=yes		\$	Years	Years	\$	2.00%	2.00%
1	Concrete Foundation	0						2.00%	2.00%
<u>2</u>	Siab on Grade	0						2.00%	2.00%
4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	0						2.00%	2.00%
5	Wood Roof Structure	0						2.00%	2.00%
6	Modified Bitumen	0						2.00%	2.00%
/ 	Asphalt Shingles Prefinished Metal Siding	0						2.00%	2.00%
	Prefinished Metal Siding -							2.00%	2.00%
9	Maintenance	U						2.00%	2.00%
10	Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	0						2.00%	2.00%
12	Wood Framed Glazing Units Min Upgrade	0				Min Solar		2.00%	2.00%
13	Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	0						2.00%	2.00%
14	Overhead Door - East Elevation, South	0						2 0.0%	2 00%
	Elevation	0						2.00%	2.00%
15	Front Entrance Door, Framed With	0						2.00%	2.00%
	Metal Door With Glazing - East &	•							
16	North Elevations	U						2.00%	2.00%
17	Metal Service Door	0						2.00%	2.00%
18	VCT Flooring Maintenance Bay	1	<u>ş</u>	65,965	25	15		2.00%	2.00%
20	VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance	1	ŝ	0,000	25	25		2.00%	2.00%
21	Gypsum Board Walls	1	\$	-	65	15		2.00%	2.00%
22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	1	\$		75	25		2.00%	2.00%
23	Suspended Ceiling	1	<u> </u>	13,512	20	5		2.00%	2.00%
24	Kitchenette Millwork	1	<u>ې</u>	2 2 5 0	25	20		2.00%	2.00%
26	Plumbing Service & Distribution	1	ŝ	80,975	50	35		2.00%	2.00%
27	Back Flow Preventer	1	\$	1,500	30	30	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
28	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	0	\$	2,500	10	3		2.00%	2.00%
20	Electric Water Pumps (2 hp)	0						2 00%	2 00%
30	Plumbing fixtures	1	\$	12,600	35	27		2.00%	2.00%
31	Shower	1	\$	6,000	30	22		2.00%	2.00%
32	Boiler - oil fired	0			30	17		2.00%	2.00%
33	Expansion Tank Reschoard Padiators	1	<u> </u>	1,500	30	2		2.00%	2.00%
35	Lennox Heat Pump	<u>.</u> 1	ŝ	7,2,203	20	0	Ś 200	2.00%	2.00%
36	Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	1	\$	12,500	25	20	- <u>-</u>	2.00%	2.00%
37	Diesel Generator	1	\$	18,000	35	33	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
38	Day Tank	1	\$	1,500	20	18		2.00%	2.00%
40	Flectrical Panels & Branch Wiring	0				••••••		2.00%	2.00%
41	Lighting Fixtures	0 0						2.00%	2.00%
99		1						2.00%	2.00%
100	A31 Walls Below Grade	1	ć	1 554	<u>۲</u>	0		2.00%	2.00%
101	remove beach stone	<u>'</u> 1	ŝ	2,990	61	0 0		2.00%	2.00%
103	remove asphalt paving and dispose	1	Ś	2,969	61	0 0		2.00%	2.00%
104	excavate to 2 feet below grade	1	\$	4,766	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
105	new 2" EPS insulation	1	<u></u>	3,757	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
100	cement poard backfill to subgrade	1	<u>د</u> ج	5,307 5,957	61	0 N		2.00% 2.00%	∠.00% 2.00%
108	reinstate grass landscaping	1	\$	2,220	61	Ö		2.00%	2.00%
109	reinstate beach stone	1	\$	4,272	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
110	reinstate asphalt paving	1	Ş	12,725	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
112	remove existing facade	<u>'</u> 1	\$	11,530	61	0		2.00%	2.00%

113	structural upgrade including base	1	\$	68,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
114	supply and install r16 prefab	1	Ś	207.538	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
115	insulated wall panels supply and install prefinished metal		· · · ·	245.007	(1	0			0.00%	0.00%
115	siding		\$	345,897	01	U			2.00%	2.00%
116	A33 Windows and Entrances	1	ć	15313	35	0		~~~~~~	2.00%	2.00%
118	new R10 overhead door	1	\$	36,000	20	0			2.00%	2.00%
119	A34 Roof Coverings	1							2.00%	2.00%
120	remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish	1	\$	2,504	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
121	remove existing mod bit roof finish	1	\$	11,927	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
122	3/4 T&G plywood sheathing	1	\$	91,102	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
123	Install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing	1	\$	118,433	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
124	new knee wall construction, hurricane clips	1	\$	3,254	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
125	new R25 loose fill insulation	1	\$	18,220	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
126	new metal roof system	1	\$	209,536	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
127	B22 Ceiling Finishes	1							2.00%	2.00%
128	cut and patch ceilings for new	1	\$	16,184	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
129	B23 Wall Finishes	1							2.00%	2.00%
130	cut and patch walls for new mechanical/electrical	1	\$	16,184	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
131	C11 Plumbing and Drainage	1							2.00%	2.00%
132	new 80gal HP dhwt	1	\$	4,500	10	0			2.00%	2.00%
133	C13 HVAC	1							2.00%	2.00%
134	ASHP-9 tons	1	<u> </u>	50,000	15	0	<u>ş</u>	100	2.00%	2.00%
135		1	<u> </u>	5,000	30	U 0	Ş	50	2.00%	2.00%
130		1	<u>ې</u>	11,000	25	0			2.00%	2.00%
138	electric boiler nump	. <u></u> 1	ŝ	2 500	25	0			2.00%	2.00%
139	buffer tanks		Ś	10.000	25	0			2.00%	2.00%
140		1							2.00%	2.00%
141	air separators	1	\$	7,000	25	0			2.00%	2.00%
142	expansion tanks	1	<u>\$</u>	5,000	25	<u>0</u>			2.00%	2.00%
143	distribution piping	1	ş	25,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
144	INDOOF UNITS - 3/4 TON EDV 100cfm	 1		3 500	25 15	U			2.00%	2.00%
146	ERV 705cfm	1	ŝ	13,000	15	0			2.00%	2.00%
1 47	new ERV ductwork connected to		·····Ť	0.000		<u>_</u>			0.00%	2.00%
147	existing	1	Ş	9,000	61	U			2.00%	2.00%
148	C14 Controls	1							2.00%	2.00%
149	new ddc control system	1	Ş	40,460	20	0	<u>Ş</u>	100	2.00%	2.00%
150	C21 Services and Distribution	1	ć	50,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
152	new feeders	1	Ś	20.000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
153	new panel, transformer for HVAC	1	Ś	20.000	30	0			2.00%	2.00%
154		1							2.00%	2.00%
155	replace lighting with LED fixtures	1	\$	64,737	15	0			2.00%	2.00%
156	lighting controls	1	\$	24,276	20	0			2.00%	2.00%
157	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads	1							2.00%	2.00%
158	contractor's overheads	1	\$	245,280	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
159	Z12 Contractors Profit	1	·····x··	100.040					2.00%	2.00%
160	contractor's profit	1	Ş	188,048	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
167	ZZI DESIGN Allowance	1	ć	206.853	61	Λ			2.00% 2.00%	∠.00% 2.00%
163	Z23 Construction Allowance	1	Ÿ	200,000					2.00%	2.00%
164	construction contingency	1	\$	227,538	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
169		1	·····						2.00%	2.00%
170	construction escalation for 2024 - 10%	1	\$	163,520	61	0			2.00%	2.00%

Input : NZER GSHP

	Line	Building Components Subject to M&R Units	Include in Option 0=no or		Cost \$	Useful Life (years) Years	Current Age Years	Annual Service Cos \$	Annual Service Cost Escalation t Rate 2.00%	Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate 2.00%
	No. 1	Concrete Equindation	1=yes					-	2.00%	2.00%
	2	Slab on Grade	0	•••••					2.00%	2.00%
	3	Concrete Block Superstructure	0						2.00%	2.00%
	4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	0						2.00%	2.00%
	5	Wood Roof Structure	0						2.00%	2.00%
	6	Modified Bitumen	0						2.00%	2.00%
	7	Asphalt Shingles	0						2.00%	2.00%
	8	Prefinished Metal Siding	0						2.00%	2.00%
	9	Prefinished Metal Siding - Maintenance	0						2.00%	2.00%
	10	Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	0						2.00%	2.00%
	11	Wood Framed Glazing Units	0						2.00%	2.00%
	12	Min Upgrade	0				Min Solar		2.00%	2.00%
	13	Aluminum Framed Glazing Units	0						2.00%	2.00%
	14	Overhead Door - East Elevation, South Elevation	0						2.00%	2.00%
	15	Front Entrance Door, Framed With Glazing	0						2.00%	2.00%
	16	Metal Door With Glazing - East & North Elevations	0						2.00%	2.00%
	17 18	Metal Service Door	0	č	65065	25	15		2.00%	2.00%
ASE	19	VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	<u>_</u>	<u>č</u>	6 6 8 0	25	25		2.00%	2.00%
U U	20	VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance		Ś	- 0,000	20	20		2.00%	2.00%
ASI	21	Gypsum Board Walls	1	Ş	-	65	15		2.00%	2.00%
Ω.	22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	1	\$	-	75	25		2.00%	2.00%
	23	Suspended Ceiling	1	\$	13,512	20	5		2.00%	2.00%
	24	Solid Wood Core Doors	1	\$	-	60	20		2.00%	2.00%
	25	Kitchenette Millwork	1	Ş	2,250	25	12		2.00%	2.00%
	26	Plumbing Service & Distribution	1	<u>ş</u>	80,975	50	35		2.00%	2.00%
		Back Flow Preventer	1	ş	1,500	30	30	<u>\$</u> 20	J 2.00%	2.00%
	28	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon Electric	0	\$	2,500	10	3		2.00%	2.00%
	29	Water Pumps (2 hp)	0	<u>.</u>		······ <u>y -</u> ·····			2.00%	2.00%
	30	Plumbing fixtures	1	<u>ş</u>	12,600	35	27		2.00%	2.00%
	31	Shower	1	Ş	6,000	30	22		2.00%	2.00%
	32	Boller Expansion Tank	U 1	è	1 500	30	1/		2.00%	2.00%
	33	Expansion Talik Baseboard Badiators	! 1	<u> </u>	72 285	30 //	<u> </u>		2.00%	2.00%
	35	Lennox Heat Pump	1	ŝ	7 500	20	<u>20</u>	\$ 20	2.00%	2.00%
	36	Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	1	Ś	12.500	25	20	ý	2.00%	2.00%
	37	Diesel Generator	1	Ś	18,000	35	33	\$ 50	0 2.00%	2.00%
	38	Day Tank	1	\$	1,500	20	18		2.00%	2.00%
	39	Main Distribution (800A, 120V)	0						2.00%	2.00%
	40	Electrical Panels & Branch Wiring	0						2.00%	2.00%
	41	Lighting Fixtures	0						2.00%	2.00%
~ .	99		1						2.00%	2.00%
RETF	100	A31 Walls Below Grade	1						2.00%	2.00%
	101	remove grass landscaping	1	\$	1,554	61	0	\$	- 2.00%	2.00%
	102	remove beach stone	1	\$	2,990	61	0	<u>ş</u>	- 2.00%	2.00%
	103	remove asphalt paving and dispose	1	\$	2,969	61	0	\$	- 2.00%	2.00%
	104	excavate to 2 teet below grade	1	<u>১</u>	4,/66	61 61	U 0	<u> </u>	- 2.00%	∠.00%
	100	new 2 EPS Insuidtion	1	ې د	5267	61	0 0	<u> </u>	- <u>2.00%</u> - 2.00%	<u>∠.00%</u> 2.00%
	107	hackfill to subgrade	1	Ś	5957	61	0 0	Ś	- 2 00 %	2.00%
	108	reinstate grass landscaping	1	š	2,220	61		Ś	- 2.00%	2.00%
	109	reinstate beach stone	1	ŝ	4,272	61	0	Ś	- 2.00%	2.00%
	110	reinstate asphalt paving	1	Ś	12,725	61	Ū.	\$	- 2.00%	2.00%
	111	A32 Walls Above Grade	1	~~~~		0	0	\$	- 2.00%	2.00%
	112	remove existing facade	1	Ś	11.530	61	0	Ś	2.00%	2.00%

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	****	~~~~			~~~~~	~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	*****
113	structural upgrade including base angle	1	\$	68,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
114	supply and install r16 prefab	1	Ś	207.538	61	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
	insulated wall panels supply and install prefinished metal		· · ·				• •			
115	siding	1	\$	345,897	61	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
116	A33 Windows and Entrances	1	·····	45.040	0	0	<u>ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
11/	replace windows with triple pane		<u> </u>	45,343	35	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
118	new RIU overnead door	<u> </u>	Ş	36,000	20	<u> </u>	<u>ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
	A34 ROOI Coverings				U	U	<u>ې</u>		2.00%	2.00%
120	finish	1	\$	2,504	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
121	remove existing mod bit roof finish	1	\$	11,927	61	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
122	3/4 T&G plywood sheathing	1	<u>Ş</u>	91,102	61	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
123	install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing	1	\$	118,433	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
124	new knee wall construction, hurricane clips	1	\$	3,254	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
125	new R25 loose fill insulation	1	Ś	18.220	61	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
126	new metal roof system	1	Š	209,536	61	<u>.</u> 0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
127	B22 Ceiling Finishes	1			0	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
100	cut and patch ceilings for new		·····				····i····		0.000	0.000
128	mechanical/electrical	1	Ş	16,184	61	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
129	B23 Wall Finishes	1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
100	cut and patch walls for new		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1(10)	<u> </u>	•	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~	0.00%	0.00%
130	mechanical/electrical	I	\$	16,184	01	U	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
131	C11 Plumbing and Drainage	1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
132	new 80gal HP dhwt	1	\$	4,500	10	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
133	C13 HVAC	1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
134	geothermal wells, testing	1	\$	75,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
125	gshp exterior piping, trenching,	1	ć	20.000	61	0			2 00%	2 00%
155	backfill, reinstatement		ې ې	20,000	01	U			2.00%	2.00%
136	gshp interior piping	1	\$	10,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
137	gshp-4 tons	1	\$	20,000	25	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
138	electric boiler 20kW	1	\$	10,000	30	0	\$	50	2.00%	2.00%
139	circulation pumps	1	Ş	17,500	25	0	<u>Ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
140	electric boiler pump	1	Ş	2,500	0	0	<u>ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
141	air separators	1	Ş	10,500	25	0	<u>Ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
142	expansion tanks	1	Ş	7,500	25	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
143	distribution piping	1	Ş	25,000	61	0	<u>Ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
144	indoor units - 3/4 ton	1	Ş	11,000	25	0	<u>Ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
145	ERV 190cfm	1	Ş	3,500	15	0	<u>Ş</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
146	ERV /05cfm	1	Ş	13,000	15	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
147	new ERV ductwork connected to existing	1	\$	9,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
148	C14 Controls	1	•••••		0	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
149	new ddc control system	1	\$	40,460	20	0	\$	100	2.00%	2.00%
150	C21 Services and Distribution	1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
151	new 600A service entrance	1	\$	50,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
152	new feeders	1	\$	20,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
153	new panel, transformer for HVAC	1	\$	20,000	30	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
154		1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
155	replace lighting with LED fixtures	1	ć	64,737	15	0	Ś	-	2 00%	2.00%
156	replace lighting with LED lixtures			0.,.0.			¥		2.000	
	lighting controls	1	\$	24,276	20	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
157	lighting controls Z11 General Requirements and	1	\$	24,276	20	0	\$ ¢	-	2.00%	2.00%
157	lighting controls Z11 General Requirements and Overheads	1 1	\$	24,276	20 0	0 0	\$ \$	-	2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00%
157 158	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads contractor's overheads	1 1 1	\$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005	20 0 61	0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$	- - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit	1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005	20 0 61 0	0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit contractor's profit	1 1 1 1 1 1	s s s	24,276 256,005 196,271	20 0 61 0 61	0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160 161	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit Contractor's profit Z21 Design Allowance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005 196,271	20 0 61 0 61 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160 161 162	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit Contractor's profit Z21 Design Allowance design development contingency	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005 196,271 215,898	20 0 61 0 61 0 61	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160 161 162 163	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Z12 Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractor's profit Contractor's profit Z21 Design Allowance design development contingency Z23 Construction Allowance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005 196,271 215,898	20 0 61 0 61 0 61 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads Contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit Contractor's profit Z21 Design Allowance design development contingency Z23 Construction Allowance construction contingency	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005 196,271 215,898 237,488	20 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%
157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 169	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads contractor's overheads Z12 Contractors Profit contractor's profit Z21 Design Allowance design development contingency Z23 Construction Allowance construction contingency	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	24,276 256,005 196,271 215,898 237,488	20 0 61 0 61 0 61 0 61 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00% 2.00%

#### Input : NZE

Line	Building Components Subject to M&R Units	Include in Option 0=no or	Cost \$	Useful Life : (years) Years	Current Age Years	Annual Service Cost \$	Annual Service Cost Escalation Rate 2.00%	Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate 2.00%
<u>No.</u>	Concrete Foundation	1=yes					2.00%	2.00%
2	Slab on Grade	0					2.00%	2.00%
3	Concrete Block Superstructure	0					2.00%	2.00%
4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	0					2.00%	2.00%
5	Wood Roof Structure	0					2.00%	2.00%
7	Asphalt Shingles	0					2.00%	2.00%
8	Prefinished Metal Siding	0					2.00%	2.00%
0	Prefinished Metal Siding -	0					2 00%	2 00%
	Maintenance						2.00%	2.00%
10	Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	0					2.00%	2.00%
12	Vinyl Glazing Units	0					2.00%	2.00%
13	Min Upgrade	0			Min Solar		2.00%	2.00%
14	Overhead Door - East Elevation, South	Ω					2 00%	2 00%
	Elevation						2.00%	2.00%
15	Front Entrance Door, Framed With	0					2.00%	2.00%
•••••	Glazing Metal Door With Glazing - East &				••••••			
16	North Elevations	0					2.00%	2.00%
17	Metal Service Door	0					2.00%	2.00%
18	VCT Flooring	1	\$ 65,965	25	15		2.00%	2.00%
19	VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	1	<u>\$ 6,680</u>	25	25		2.00%	2.00%
20	VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance Gypsum Board Walls	1	<u> </u>	65	15		2.00%	2.00%
22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	1	- Š	75	25		2.00%	2.00%
23	Suspended Ceiling	1	\$ 13,512	20	5		2.00%	2.00%
24	Solid Wood Core Doors	1	\$ -	60	20		2.00%	2.00%
25	Kitchenette Millwork	1	<u>\$ 2,250</u>	25	12		2.00%	2.00%
20	Back Flow Preventer	1	\$ 00,973 \$ 1.500	30	30	\$     200	2.00%	2.00%
20	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	i	¢ 2500	10			2.00%	2.00%
20	Electric	U	Ş 2,500	10	<u>з</u>		2.00%	2.00%
29	Water Pumps (2 hp)	0	0 10 600	35	30		2.00%	2.00%
30	Plumbing fixtures Shower	1	\$ 12,600 \$ 6,000	35	<u> </u>		2.00%	2.00%
32	Boiler - oil fired	0	<i>ф</i> 0,000	30	17		2.00%	2.00%
33	Expansion Tank	1	\$ 1,500	30	2		2.00%	2.00%
34	Baseboard Radiators	1	\$ 72,285	40	20		2.00%	2.00%
35	Lennox Heat Pump	1	\$ 7,500	20	0	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
30	Diesel Fuel Stolage Talik Diesel Generator	1	\$ 12,500 \$ 18,000	35		Ś 500	2.00%	2.00%
38	Day Tank	1	\$ 1,500	20	18	¥	2.00%	2.00%
39	Main Distribution (800A, 120V)	0					2.00%	2.00%
40	Electrical Panels & Branch Wiring	0					2.00%	2.00%
41	Lighting Fixtures	U 1					2.00%	2.00%
100	A31 Walls Below Grade	1					2.00%	2.00%
101	remove grass landscaping	1	\$ 1,554	61	0	\$-	2.00%	2.00%
102	remove beach stone	1	\$       2,990	61	0	<u>\$</u> -	2.00%	2.00%
103	remove asphalt paving and dispose	1	\$ 2,969	61	0	<u>ş</u> -	2.00%	2.00%
104	excavate to 2 feet below grade new 2" FPS insulation	ו 1	\$ 4,/00 \$ 3,757	61	U N	s - Ś -	2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00%
106	cement board	1	\$ 5,367	61	0	ş -	2.00%	2.00%
107	backfill to subgrade	1	\$	61	0	\$-	2.00%	2.00%
108	reinstate grass landscaping	1	\$ 2,220	61	<u>0</u>	<u>\$</u> -	2.00%	2.00%
109	reinstate beach stone	] 1	\$ 4,272 \$ 12725	61 61	U	\$- ¢	2.00%	2.00%
110	A32 Walls Above Grade	<u>'</u> 1	<u>२</u> । ८,/ ८२	0	0	<u> </u>	2.00%	∠.00% 2.00%
112	remove existing façade	1	\$ 11,530	61	0	\$-	2.00%	2.00%
112	structural upgrade including base	1	\$ 68.000	61	n	Ś -	2 00%	2 00%
113	angle		÷ 00,000		U	- v	2.00%	2.00%

114	supply and install r16 prefab	\$	207,538	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
115	supply and install prefinished metal	Ś	345 897	61	0	Ś		2 00%	2 በበ%
	siding	<b>,</b>	0+0,057					2.00%	2.00%
116	A33 Windows and Entrances 1		45.040	0	0	<u>Ş</u>		2.00%	2.00%
110	replace windows with triple pane	<u>Ş</u>	45,343	35	U 0	<u>ې</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
110	A34 Boof Coverings 1	<u>,</u>	36,000	20 0	0	<u>ہ</u>		2.00%	2.00%
	remove existing asphalt shingle roof							2.00%	2.00%
120	finish	Ş	2,504	61	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
121	remove existing mod bit roof finish 1	\$	11,927	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
122	3/4 T&G plywood sheathing 1	\$	91,102	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
123	install new pre-engineered roof	Ś	118.433	61	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
	trusses above existing	·····			-	·····			
124	new knee wall construction, nurricane	\$	3,254	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
125	new R25 loose fill insulation 1	Ś	18 2 2 0	61	0	Ś		2 00%	2 00%
126	new metal roof system	Ś	209.536	61	0	Ś		2.00%	2.00%
127	B22 Ceiling Finishes 1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
128	cut and patch ceilings for new	ć	1619/	61	0	ć	_	2 00%	2 00%
120	mechanical/electrical	Ŷ	10,104					2.00%	2.00%
129	B23 Wall Finishes 1		~~~~~~	0	0	\$		2.00%	2.00%
130	cut and patch walls for new	\$	16,184	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
121	Mechanical/electrical			0	0	é		2.00%	2 00%
131	new 80gal HP dbwt	Ś	4 500	10	0	ŝ		2.00%	2.00%
133	C13 HVAC 1	¥	4,000	0	0	Š		2.00%	2.00%
134	ASHP-9 tons 1	\$	50,000	15	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
135	electric boiler - 15kW 1	\$	5,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
136	HP HX pumps 1	\$	11,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
137	HP BT pumps 1	<u>Ş</u>	11,000	25	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
138	electric boiler pump	Ş	2,500	30	0	<u>ş</u>	50	2.00%	2.00%
139		<u>ې</u>	10,000	25 0	U 0	<u>ې</u>		2.00%	2.00%
140	air separators	Ś	7.000	25	0	ŝ		2.00%	2.00%
142	expansion tanks	Ś	5,000	25	0	Ś	-	2.00%	2.00%
143	distribution piping 1	\$	25,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
144	indoor units - 3/4 ton 1	\$	11,000	25	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
145	ERV 190cfm 1	<u>Ş</u>	3,500	15	0	<u>\$</u>	-	2.00%	2.00%
146	ERV /05ctm 1	Ş	13,000	15	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
147	new ERV ductwork connected to	\$	9,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
1/18	C14 Controls 1			0	0	¢		2 00%	2 00%
149	new ddc control system	Ś	40.460	20	0	Ś	100	2.00%	2.00%
150	C21 Services and Distribution 1	·····		0	0	\$	- -	2.00%	2.00%
151	new 600A service entrance 1	\$	50,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
152	new feeders 1	\$	20,000	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
153	new panel, transformer for HVAC 1	Ş	20,000	30	0	Ş		2.00%	2.00%
154	photovoltaic system complete with			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
155	racking, inverters	ć	64 7 2 7	15	<u>л</u>	Ś		2 00%	2 00%
156	lighting controls	ŝ	24.276	20	0	ŝ	-	2.00%	2.00%
	Z11 General Requirements and	·····×···	2 1,27 0		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	¥		2.00%	2.00%
157	Overheads			0	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
158	contractor's overheads 1	\$	245,280	61	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
159	Z12 Contractors Profit 1			0	0	\$	-	2.00%	2.00%
160	contractor's profit	\$	188,048	61	0	<u>ş</u> .	-	2.00%	2.00%
161	<u>ZZI</u> Design Allowance	<u> </u>	206.052	U 61	<u>U</u>	<u>ې</u>		2.00%	2.00%
162	723 Construction Allowance	Ş	200,833	וס ח	0 0	د د		∠.00% 2.00%	<u>∠.00%</u> 2.00%
164	construction contingency	Ś	227.538	61	0	ŝ	-	2.00%	2.00%
165		······································	,	0	0	Ş	-	2.00%	2.00%
170		<u>ہ</u>	162 520	61	<u>م</u>	 م	••••••	2 00%	2 00%
170	construction escalation for 2024 - 10%	د ک	103,320	וט	U	ې 	-	∠.∪∪%	2.00%
199	1							2.00%	2.00%
200	Array Size 45 kWdc 1	\$	180,000			Ş	1,274	2.00%	2.00%

RETROFIT

#### Input : Min Solar

Line	Building Components Subject to M&R	Include in Option 0=no or	Cost	Useful Life (years)	Current Age	Annual Service Cost	Annual Service Cost Escalation Rate	Annual Capital Cost Escalation Rate
No.	Units	1=yes	\$	Years	Years	\$	2.00%	2.00%
1	Concrete Foundation	0					2.00%	2.00%
	Slab on Grade	0					2.00%	2.00%
4	Metal Deck on Steel Joists	0					2.00%	2.00%
5	Wood Roof Structure	0					2.00%	2.00%
6	Modified Bitumen	0					2.00%	2.00%
7	Asphalt Shingles	0					2.00%	2.00%
8	Prefinished Metal Siding	0					2.00%	2.00%
9	Prefinished Metal Siding - Maintenance	0					2.00%	2.00%
10	Painted Wood Clapboard Siding	0					2.00%	2.00%
11	Wood Framed Glazing Units	0			Min Onlar		2.00%	2.00%
12	Min Upgrade	0			Min Solar		2.00%	2.00%
13	Overhead Door - East Elevation South	0					2.00%	2.00%
14	Elevation	0					2.00%	2.00%
15	Front Entrance Door, Framed With Glazing	0					2.00%	2.00%
16	Metal Door With Glazing - East & North Elevations	0					2.00%	2.00%
17	Metal Service Door	0					2.00%	2.00%
18	VCT Flooring	1	\$ 65,965	25	15		2.00%	2.00%
19	VCT Flooring - Maintenance Bay	1	\$ 6,680	25	25		2.00%	2.00%
20	VCT Flooring - Repair Allowance	1	\$-				2.00%	2.00%
21	Gypsum Board Walls	1	<u>ş</u> -	65	15		2.00%	2.00%
. 22	Painted Concrete Block Walls	1	Ş -	/5	25		2.00%	2.00%
23	Suspended Celling	1	<u>३ ।३,७।८</u> ¢	20	20 20		2.00%	2.00%
25	Kitchenette Millwork	1	\$ 2250	25	12		2.00%	2.00%
26	Plumbing Service & Distribution		\$ 80.975	50	35		2.00%	2.00%
27	Back Flow Preventer	1	\$ 1,500	30	30	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
20	Domestic Hot Water Tank, 48 Gallon	1	¢ 2500	10	2		2 00%	2 00%
20	Electric	I	\$ 2,300	10	3		2.00%	2.00%
29	Water Pumps (2 hp)	0		35	30		2.00%	2.00%
30	Plumbing fixtures	1	<u>\$ 12,600</u>	35	27		2.00%	2.00%
31	Snower Boilor oil fired	1	\$ 6,000	30	22	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
33	Expansion Tank	1	<u>\$ 12,500</u> \$ 1,500	30	2	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
34	Baseboard Radiators	1	\$ 72.285	40	20		2.00%	2.00%
35	Lennox Heat Pump	1	\$ 7,500	20	0	\$ 200	2.00%	2.00%
36	Diesel Fuel Storage Tank	1	\$ 12,500	25	20		2.00%	2.00%
37	Diesel Generator	1	\$ 18,000	35	33	\$ 500	2.00%	2.00%
38	Day Tank	1	\$     1,500	20	18		2.00%	2.00%
39	Main Distribution (800A, 120V)	0					2.00%	2.00%
40	Lighting Eistures	0			••••••		2.00%	2.00%
99	Lighting Fixtures	1					2.00%	2.00%
100	A31 Walls Below Grade	1					2.00%	2.00%
101	remove grass landscaping	1	\$ 1,554	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
102	remove beach stone	1	\$ 2,990	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
103	remove asphalt paving and dispose	1	\$ 2,969	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
104	excavate to 2 feet below grade	1	<u>\$ 4,766</u>	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
105	new 2" EPS insulation	ן 1	\$ 3,/57	61	<u> </u>		2.00%	2.00%
100	cernent Doard backfill to subgrade	ו 1	3 0,307 \$ 5057	ں 61	<u> </u>		∠.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00%
108	reinstate grass landscaning		\$ 2.220	61	<u>0</u>		2.00%	2.00%
109	reinstate beach stone	1	\$ 4,272	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
110	reinstate asphalt paving	1	\$ 12,725	61	0		2.00%	2.00%
111	A32 Walls Above Grade	1					2.00%	2.00%
112	remove existing façade	1	\$ 11,530	61	0		2.00%	2.00%

113	structural upgrade including base angle	1	\$	68,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
114	supply and install r16 prefab	1	\$	207,538	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
115	supply and install prefinished metal	1	\$	345,897	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
116	A33 Windows and Entrances	1							2.00%	2.00%
117	replace windows with triple pane	1	\$	45,343	35	0	~~~~~		2.00%	2.00%
118	new R10 overhead door	1	\$	36,000	20	0			2.00%	2.00%
119	A34 Roof Coverings	1							2.00%	2.00%
120	remove existing asphalt shingle roof finish	1	\$	2,504	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
121	remove existing mod bit roof finish	1	\$	11,927	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
122	3/4 T&G plywood sheathing	1	\$	91,102	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
123	install new pre-engineered roof trusses above existing	1	\$	118,433	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
124	new knee wall construction, hurricane clips	1	\$	3,254	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
125	new R25 loose fill insulation	1	\$	18,220	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
126	new metal roof system	1	\$	209,536	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
127	B22 Ceiling Finishes	1							2.00%	2.00%
128		1							2.00%	2.00%
129	B23 Wall Finishes	1							2.00%	2.00%
130		1							2.00%	2.00%
131	C11 Plumbing and Drainage	1							2.00%	2.00%
132	010 10/00	1							2.00%	2.00%
133		1	~	11 000		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~	<u> </u>	2.00%	2.00%
134	new 3/4 ton mini split neat pump	1	<u> </u>	11,000	20	U	\$	50	2.00%	2.00%
145	EDV 100cfm	1	é	3 500	15				2.00%	2.00%
145	ERV 1900IIII EDV 530cfm	1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3,300 0,000	15	0 0			2.00%	2.00%
140	new EPV ductwork connected to		,	9,000	15	0	•••••		2.00%	2.00%
147	existing	1	\$	9,000	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
148		1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	E 000	20	0	~~~~	EO	2.00%	2.00%
149	C21 Services and Distribution	1	Ş	5,000	20	U	<u> </u>	50	2.00%	2.00%
150	C21 Services and Distribution	1							2.00%	2.00%
155	photovoltaio avetam complete with								2.00%	2.00%
154	racking, inverters	1							2.00%	2.00%
155	Install LED retrofit kits to all existing lights	1	\$	20,230					2.00%	2.00%
156	upgrade selective mechanical connections	1	\$	7,500					2.00%	2.00%
157	Z11 General Requirements and Overheads	1							2.00%	2.00%
158	contractor's overheads	1	\$	192,164					2.00%	2.00%
159	Z12 Contractors Profit	1							2.00%	2.00%
160	contractor's profit	1	\$	147,325					2.00%	2.00%
161	Z21 Design Allowance	1							2.00%	2.00%
162	design development contingency	1	\$	162,058					2.00%	2.00%
163	Z23 Construction Allowance	1		170.011					2.00%	2.00%
164	construction contingency	1	Ş	178,264					2.00%	2.00%
169									2.00%	2.00%
170	construction escalation for 2024 - 10%	1	\$	128,109	61	0			2.00%	2.00%
199 200	Array Size 42 kWdc	1 1	\$	168,000			\$	1,189	2.00% 2.00%	2.00% 2.00%

RETROFIT